# Population and Householders by Age Before and After Differential Privacy

Ken Hodges

**Rachel Cortes** 



#### The Claritas "Use Case"

- Build information products
  - For many businesses
  - With many use cases
- Annual demographic estimates
  - All block groups nationwide
  - Build from census data
- Concerned with impact of Differential Privacy (DP)



#### **Previous Demonstration Data**

- Claritas presented at the 2019 Workshop
- Noted inconsistent Population and Household data
  - For Claritas: The most troubling feature of DP
  - Impossible values of average household size
  - Areas with households but no household population
  - Areas with household population but no households
- Made our peace with PL-94 data
  - Still inconsistencies
  - But improvement since 2019
  - We "corrected" inconsistent 2020 totals
- Now reviewing DHC Demonstration Data



- Tabular data from the IPUMS National Historical Geographic Information System
  - 2010 Census data with Differential Privacy
  - 2010 Census data as published in SF1



- Age/Sex: the most important DHC variable for Claritas
- Input to estimates
  - Population by age/sex for block groups
- Consistency with SF1 much improved
  - Index of dissimilarity
    - 2019 Demonstration data = 35.4
    - DHC Demonstration data = 8.9
- But we also estimate <u>Householders</u> by Age
  - Businesses want HH Income by Age of Householder
  - Start with Population by Age
  - Convert to Householders by Age
  - Using age-specific Householder/Population rates



- Need Population by Age and Householders by Age
  - Percent of persons that are householders
- How good are householder rates after DP?
  - Reason to worry
  - Pop vs. HH inconsistencies in previous Demonstration Data
- What do the DHC Demonstration Data show?
- Calculated householder rates for 9 age categories
  - **–** 15-24 60-64
  - **-** 35-34 65-74
  - **-** 35-44 75-84
  - 45-5485 and older
  - 55-59



Block Group Mean Percent of Population that is Householder

	DP	SF1
Age	Pct HHr	Pct HHr
15-24	12.7	11.9
25-34	44.2	42.3
35-44	53.7	52.3
45-54	57.0	56.1
55-59	61.2	58.4
60-64	65.1	60.4
65-74	66.1	62.9
75-84	73.3	66.9
85+	87.7	67.3

DP shows higher householder rates especially for older age categories



- Inconsistencies for individual block groups
  - Householder rates greater than 100 percent
  - Example:
    - HHr = 500
    - Pop = 400
    - Rate = 500/400 \* 100 = 125.0
    - 125 percent of persons are householders
- 217,740 total block groups
  - 74,857 (34.4 pct) at least one age with more Householders than Pop
  - 99,379 total rates over 100 (some BGs have more than one)
- In contrast
  - SF1: Householders <u>never exceeded</u> Population



BGs by Number of Householder/Pop Rates Greater Than 100

N	DP		SF1	
0	142,883	65.6	217,740	0.0
1	56,427	25.9	0	0.0
2	13,738	6.3	0	0.0
3	3,595	1.7	0	0.0
4	852	0.4	0	0.0
5	190	0.1	0	0.0
6	52	0.0	0	0.0
7	3	0.0	0	0.0
8	0	0.0	0	0.0
9	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	217,740	100.0	217,740	0.0



- How different are the DP and SF1 ratios?
- Measure: (DP HHr rate SF1 HHr rate)
  - Example: DP = 51.9
  - SF1 = 53.3
  - Difference = 1.4
  - Absolute value so positive and negative differences don't offset
- Look at mean error for each age category
- Mean across age categories for a one-number measure



Mean Absolute Difference: DP Ratio vs. SF1 Ratio

Age	N	Mean
15-24	216,614	3.47
25-34	216,616	6.69
35-44	216,553	7.00
45-54	216,536	6.48
55-59	216,252	11.40
60-64	216,108	14.77
65-74	216,055	12.12
75-84	215,375	18.20
85+	210,631	39.24



- The challenge: How to estimate Householders by Age?
  - While maintaining consistency with Population by Age.
  - One-third of BGs have at least one impossible HHr rate
  - Many others likely have large errors (but which ones?)
- Would ACS data be better?
  - Even for block groups?
  - Let's look
- ACS 5-year estimates 2008-2012 (centered on 2010)
  - Compared with 2010 Census SF1
  - Block groups nationwide



Block Group Mean Percent of Population that is Householder

	DP	DP SF1	
Age	Pct HHr	Pct HHr	Pct HHr
15-24	12.7	11.9	11.3
25-34	44.2	42.3	42.9
35-44	53.7	52.3	52.9
45-54	57.0	56.1	56.3
55-59	61.2	58.4	58.3
60-64	65.1	60.4	60.0
65-74	66.1	62.9	62.5
75-84	73.3	66.9	65.9
85+	87.7	67.3	64.8

Note: DP mean rates inflated by those over 100



BGs by Number of Householder/Pop Rates Greater Than 100

N	DF	DP		SF1		S
0	142,883	65.6	217,740	0.0	217,739	0.0
1	56,427	25.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
2	13,738	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
3	3,595	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
4	852	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	190	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
6	52	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
7	3	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	217,740	100.0	217,740		217,739	



Mean Absolute Difference: DP Ratio vs. SF1 Ratio

	DP vs	DP vs SF1		SF1
Age	N	Mean	N	Mean
15-24	216,614	3.47	214,378	8.48
25-34	216,616	6.69	213,831	13.31
35-44	216,553	7.00	214,703	12.48
45-54	216,536	6.48	215,473	11.19
55-59	216,252	11.40	211,619	17.43
60-64	216,108	14.77	209,312	18.38
65-74	216,055	12.12	210,686	14.86
75-84	215,375	18.20	199,214	19.15
85+	210,631	39.24	157,509	26.74
	210,196	12.77	143,301	15.78



- ACS has no Householder / Pop inconsistencies
- By that measure
  - ACS is vastly better than DP
  - DP worse than error-prone, sample-based, 5-year period estimates
- But DP Householder / Pop closer to SF1 67 percent of the time
  - DP <u>usually</u> better than error-prone, sample-based, 5-year period estimates
  - Faint praise
- Unfortunate reality (for small areas)
  - DHC will provide many householder rates that are unusable
  - ACS avoids this glaring flaw (At least until DP is applied to ACS)
  - But ACS is not a simple substitute



# Race and Hispanic Ethnicity

- But wait !!!! Age is not the only problem!
- We also estimate <u>Householders</u> by race/ethnicity
  - 7 races by Hispanic/not-Hispanic (14 categories)
  - Start with population by race/ethnicity
  - Use householder rates by race/ethnicity
- Work in progress but race/ethnicity looks even worse

#### **Early Findings**

- 153,667 block groups
  - At least one race/ethnicity with more householders than pop
  - 60.7 pct of block groups
- 296,604 total rates over 100 percent
  - 9.6 pct of all householder rates
- SF1 had no inconsistencies



# Race and Hispanic Ethnicity

BGs by Number of Householder/Pop Rates Greater Than 100

N	DP		SF1	
0	66,667	30.3	220,334	0.0
1	71,493	32.4	0	0.0
2	45,111	20.5	0	0.0
3	21,897	9.9	0	0.0
4	9,470	4.3	0	0.0
5	3,710	1.7	0	0.0
6	1,349	0.6	0	0.0
7	463	0.2	0	0.0
8	140	0.1	0	0.0
9	27	0.0	0	0.0
10	7	0.0	0	0.0
11-14	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	220,334	100.0	220,334	0.0



#### Conclusion

- DHC variables combining Pop and HHs are important to our work
- We look forward to receiving the DHC product
- But are bracing for what we will find
  - And what we will need to deal with it
- Still assessing the Demonstration Data
- And look forward to engaging with the Census Bureau and the data user community



# Thank You

