

The Role of Social Science in Community Engagement for Industrial Decarbonization

Edson Severnini

Associate Professor of Economics and Public Policy
Carnegie Mellon University

Key messages from the paper

- Engage and build trust with historically marginalized communities
 - “Maintaining transparency fosters trust and ensures informed participation. Transparency, including increased access to information, is vital for marginalized communities, given their long-standing experiences with deceit.”
- Engage developers, technologists, and government actors in community collaborations
 - “Making engagement more just requires intervening in how developers and technologists understand and practice ‘community engagement’ and how community engagement activities are integrated into projects.”

Policies to reduce earnings loss

- Despite substantial climate benefits, **energy transition brings financial risks for communities** historically built around energy-intensive industries
 - potential impacts physical and mental health, and well-being more broadly
- Blonz, Roth-Tran & Troland (2023): coal mining decline in Appalachia
 - decreased credit scores and increased credit utilization, delinquencies, amounts in third party collections, bankruptcy, and no. of individuals with subprime status
- **Wage insurance for displaced workers – need RCT**
 - Temporarily subsidize earnings of workers whose new job pays $<$ old job
 - make reemployment more attractive, particularly in lower-wage jobs
 - subsidy amount proportional to the earnings decline
 - Policy goals:
 - avoid negative consequences of long unemployment durations
 - support workers for whom training is ineffective, infeasible, or unavailable

Policies to reduce earnings loss

- Hyman, Kovak & Leive (2023): **workers displaced by trade shocks**
 - *traditional program*: displaced workers participate in mandatory job training and receive extended unemployment insurance payments
 - *alternative program*: workers aged 50 or older also eligible for program that does not require job training and instead provides wage insurance
 - pay up to half of the difference between worker's pre- and post-separation wages for up to 2 years
 - **findings: eligibility increases**
 - short-run **employment** probabilities
 - cumulative **earnings** in the long run
 - **net benefit to the government** even under conservative assumptions
 - reduced unemployment insurance payments and increased tax revenues on higher earnings exceed wage insurance payments

Effects on pollution & health outcomes

- Impacts of closure or technology switch on **air/water/noise** pollution
 - e.g., steelmaking: Blast Furnace-Basic Oxygen Furnace (BF-BOF) route vs. Electric Arc Furnace route (EAF) on air pollution in communities nearby
- Impacts of closure or technology switch on health
 - morbidity (physical and mental health) and mortality
 - **negative effects** due to job displacement, earnings loss
 - e.g., “deaths of despair” (Case & Deaton, 2020)
 - Sullivan & von Wachter (2009):
 - Pennsylvanian workers in the 1970s and 1980s
 - mortality rates in year after displacement: 50-100% higher
 - workers with larger earning losses: greater increases in mortality
 - **positive effects** mediated by reductions in pollution
- Key estimates for cost-benefit analysis for industrial decarbonization

Effects on local tax revenue and public goods

- Impacts of closure or technology switch on local tax revenue
 - places with one major industry vs. places with diversified industries
- Impacts of closure or technology switch on local public goods
 - effects on school district finances, student performance
 - effects on community health centers, infant & elderly care
- Can government transfers mitigate potential negative effects?

THANK YOU!

Questions? Comments?

edsons@andrew.cmu.edu