

What is
happening
to Arctic
sea ice,
and what
does it
mean
for us?

FEATURED SPEAKER
DR. JOHN E. WALSH

INTERNATIONAL ARCTIC
RESEARCH CENTER
UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA, FAIRBANKS



Melting Ice



The National Academy of Sciences is a private, non-profit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. Upon the authority of the charter granted to it by the Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The National Academy of Engineering was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. Charles M. Vest is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The Institute of Medicine was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional

charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, upon its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Harvey V. Fineberg is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The National Research Council was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone and Dr. Charles M. Vest are chair and vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

W W W . N A T I O N A L - A C A D E M I E S . O R G

DEAR LECTURE PARTICIPANT: On behalf of the Ocean Studies Board of the National Academies, we would like to welcome you to the Fourteenth Annual Roger Revelle Commemorative Lecture. This lecture was created by the Ocean Studies Board in honor of Dr. Roger Revelle to highlight the important links between the ocean sciences and public policy.

ROGER REVELLE

For almost half a century, Roger Revelle was a leader in the field of oceanography. Revelle trained as a geologist at Pomona College and the University of California, Berkeley. In 1936, he received his Ph.D. in oceanography from the Scripps Institution of Oceanography. As a young naval officer, he helped persuade the Navy to create the Office of Naval Research (ONR) to support basic research in oceanography and was the first head of ONR's geophysics branch. Revelle served for 12 years as the Director of Scripps (1950–1961, 1963–1964), where he built up a fleet of research ships and initiated a decade of expeditions to the deep Pacific that challenged existing geological theory.

Revelle's early work on the carbon cycle suggested that the sea could not absorb all the carbon dioxide released from burning fossil fuels. He organized the first continual measurement of atmospheric carbon dioxide, an effort led by Charles Keeling, re-



sulting in a long-term record that has been essential to current research on global climate change. With Hans Suess, he published the seminal paper demonstrating the connection between increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide and burning of fossil fuels. Revelle kept the issue of increasing carbon dioxide levels before the public and spearheaded efforts to investigate the mechanisms and consequences of climate change.

Revelle left Scripps for critical posts as Science Advisor to the Department of the Interior (1961–1963) and as the first Director of the Center for Population Studies at Harvard (1964–1976). Revelle applied his knowledge of geophysics, ocean resources, and population dynamics to the world's most vexing problems: poverty, malnutrition, security, and education.

In 1957, Revelle became a member of the National Academy of Sciences to which he devoted many hours of volunteer service. He served as a member of the Ocean Studies Board, the Board on Atmospheric Sciences and Climate, and many committees. He also

chaired a number of influential Academy studies on subjects ranging from the environmental effects of radiation to understanding sea-level change.

SMITHSONIAN'S NATIONAL MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY

The Ocean Studies Board is pleased to have the opportunity to present the Revelle Lecture in cooperation with the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History through our partnership with the Smithsonian Science Education Center. The museum maintains and preserves the world's most extensive collection of natural history specimens and human artifacts and supports scientific research, educational programs, and exhibitions. The museum is part of the Smithsonian Institution, the world's largest museum and research complex. Dr. Kirk R. Johnson is the director.

The Smithsonian Science Education Center (SSEC) was founded in 1985 by the National Academy of Sciences and the Smithsonian Institution and continues today as a successful unit of the Smithsonian Institution. The mission of the SSEC is to transform K-16 science education learning and teaching for all students in the United States and throughout the World. The SSEC does this through the implementation of a truly systemic approach that engages participants at every level, from students and classroom teachers up through the highest levels of district, state, national and international leadership.

TONIGHT'S LECTURE

Arctic summer sea ice has decreased by about 50 percent over the past decade, and the Arctic Ocean has undergone a regime shift from a cover of thick multiyear ice to a largely seasonal and much thinner ice cover. These changes have potentially profound

consequences for life in the Arctic – both for the people who live there and for wildlife. In addition, the loss of sea ice appears to be triggering a weakening of the large-scale westerlies; a phenomenon that can bring longer cold spells and more snow to the Northern Hemisphere, including Europe and much of the United States. Tonight's speaker – Dr. John Walsh, Chief Scientist of the International Arctic Research Center at the University of Alaska Fairbanks – has recently conducted research on Arctic climate change, seasonal to decadal variability of sea ice, predictability of climate change in high latitudes, and changes in arctic weather in the context of climate change.

SPONSORSHIP

The Ocean Studies Board thanks the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the National Science Foundation, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Office of Naval Research, the U.S. Geological Survey, and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation. This lecture series would not be possible without their generous support. The Board also thanks the Smithsonian Science Education Center and the Smithsonian Institution for their continued partnership in hosting the lecture at the National Museum of Natural History.

We hope you enjoy tonight's event.

Robert A. Duce,
CHAIR, OCEAN STUDIES BOARD

Susan Roberts,
DIRECTOR, OCEAN STUDIES BOARD

OCEAN STUDIES BOARD MEMBERS ROBERT A. DUCE, *Chair, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX* / E. VIRGINIA ARMBRUST, *University of Washington, Seattle* / EDWARD A. BOYLE, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA* / RITA R. COLWELL, *University of Maryland, College Park, MD* / SARAH W. COOKSEY, *Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Dover, DE* / CORTIS K. COOPER, *Chevron Corporation, San Ramon, CA* / ROBERT HALLBERG, *NOAA/GFDL, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ* / DAVID HALPERN, *California Institute of Technology/NASA JPL, Pasadena* / H. TUBA ÖZKAN-HALLER, *Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR* / BARBARA A. KNUTH, *Cornell University, Ithaca, NY* / GEORGE I. MATSUMOTO, *Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, Moss Landing, CA* / STEVEN A. MURAWSKI, *University of South Florida, St. Petersburg* / CLAUDIA BENITEZ-NELSON, *University of South Carolina, Columbia* / JOHN A. ORCUTT, *Scripps In-*

stitution of Oceanography, La Jolla, CA / STEVEN E. RAMBERG, *National Defense University, Washington, DC* / ANDREW A. ROSENBERG, *Union of Concerned Scientists, Cambridge, MA* / DANIEL L. RUDNICK, *Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, CA* / MARTIN D. SMITH, *Duke University's Nicholas School of the Environment, Durham, NC* / PETER L. TYACK, *University of Saint Andrews, United Kingdom* / DON WALSH, *International Maritime Incorporated, Myrtle Point, OR* / DAWN WRIGHT, *Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA* / JAMES A. YODER, *Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA* / MARY (MISSY) H. FEELEY (ex-officio), *ExxonMobil Exploration Company, Houston, TX*
OSB STAFF MEMBERS SUSAN ROBERTS, *Director* / CLAUDIA MENGELT, *Senior Program Officer* / DEBORAH GLICKSON, *Senior Program Officer* / KIM WADDELL, *Senior Program Officer* / PAMELA LEWIS, *Administrative Coordinator* / HEATHER CHIARELLO, *Senior Program Assistant*

JOHN WALSH received his B.A. in Mathematics from Dartmouth College in 1970 and his Ph.D. in Meteorology from M.I.T. in 1974. He spent a postdoctoral year at the National Center for Atmospheric Research. He was a faculty member at the University of Illinois for 30 years and, more recently, at the University of Alaska in Fairbanks. While at Illinois, he led a polar research group and coauthored an undergraduate textbook, *Severe and Hazardous Weather*. He also spent a year as the Chair in Arctic Marine Science at the Naval Postgraduate School in Monterey, CA.

At the University of Alaska Fairbanks, Walsh is currently the Chief Scientist of the International Arctic Research Center, the Director of NOAA's Cooperative Institute for Alaska Research, and the Director of the University's Center for Global Change. His recent research has addressed Arctic climate change; seasonal to decadal variability of sea ice; predictability of climate change in high latitudes; and changes in arctic weather in the context of climate change. In 2009 he received the Usibelli Distinguished Researcher Award from the University of Alaska.

Walsh was the lead author for the cryosphere chapter of the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (2005), for the Polar Regions chapter of the IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report (2007), and for the Arctic climate and modeling chapters of the Snow, Water, Ice and Permafrost in the Arctic (SWIPA, 2011) report of the Arctic Monitoring

and Assessment Program. He is a Coordinating Lead Author for the Climate Science chapter of the 2013 U.S. National Climate Assessment. He has served as Associate Editor of the *Journal of Climate*, and is a fellow of the American Meteorological Society (AMS) and the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). He received the Editor's Award from the AMS in 1992 and in 1999. He is a past member of the National Research Council's Climate Research Committee and the Polar Research Board. He has co-chaired two reports of the Polar Research Board, including the recently released report on *Seasonal to Decadal Predictions of Arctic Sea Ice*. He has served on various panels of the National Science Foundation and other federal agencies, and has delivered invited lectures on arctic climate, both nationally and internationally.



SENATOR MARK BEGICH was elected to the U.S. Senate to represent the state of Alaska in 2008. In his short time in the Senate, Senator Begich has been named to the Senate Appropriations Committee, the Senate Indian Affairs Committee, and he serves as the chairman of the Commerce Committee's Subcommittee on Oceans, Atmosphere, Fisheries and Coast Guard. The Oceans Subcommittee has broad jurisdiction over important Alaska issues, including responsible development of the Arctic. Born and raised in Anchorage, Senator Begich has extensive experience in public office, along with service to dozens of non-profits and community groups. In 1988, at age 26, he was the youngest person ever elected to the Anchorage Assembly where he served for nearly 10 years. During that time, he was elected chairman three times and also served as chair of the budget committee. Senator Begich was mayor of Anchorage for nearly six years before being elected to the U.S. Senate. With his leadership, Anchorage undertook the building of the new Denaina Civic and Convention Center, a \$100 million expansion of the Anchorage Museum of History and Art, and a multitude of other projects that strengthened the city's economy. Senator Begich is married to Deborah Bonito, a successful businesswoman. They have a young son, Jacob. The Begich family enjoys spending time together reading, traveling, and enjoying the many great things Alaska has to offer.



IS THE ARCTIC A BELLWETHER OF GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE?

Over the past few decades, environmental changes in the Arctic have attracted the attention of scientists, residents of Arctic communities, policy and decision-makers, and more recently the broader public. This region that was previously of little interest to outside residents has now become a focal point of concern about global climate change. One may argue that the Arctic is now awakening the “sleeping giant” of public awareness of climate change and a growing acceptance of the reality of climate change.

But what is driving the changes in the Arctic environment? And what are the consequences of these changes for regions outside the Arctic? While these questions are still at the core of ongoing research in many countries, some hints of the answers are emerging. Of all the variables that must be included in diagnostic studies of Arctic environmental change, sea ice is perhaps the most prominent. Sea ice plays a key role in climate by modifying the exchanges between the ocean and the atmosphere, but it is also has many other complex connections to the climate system. It appears to respond to global influences, and recent decreases in sea ice may be already affecting the larger climate system through a variety of physical, dynamical and ecological processes (AMAP, 2011). Moreover, sea ice is changing faster than other Arctic environ-

mental variables. For these reasons, Arctic sea ice has been referred to as the “bellwether” of global climate change. Is such a notion justified? The answer to that question requires an understanding of the reasons for the recent dramatic changes in Arctic sea ice. The current understanding of those reasons is one focus of this presentation.

A second focus is the impact of retreating Arctic sea ice on the broader climate system, particularly in middle latitudes. If sea ice truly provides an early indication of changes in global climate, then loss of sea ice could already be influencing climate in regions outside of the Arctic. There are scientific reasons to expect that such a mechanism exists, and both modeling studies Honda et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2012) and observational analyses (Francis and Vavrus, 2012) provide some intriguing sug-

gestions of effects on climate in the middle latitudes. These effects, which may be quite consequential for heavily populated areas of Eurasia and the United States, can be counterintuitive, but are nevertheless scientifically plausible.

CAUSES OF THE RECENT SEA ICE RETREAT

WHAT IS THE EVIDENCE FOR SEA ICE RETREAT?

The most striking feature of recent changes in the Arctic, particularly sea ice, is how quickly the Arctic is warming and ice is melting relative to changes seen in the long-term climate record. Figures 1 and 2 show reconstructions of Arctic summer temperatures (Kaufman et al., 2009) and Arctic sea ice (Kinnard et al., 2011) over timeframes of the past 1,500-2000 years. These reconstructions are based on proxy informa-

Sea ice is changing faster than other Arctic environmental variables. For these reasons, Arctic sea ice has been referred to as the “bellwether” of global climate change.

Is such a notion justified?



tion – the history of past climate shifts preserved in ancient deposits of sediment and in ice sheets, or the annual growth rings seen in trees. Natural systems change in response to a change in the environment and hence “record” shifts in parameters such as temperature. The temperature reconstruction in Figure 1 is primarily from terrestrial sources, including lake sediments, pollen records,

diatoms, and tree rings. It shows that the Arctic had been undergoing a slow (summer) cooling for most of the past 2000-years. This cooling is consistent with the slow variations of the earth-sun orbital parameters, which affect the solar radiation reaching the Arctic in the sunlit portion of the year. However, the recent warming since the 1800s, confirmed by direct temperature measurements

(red line in Figure 1), has left the Arctic warmer than at any time in the preceding 2000 years by a considerable margin. The recent instrumental temperatures are outside the envelope of the natural variability seen in the reconstruction; for example, the warming is far more than simply a recovery from the so-called Little Ice Age, which is apparent from the late 1500s through the 1800s in Figure 1. The sea ice reconstruction in Figure 2 is based on high-resolution terrestrial proxies from the circum-Arctic domain: ice cores, tree rings, and lake sediments. Because these proxies primarily respond to changes in the warm season, the reconstructions in Figure 2 depict summer sea ice variations. The pan-Arctic time series (red line) shows that the recent decline of sea ice is unprecedented in the 1450-year reconstruction, and mirrors the recent, rapid warming shown in Figure 1. The abrupt decline in the Fram Strait region during the past several decades is also unique in the 1450-year time series for that region. However, the reconstructions for the Chukchi Sea in Figure 2, and also for the Barents Sea (not shown), indicate that sea ice coverage in these regions was comparable to the present during the late 1500s and early 1600s. This tendency for smaller regions to behave differently from hemispheric averages is typical of variations of many climate variables (IPCC, 2007).

WHY IS THE ARCTIC WARMING SO QUICKLY?

Figure 3 shows the geographic variation in the recent unprecedented




FIGURE 1 A reconstruction of Arctic summer temperatures. The blue line shows estimates of Arctic temperatures over the last 2,000 years, based on proxy records from lake sediments, ice cores and tree rings. The green line shows the long-term cooling trend. The red line shows the recent warming based on actual observations. *SOURCE: Kaufman et al., 2009, modified by UCAR.*




FIGURE 2 Reconstruction of Arctic summer sea ice variation. (a) Forty-year smoothed reconstructed late-summer Arctic sea ice extent with 95% confidence interval, and (b) Chukchi Sea ice cover duration. *SOURCE: Kinnard et al., 2011.*




FIGURE 3 Geographic variation in the recent unprecedented rise in Arctic temperature is shown here. This illustrates the poleward increase, or “polar amplification”, of warming. *SOURCE: NASA GISS.*

rise in Arctic temperature. Over the past 60 years, the Arctic has warmed by more than 2°C, more than double the global average warming over the same period. Figure 3 illustrates the poleward increase, or “polar amplification” of this warming. Polar am-

plification is also seen in periods of cooling in the historical record, and it is attributable in part to the role of sea ice and its overlying snow cover (Serreze and Francis, 2006; Serreze et al., 2009). Specifically, there is a positive feedback that amplifies both warming and cooling trends because of the change in the amount of solar radiation reflected by sea ice. When sea ice melts, the resulting water surface is darker and absorbs more of the sun’s energy as heat, resulting in further warming and melt of ice and snow. Conversely, an expansion of sea ice results in greater reflection of solar radiation and reduces the amount of heat absorbed. This positive feedback phenomenon is called the temperature-ice-albedo coupling. Two other factors that appear to have contributed to the recent polar amplification of warming include an increase of atmospheric water va-

por (a strong greenhouse gas) in the Arctic (Serreze et al., 2012), and an increase of poleward transports of heat by the ocean and atmosphere (Beszczynska-Möller et al., 2011; Shimada et al., 2006).

A pair of mid-September satellite images from 1992 and 2012 shows the dramatic loss of sea ice in recent decades (Figure 4). The maximum seasonal retreat of sea ice usually occurs in mid-September, so these images capture the ice cover that survived the summer melt and hence can undergo additional growth in thickness during the winter. The extent of sea ice in September 2012 was approximately half of the extent in 1992. The rapidity of this decrease is unprecedented with respect to the paleo-reconstruction shown in Figure 2, as well as other reconstructions based on additional types of sea ice information such as ship reports




FIGURE 4 A pair of mid-September satellite images from 1992 and 2012 shows the dramatic loss of sea ice in recent decades. *SOURCE: University of Illinois, The Cryosphere Today.*

and coastal observations. Within the period of satellite observations (1979-2012), the loss of sea ice has occurred most abruptly in 2007 and 2012 (Perovich et al., 2013). In both of these years, the summer minimum was more than a half million square kilometers below the previous record. Interestingly, the extent of winter sea ice shows a much smaller decline in recent decades. The result is a much greater area of seasonal ice -- i.e., ice that forms during the autumn/winter and melts the following spring. Because this ice has only a few

months to grow, it is thin and readily deformed by the wind -- a force that is responsible for much of the short-term movement of the ice.

**WHAT HAS CAUSED THE
DRAMATIC LOSS OF ICE IN
RECENT DECADES?**

The warming of the Arctic has undoubtedly contributed to the loss of sea ice, but the rapid decrease over the past few decades cannot be explained by higher air temperatures alone (Stroeve et al., 2011). Other factors include:

- periods of increased wind-driven transport of older, thicker ice from the Arctic into the North Atlantic;
- increased flow of warmer ocean waters into the Arctic from the North Atlantic and the North Pacific;
- increased atmospheric warming as a consequence of increased humidity in the Arctic, and perhaps also because of variations of cloudiness and;
- the amplified loss of sea ice due to increased absorption of solar radiation by the darker ocean surface, as described earlier.

It is difficult to rank the relative




FIGURE 5 The mean pattern of currents in the upper ocean of the Arctic and the subpolar regions. *SOURCE: Illustration by Jack Cook ©Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution*

importance of each of these driving forces; but the emerging consensus is that together they have resulted in the “perfect storm” of forcing responsible for the sea ice loss. We next highlight the evidence for several of these drivers of arctic sea-ice loss.

Figure 5 shows the mean pattern of currents in the upper ocean of the Arctic and the subpolar regions. Warm, saline water from the North Atlantic enters the Arctic Ocean through the Barents Sea and Fram Strait, then descends to depths of 100-400 meters and circulates in a generally counterclockwise direction around the Arctic Ocean. This water transports heat acquired at lower latitudes to the Arctic. Some of this warmer water reaches the base of the sea ice and contributes to bottom melt. Measurements from ocean moorings and cruises have shown that the inflowing Atlantic water has gotten warmer, albeit irregularly, over the past two decades (Alexeev et al., 2013), as can be seen in Figure 6. This warming has been detected not only where the Atlantic water flows in, but also along the shelf break north of the Siberian coast (Polyakov et al., 2010). The mechanisms by which heat is transferred from the deeper Atlantic waters to the surface is unclear, but double diffusion and mesoscale (~10 km diameter) eddies have been suggested as possible mechanisms. Double diffusion refers to the ability of heat to move more readily than salt through sea water, while eddies can move water and heat vertically. By using a heat budget approach, scientists have estimated




FIGURE 6 Measurements have shown that inflowing Atlantic water has gotten warmer over the past two decades. This image shows concentration of multi-year ice in 2004 and 2008 (two upper insets) and temperature in the Atlantic water core (main graph) measured in Fram Strait (yellow circle, upper left inset) and from transects of different extent (three lower insets) made in September 2004, 2006, and October 2008 at 31E, 80N (location marked by yellow line in the upper left inset). *SOURCE: Alexeev et al., 2013.*




FIGURE 7 Feedback system consisting of (a) Sea ice concentration anomaly for November through January [(1997 Nov.~2003 Jan.) - (1979 Nov.~1997 Jan.)] (b) Sea ice velocity anomaly for November through January [(1997 Nov.~2003 Jan.) - (1979 Nov.~1997 Jan.)] (c) Potential temperature on S=31.3. Background color is climatology from EWG Arctic Ocean Atlas and dotted circles are from 1998–2004. (d) Sea ice concentration anomaly for September [(1998–2003)-(1979–1997)]. *SOURCE: Shimada et al., 2006.*

that the warmer Atlantic water can account for around several tenths to a meter of bottom melt over the past decade.

On the Pacific side, the Bering Strait is the entry corridor for warmer water of subarctic origin. After entering the Chukchi Sea, this water generally moves eastward offshore of the northern Alaskan coast (Figure 5). There are indications of a positive feedback whereby heat entering from the Pacific reduces the thickness and coverage of sea ice north of Alaska (Shimada et al., 2006). This thinner, looser ice is more mobile and susceptible to movement by winds, driving the Beaufort Gyre and facilitating the transport of the warmer Pacific water from the Alaskan shelf to the deeper waters offshore (Figure 7). This transport leads to a reduction of the ice cover farther offshore, and the reduction then may be further enhanced by the albedo effect of reduced reflection of solar radiation. This mechanism is especially relevant to the ice loss of the past decade because the sector containing the Beaufort, Chukchi and East Siberian Seas has experienced the greatest loss of sea ice (Figure 4).

Recent heat budget studies have attempted to place the ice-albedo feedback into a quantitative framework (e.g., Perovich and Richter-Menge, 2009). Figure 8 shows the trend over 1979-2005 in the solar heat input to the Arctic Ocean. The trend exceeds 2% per year in the orange and red areas, including the Beaufort-Chukchi-East Siberian Sea sector noted above, suggesting a

50% increase over the 26-year period. This increase precedes the rapid acceleration of ice retreat that began in 2007, so the percent increase would be even higher if evaluated through 2012. The increasing heat input, even prior to 2007 is far greater than the 1- to 2- W m^{-2} of surface radiative warming from the increase in greenhouse gases. The more-than-50% increase in solar absorption demonstrates the importance of the albedo-temperature feedback in accelerating Arctic warming.

IMPACTS OF THE LOSS OF SEA ICE

The most direct and obvious impacts to date are in the Arctic, where sea ice loss is affecting people, marine

life, and Arctic climate. However, there are emerging signs of impacts that extend into middle latitudes. Here we highlight both local and distant impacts, beginning with the Arctic and then addressing impacts on other regions. We limit the discussion to impacts of diminished sea ice, acknowledging that Arctic warming has other important impacts such as the contribution of melting glaciers and ice sheets to rising sea level.

HOW IS THE LOSS OF SEA ICE IMPACTING PEOPLE IN THE ARCTIC?

Coastal communities in Alaska and Siberia are experiencing increased flooding and coastal erosion as a result of the loss of the sea ice buffer,




FIGURE 8 Map of the linear trend of annual total solar heat input directly to the ocean. The trend exceeds 2% per year in the orange and red areas, including the Beaufort-Chukchi-East Siberian Sea sector, suggesting a 50% increase over the 26 year period. *SOURCE: Perovich and Richter-Menge, 2009.*

The most direct and obvious impacts to date are in the Arctic, where sea ice loss is affecting people, marine life, and Arctic climate.

However, there are emerging signs of impacts that extend into middle latitudes.



which previously protected the coast from wind-driven waves during summer and autumn storms. As a result, several communities in Alaska are facing costly relocation away from the coast. Increases in ship traffic is another impact of the retreating sea ice cover, as the lengthening open water season presents opportunities for offshore resource extraction, tourism, and shortened transit times for the marine transport industry. The oil and gas industry is a particular beneficiary of the diminished ice cover, as seen by the recent increase in exploratory activity over the shelf seas north of Alaska and Russia. Such activity brings potential benefits as well as risks to northern communities.

WHAT ARE THE IMPACTS OF RAPID LOSS OF ARCTIC SEA ICE ON MARINE LIFE?

In the Bering Sea, there is some evi-

dence that individual species as well as ecosystems are responsive to variations of climate (Grebmeier et al. 2006). But farther north, in the Arctic Ocean, the dynamics of ecosystems and the food web are much less known. This lack of knowledge is reflected in the decision of the federal government to impose a moratorium on commercial fishing in U.S. waters north of the Bering Strait. The U.S. Department of the Interior has listed the polar bear as a threatened species, based in part on changes in polar bear habitat arising from sea ice retreat (Figure 9).

Assessments of changing sea ice impacts on marine life, particularly the lower trophic levels, are largely reliant on modeling studies. Marine ecosystem modeling is a key element of the U.S.-supported Bering Sea Ecosystem Study (BEST), and biogeochemical modeling is just now being applied to the Arctic Ocean, where the magnitude of sea ice retreat is greatest. One such modeling study incorporates marine biogeochemical cycling into a state-of-the-art Arctic Ocean sea ice model (Zhang et al., 2010). The model was tested by simulating a two-decade period in the recent past (a “hindcast”). The model successfully reproduced the observed levels of sea ice loss and also showed increases in primary productivity (photosynthesis by algae and plankton at the base of the food web) consistent with satellite-derived estimates. Primary productivity increased at various depths in the water column, including areas under sea ice. The under-ice increases are consistent with the greater penetra-




FIGURE 10 The permafrost of the East Siberian Arctic Shelf (an area of about 2 million kilometers squared) is more porous than previously thought. The ocean on top of it and the heat from the mantle below it warm it and make it perforated. This allows methane gas stored under it under pressure to burst into the atmosphere. The amount leaking from this locale is comparable to all the methane from the rest of the world’s oceans put together. Methane is a greenhouse gas more than 30 times more potent than carbon dioxide. *SOURCE: Zina Deretsky, National Science Foundation, based on Shakhova et al., 2010.*




FIGURE 9 The projected changes in spatial distribution and integrated annual area of optimal polar bear habitat. The base map shows the cumulative number of months per decade where optimal polar bear habitat was either lost (red) or gained (blue) from 2001–2010 to 2041–2050. Offshore gray shading denotes areas where optimal habitat was absent in both periods. Insets show the average annual cumulative area of optimal habitat (right y-axis, line plot) for four 10-year periods in the 21st century (x-axis midpoints), and their associated percent change in area (left y axis, histograms) relative to the first decade (2001–2010). *SOURCE: USGS.*




FIGURE 11 This figure shows evidence that the loss of sea ice is already affecting the atmosphere in the autumn and winter. The warmth of 2007-2012, relative to the 1971-2000 “normal”, is shown here as a function of latitude and calendar month. *SOURCE: NASA GISS.*

The correlation between autumn ice extent in the Arctic and winter snow cover over the Northern Hemisphere is even more noteworthy.



tion of light when ice is thinner. In the model, diatoms and flagellates increased, as well as two types of zooplankton. Although the simulations do not extend to the most recent years of greatest sea ice retreat, the increased productivity of lower trophic levels has profound implications for higher trophic levels in the food web including fish and marine mammals, with the potential to alter the ecological structure of large areas of the Arctic Ocean that have historically been covered by perennial sea ice.

WHAT ARE THE IMPACTS OF RAPID LOSS OF ARCTIC SEA ICE ON CLIMATE CHANGE?

The continental shelves of the Russian seas are among the largest in the world, and much of the seafloor in these seas contains relict permafrost. This permafrost and the underlying layers contain large stores of methane, a powerful greenhouse gas, in the form of methane hydrates. Recent measurements from these areas (Shakhova et al., 2010) have detected releases of methane (methane flares) consistent with perforations in the permafrost above the hydrate stores (Figure 10). The extent to which warming of the shelf waters, enhanced by the retreat of sea ice in this region, has accelerated the subsea permafrost thaw and the release of the methane stores is not known. Preliminary estimates suggest, however, that the amount of methane being released from the East Siberian shelf region may be comparable to the amount released from the remainder of the global




FIGURE 12 This figure shows that Arctic warming is strongest at the ocean surface, and that there has been an increase of elevation (geopotential height) of the pressures in the Arctic atmosphere, as would be expected with warming. (a) The vertical cross-section composite plot of air temperature anomalies ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) for the section covering East Siberian Sea, Chukchi Sea and Beaufort Sea from Bering Strait to the North Pole for October–December 2002–2008. (b) The vertical cross-section composite plot of geopotential height anomalies (dynamic meters) for the section from Bering Strait to the North Pole for October–December 2002–2008 over the area from Siberian Sea to Beaufort Sea. *SOURCE: Overland and Wang, 2010.*

ocean. Given the plausibility of further acceleration of subsea permafrost thaw in areas of sea ice loss, together with the potency of methane as a greenhouse gas, this region bears

watching for its potential to contribute to global warming in the future.

The most direct impact of sea ice retreat on climate is the warming of the Arctic atmosphere. The warming




FIGURE 13 Reduced Arctic sea ice extent in autumn is associated with increased winter snow cover in large areas of eastern Asia, central Europe and the northern half of the United States. The image shows linear regression of winter snow cover anomalies (%) on the detrended autumn Arctic sea ice area anomaly (regions within contours denote the regression above 95% confidence level). *SOURCE: Liu et al., 2012.*

would be expected to be strongest in autumn, when the additional heat absorbed by the newly-open ocean delays freeze-up and is released back to the atmosphere. Because the air normally tends to cool in autumn, the impact of the heat released from the ocean is greatest in the September-November period. This heat release from the ocean continues even after freeze-up, because the ice is thinner and less insulating than in previous

decades. This ocean-to-atmosphere heat transfer affects the distribution of atmospheric pressures that, in turn, drive atmospheric circulation (Overland and Wang, 2010).

Figure 11 shows evidence that the loss of sea ice is already affecting the atmosphere in the autumn and winter. This warmth of 2007-2012, relative to the 1971-2000 “normal”, is shown in Figure 11 as a function of latitude and calendar month. The pattern in Figure

11 highlights the polar amplification discussed earlier, but it also shows that the relative increase in Arctic warming is greatest in autumn and early winter, precisely the seasonality expected from the loss of sea ice. Figure 12 shows that the warming is strongest near the surface, consistent with the idea that such changes are driven by changes in the sea ice.

WHAT ARE THE IMPACTS OF RAPID LOSS OF ARCTIC SEA ICE ON MID-LATITUDES?

The fact that the warming is strongest in autumn and at the Arctic Ocean surface (Figures 11, 12) is consistent with the delayed freeze-up noted above. The delayed freeze-up means that an ice-free ocean underlies the atmosphere at a time of the year when reduced solar radiation favors strong atmospheric cooling. The expanded areas of open water during autumn and early winter represent not only a source of heat to the lower atmosphere, but also a source of moisture. This additional moisture increases the amount of precipitation falling over the Arctic Ocean and adjacent land areas during autumn and early winter. Not surprisingly, recent decades have seen a highly significant increase in autumn (October) snow cover over Eurasia. The increase since the late 1980s has been more than 1.4 million square kilometers of snow cover per decade. The correlation between autumn ice extent in the Arctic and winter snow cover over the Northern Hemisphere is even more noteworthy. As shown in Figure 13,

reduced Arctic sea ice extent in autumn is associated with increased winter snow cover in large areas of eastern Asia, central Europe and the northern half of the United States (Liu et al., 2012). But why should sea ice in autumn affect wintertime snow cover in middle latitudes? The proposed explanation for this relationship is based on reasoning about the pressure field that drives the primary feature of the Northern Hemisphere atmospheric circulation -- the west-to-east flow at middle and upper levels of the mid-latitude atmosphere. This airflow includes the jet stream, with its wave-like meanders around the hemisphere.

When a column of air warms, it expands vertically. Because air pressure is the weight of the overlying air, this expansion increases the altitude at which a particular pressure will be found. Figure 12 shows that there has been an increase of elevation (the geopotential height) of the pressures in the Arctic atmosphere, as would be expected with warming. Corresponding to these increases of geopotential height are increases of pressure at all elevations, with the largest increases at the highest elevations (as in Figure 12). Higher pressures in middle and upper levels of the Arctic atmosphere favor a weakening of westerly winds (or a strengthening of easterly winds) at lower latitudes. Francis and Vavrus (2012) have recently shown that there has indeed been a weakening of the westerly winds in the middle troposphere over the past two decades. The seasonality of this weakening westerly flow shows agreement with

the loss of sea ice (i.e., the westerly winds weakened primarily in autumn and winter), and the timing of the wind-pattern changes over the past few decades also agrees with the loss of sea ice, i.e., the largest decreases of westerly winds occurred after 2007.

A general weakening of the prevailing westerlies means more meandering of the airflow, including the jet stream. The jet stream typically has three to seven waves (meanders) around the hemisphere at any time (Figure 14), with northward bulges referred to as ridges and southward dips referred to as troughs. As the Arctic warms relative to lower lati-

tudes, these waves should increase in amplitude (Figure 15). These predictions have been confirmed in an analysis of observational data by Francis and Vavrus (2012) who provide evidence that ridges have indeed strengthened more than troughs have weakened, increasing wave amplitudes in the Northern Hemisphere.

HOW DO THESE CHANGES IN WAVE AMPLITUDE AFFECT WEATHER AND CLIMATE IN MIDDLE LATITUDES?

With weaker westerlies and larger-amplitude waves, the normal west-to-east progression of waves in the




FIGURE 14 The jet stream typically has three to seven waves (meanders) around the hemisphere at any time, with northward bulges referred to as ridges and southward dips referred to as troughs. *SOURCE: UW-Madison Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences.*

atmosphere is slowed. This increases the persistence of departures from normal surface weather associated with the waves -- e.g., cold surface conditions beneath troughs, warm surface conditions beneath ridges. In extreme cases, features can lock into place for weeks -- a situation known meteorologically as "blocking". The extended duration of anomalous weather can contribute to large departures from normal over monthly or even seasonal timescales. The study by Francis and Vavrus, as well as other recent studies, suggest that blocking is becoming more common during the autumn and winter. Extreme winter anomalies, such as the extended cold periods in Europe during the 2010-11 and 2011-12 winters, and the cold, snowy winter of 2010-11 in the United States, are consistent with this notion of increased blocking. Even the extremely mild winter of 2011-12 in the United States can be viewed as an example of blocking, although the persistent characteristic in that case was the absence of deep troughs and their associated cold air.

Extreme winter weather, as well as other weather events, has been linked to a particular mode of variability in atmospheric pressure systems called the Arctic Oscillation, which has a positive phase (with relatively high pressure over the polar regions and low pressure at midlatitudes) and a negative phase in which this pattern is reversed (Thompson and Wallace, 1998). The Arctic Oscillation is strongly correlated with the strength of the zonal (west-to-east)




FIGURE 15 This schematic shows ridge elongation (dashed vs. solid) and upper-level wave amplification caused by enhanced warming in Arctic relative to mid-latitudes. Higher amplitude waves progress eastward more slowly, as indicated by arrows. *SOURCE: Francis and Vavrus, 2012.*

winds in middle and high latitudes. When the Arctic Oscillation enters its negative phase, the west-to-east flow weakens and north-south meanders of the airflow (including the jet stream) become more prominent, especially in the North Atlantic sector. This is an example of a "blocking" pattern discussed earlier. Extensive autumn snow over Eurasia has been linked to a negative phase of the Arctic Oscillation during winter, through a complex dynamical mechanism (Cohen et al., 2012). This linkage is consistent with the previously described effects of sea ice on atmospheric wind patterns because sea ice retreat contributes to the increase of Eurasian snow cover, which in turn favors a negative ("blocking") phase of the Arctic Oscillation.

A topic of recent interest is the extent to which individual storm events can be tied to the atmo-

spheric signals associated with sea ice. For example, Hurricane Sandy's highly unusual westward turn into the mid-Atlantic coast occurred when the Arctic Oscillation was in a strongly negative (blocking) phase. The absence of westerly winds indeed enabled the storm to track westward. Was this related to the unprecedented retreat of sea ice in the autumn of 2012 (Figure 4)? The connection between Arctic sea ice and Hurricane Sandy is tenuous because of uncertainties in the chain of associations linking sea ice with trajectories of individual storms. It is fair to surmise, however, that sea ice loss may have increased the odds that a late-season hurricane would take an unusual westward turn in middle latitudes. Associations between sea ice and individual events will likely be an active area of research in the coming years.

Melting of Arctic sea ice has consequences both for life in the Arctic and in the mid-latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere. Will the rapid loss of sea ice continue into the future? Global climate models project a continuation of sea ice loss, especially in the warm season, through the remainder of the century. To date, the actual re-

treat of sea ice is ahead of the pace of sea ice loss projected in nearly all climate models (Stroeve et al., 2012). Although sea ice may well increase in some years or even in multiyear periods because of natural variability in the climate system (Kay et al., 2011), current projections indicate an essentially ice-free Arctic Ocean in the summer by sometime around the middle of this century. Given the accelerating sea ice loss in the past decade, the implications for middle-latitude as well as Arctic residents have grown in significance and urgency. With sea ice retreat emerging as a trigger of changes in climate throughout much of the United States, the Arctic's role as a bellwether of change is not just a concern about a remote and beautiful part of the globe. There is an increasing awareness that what happens in the Arctic does not stay in the Arctic.

REFERENCES ALEXEEV, V. A., V. V. IVANOV, R. KWOK, AND L. H. SMEDSRUD, 2013: NORTH ATLANTIC WARMING AND DECLINING VOLUME OF ARCTIC SEA ICE, THE CRYOSPHERE DISCUSS., 7, 245-265, DOI:10.5194/TCD-7-245-2013, AMAP, 2011: SNOW, WATER, ICE AND PERMAFROST IN THE ARCTIC-SWIPA. ARCTIC MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT PROGRAMME, OSLO, NORWAY, 668 PP. BESZCZYNSKA-MÖLLER, A., R. A. WOODGATE, C. LEE, H. MELLING, AND M. KARCHER, 2011: A SYNTHESIS OF EXCHANGES THROUGH THE MAIN OCEANIC GATEWAYS TO THE ARCTIC OCEAN. OCEANOGRAPHY 24(3), 82-99, HTTP://DX.DOI.ORG/10.5670/OCEANOLOG.2011.59. COHEN, J. L., J. C. FURTADO, M. A. BARLOW, V. A. ALEXEEV AND J. C. CHERRY, 2012: ARCTIC WARMING, INCREASING SNOW COVER AND WIDESPREAD WINTERTIME BOREAL COOLING. ENVIR. RES. LETT., 7, 014007, DOI:10.1088/1748-9326/7/1/014007. FRANCIS, J. A. AND S. J. VAVRUS, 2012: EVIDENCE LINKING ARCTIC AMPLIFICATION TO EXTREME WEATHER IN MID-LATITUDES. GEOPHYS. RES. LETT., 39 [AVAILABLE ONLINE AT HTTP://WWW.AGU.ORG/PUBS/CROSSREF/2012/2012GL051000.SHTML]. GREBMEIER, J. M., J. E. OVERLAND, S. E. MOORE, E. V. FARLEY, E. C. CARMACK, L. W. COOPER, K. E. FREY, J. H. HELLE, F. A. MCLAUGHLIN, AND S. L. MCNUTT, 2006: A MAJOR ECOSYSTEM SHIFT OBSERVED IN THE NORTHERN BERING SEA. SCIENCE, 311, 1461-1464. GREENEMEIER, L., 2008: U.S. PROTECTS POLAR BEARS UNDER ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT. SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, HTTP://WWW.SCIENTIFICAMERICAN.COM/ARTICLE.CFM?ID=POLAR-BEARS-THREATENED HONDA, M., J. INOUE, AND S. YAMANE, 2009: INFLUENCE OF LOW ARCTIC SEA-ICE MINIMA ON ANOMALOUSLY COLD EURASIAN WINTERS. GEOPHYS. RES. LETT., 36, L08707. IPCC, 2007: CLIMATE CHANGE 2007: THE PHYSICAL SCIENCE BASIS. CONTRIBUTION OF WORKING GROUP I TO THE FOURTH ASSESSMENT REPORT OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHANGE, 996 PP KAUFMAN, D. S., D. P. SCHNEIDER, N. P. MCKAY, C. M. AMMANN, R. S. BRADLEY, K. R. BRIFFA, G. H. MILLER, B. L. OTTO-BLIESNER, J. T. OVERPECK, B. M. VINTER, AND ARCTIC LAKES 2K PROJECT MEMBERS, 2009: RECENT WARMING REVERSES LONG-TERM ARCTIC COOLING. SCIENCE, 325, 1236, DOI:10.1126/SCIENCE.1173983. KAY, J. E., M. M. HOLLAND AND A. JAHN, 2011: INTER-ANNUAL TO MULTI-DECADAL ARCTIC SEA ICE EXTENT TRENDS IN A WARMING WORLD. GEOPHYS. RES. LETT., 38, DOI: 10.1029/2011GL048008. KINNARD, C., C. M. ZDANOWICZ, D. A. FISHER, E. ISAKSSON, A. DE VERNAL AND L. G. THOMPSON, 2011: RECONSTRUCTED CHANGES IN ARCTIC SEA ICE OVER THE PAST 1,450 YEARS. NATURE, 479, 509-512. LIU, J., J. A. CURRY, H. WANG, M. SONG, AND R. M. HORTON, 2012: IMPACT OF DECLINING ARCTIC SEA ICE ON WINTER SNOW-FALL. PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES [AVAILABLE ONLINE AT HTTP://WWW.PNAS.ORG/CONTENT/EARLY/2012/02/17/1114910109.ABSTRACT]. OVERLAND, J. E., AND M. WANG, 2010: LARGE-SCALE ATMOSPHERIC CIRCULATION CHANGES ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THE RECENT LOSS OF ARCTIC SEA ICE. TELLUS, 62A, 1-9. PEROVICH, D., W. MEIER, M. TSCHUDI, S. GERLAND AND J. RICHTER-MENGE, 2013: SEA ICE. ARCTIC REPORT CARD: UPDATE FOR 2012. TO APPEAR IN 2012 STATE OF THE CLIMATE, BULL. AMER. METEOR. SOC., IN PRESS. ALSO AVAILABLE AT HTTP://WWW.ARCTIC.NOAA.GOV/REPORTCARD/SEA_ICE.HTML PEROVICH, D., AND J. RICHTER-MENGE, 2009: LOSS OF SEA ICE IN THE ARCTIC. ANN. REV. MAR. SCI., 1, 417-441. POLYAKOV, I. V., V. A. ALEXEEV, U. S. BHATT, E. I. POLYAKOVA AND X. ZHANG, 2010: NORTH ATLANTIC WARMING: FINGERPRINTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE AND MULTIDECADAL VARIABILITY, CLIMATE DYNAMICS, 34, 439-457. SERREZE, M. C., A. P. BARRETT AND J. C. STROEVE, 2012: RECENT CHANGES IN TROPOSPHERIC WATER VAPOR OVER THE ARCTIC AS ASSESSED FROM RADIOSONDES AND ATMOSPHERIC REANALYSES. J. GEOPHYS. RES., 117, DOI: 10.1029/2011JD017421. SERREZE, M. C., A. P. BARRETT, J. C. STROEVE, D. N. KINDIG AND M. M. HOLLAND, 2009: THE EMERGENCE OF SURFACE-BASED ARCTIC AMPLIFICATION. THE CRYOSPHERE, 3, 11-19. SERREZE, M. C., AND J. A. FRANCIS, 2006: THE ARCTIC AMPLIFICATION DEBATE. CLIMATE DYNAMICS, 76, 241-264. SHAKHOVA N, I. SEMILETOV, A. SALYUK, V. YUSUPOV, D. KOSMACH AND O. GUSTAFSSON O., 2010: EXTENSIVE METHANE VENTING TO THE ATMOSPHERE FROM SEDIMENTS OF THE EAST SIBERIAN ARCTIC SHELF. SCIENCE, 327, 1246-1250. SHIMADA, K., T. KAMOSHIDA, M. ITOH, S. NISHINO, E. CARMACK, F. MCLAUGHLIN, S. ZIMMERMAN, AND A. PROSHUTINSKY, 2006: PACIFIC OCEAN INFLOW: INFLUENCE ON CATASTROPHIC REDUCTION OF SEA ICE COVER IN THE ARCTIC OCEAN. GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 33: L08605, DOI:10.1029/2005GL025624. STROEVE, J. C., M. C. SERREZE, M. M. HOLLAND, J. E. KAY, J. MASLANIK AND A. P. BARRETT, 2011: THE ARCTIC'S RAPIDLY SHRINKING SEA ICE COVER: A RESEARCH SYNTHESIS. CLIMATIC CHANGE, DOI:10.1007/S10584-011-0101-1. STROEVE, J. C., V. M. KATTSOV, A. P. BARRETT, M. C. SERREZE, A. PAVLOVA, M. M. HOLLAND AND W. N. MEIER, 2012: TRENDS IN ARCTIC SEA ICE EXTENT FROM CMIP5, CMIP3 AND OBSERVATIONS. GEOPHYS. RES. LETT., 39, L16502. THOMPSON, D. W. J., AND J. M. WALLACE, 1998: THE ARCTIC OSCILLATION SIGNATURE IN THE WINTERTIME GEOPOTENTIAL HEIGHT AND TEMPERATURE FIELDS. GEOPHYS. RES. LETT., 25 (9), 1297-1300. ZHANG, J., Y. H. SPITZ, M. STEELE, C. ASHJIAN, R. CAMPBELL, L. BERLINE, AND P. MATRAI, 2010: MODELING THE IMPACT OF DECLINING SEA ICE ON THE ARCTIC MARINE PLANKTONIC ECOSYSTEM. J. GEOPHYS. RES., 115, C10015, DOI:10.1029/2009JC005387.



The Ocean Studies Board would like to thank the founding and continuing sponsors for their generous support of the Revelle Lecture. This lecture series would not be possible without their generous contributions.

S P O N S O R S O F T H E 1 4 T H R O G E R R E V E L L E L E C T U R E



Smithsonian
Science Education Center





NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

1863-2013 • Celebrating 150 Years of Service to the Nation

REVELLE ALUMNI



DR. PETER
BREWER



DR. SHIRLEY
A. POMPONI



DR. MARCIA
K. MCNUTT



DR. MICHAEL
K. ORBACH



ADM. JAMES
D. WATKINS



DR. RICHARD
B. ALLEY



DR. ROGER
PIELKE, JR.



DR. KEN
CALDEIRA



DR. MICHAEL
H. FREILICH



DR. PAUL G.
FALKOWSKI



DR. JANE
LUBCHENCO



DR. NANCY
RABALAIS



DR. EDDIE
BERNARD



greenrhinodesign.com