Urinary Concentrations of Benzophenone-Type Filters and Couple Fecundity, LIFE Study

Germaine M. Buck Louis, Ph.D., M.S. Dean & Professor, College of Health & Human Services George Mason University

Acknowledgements:

Funded by the NICHD Intramural Funding (contracts # No1-HD-3-3355, No1-HD-3-3356, NOH-HD-3-3358, HHSN27500001)

AJE 2014:180:1168-1175. Buck Louis, Kannan, Sapra, Maisog, Sundaram

Benzophenone-Type UV Filters

- Emerging class of environmental chemicals that absorb UV radiation... used in sunscreen & personal care products
- 29 UV-filters, limited information on biologic activity
 & health effects (not all in commercial use)
 - In vivo & in vitro evidence supports estrogenic & anti-androgenic biologic activity (Fang et al. 2000; Ma et al. 2003, Schreurs et al. 2005)
 - BP-1 associated with endometriosis (Kunisue et al. 2012)
 - BP-2 & hypospadias in mice (Hsieh et al. 2007)
 - Influence sex hormone signaling (Blair et al. 2000; Suzuki et al. 2005)
- Prevalent human exposure
 - BP-3 detected in 97% of participants in NHANES (Calafat et al. 2008)

Human Reproduction - Terminology

- Fecundity
 - Biologic capacity for human reproduction irrespective of pregnancy intentions
- Fertility
 - Demonstrated fecundity as measured by live (still) births

Research Aim

- To assess the association between 5 BPfilters & couple fecundity as measured by time-to-pregnancy.
 - Male partner
 - Female partner
 - Couple

Methods



- Prospective cohort design with preconception recruitment of 501 couples
 - Population-based: 16 counties in Michigan & Texas
- Daily follow-up of couples until hCG pregnancy or 12 months of trying
 - Fertility monitor to help time intercourse
 - Digital home pregnancy tests
- Urine collection from both partners upon enrollment









Benzophenone-Type Filters

- Quantified (ng/mL) in urine using triplequadrupole mass spectrometry*
 - BP-1 [2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone]
 - BP-2 [2,2',4,4'-tetrahydroxybenzophenone]
 - BP-3 [2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzophenone]
 - BP-8 [2,2'-dihydroxy-4-methoxybenzophenone]
 - 4OH-BP [4-hydroxybenzophenone]

^{*}Zhang et al. 2011; Kunisue et al. 2010



BP-2 40H-BP BP-3 BP-1 Similar molecular structure – arise from metabolism of BP-3? BP-8

Statistical Analysis



- Fecundability odds ratios & 95% confidence intervals estimated for each UV filter and partner using Cox model for discrete survival time
 - BP-filters categorized at ≥75th percentile vs. lower
 - FORs <1.0 denote diminished fecundity or longer time-to-pregnancy
 - Modeling both partners' exposures, given low correlations (≤0.19)

FORs <1.0 denote diminished fecundability or a longer time-to-pregnancy

- serum cotinine (no, passive, active smoking)
- season (winter, spring, summer, fall)
- research site (Michigan/Texas)
- Accounted for left truncation or time off contraception
- Couples censored at withdrawal or 12 months of trying

Findings



Description of Study Cohort (N=501)

Characteristic	Male Partners	Female Partners	
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	
Age (years)*	31 (28, 35)	29 (27, 33)	
BMI (kg/m²)*	29 (26, 32)	26 (23, 31)	
Creatinine (mg/dl)*	140 (72, 201)	78 (35, 136)	
Cotinine (ng/mL)*	0.04 (0.02, 1.49)	0.02 (0.01, 0.08)	



Distribution of UV-Filters

BP-Filter (ng/mL)	Males (n=439) Median (IQR)	Females (n=454) Median (IQR)
BP-1*	1.1 (0.4, 6.9)	4.2 (1.0, 18.1)
BP-2	0.0 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.2)
BP-3	2.4 (0.8, 13.9)	7.7 (1.9, 43.4)
BP-8	0.1 (0.0, 0.4)	0.1 (0.0, 0.9)
4OH-BP*	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	0.2 (0.1, 0.4) * P < 0.05



Fecundability Odds Ratios - Partners

UV- Filters	Male Partners (n=439)		Female Partners (n=454)		
	FOR (CI) ^a	FOR (CI) ^b	FOR (CI) ^a	FOR (CI) ^b	
BP-1	1.06 (0.79, 1.43)	0.97 (0.71, 1.32)	1.13 (0.85, 1.49)	1.02 (0.76, 1.37)	
BP-2	0.70 (0.51, 0.95)	0.69 (0.50, 0.95)	0.81 (0.60, 1.10)	0.82 (0.60, 1.12)	
BP-3	1.20 (0.90, 1.59)	1.10 (0.81, 1.49)	1.21 (0.91, 1.62)	1.12 (0.83, 1.53)	
BP-8	1.43 (1.07, 1.91)	1.34 (0.98, 1.83)	1.34 (1.02, 1.78)	1.20 (0.89, 1.63)	
4OH- BP	0.85 (0.65, 1.12)	0.74 (0.54, 0.99)	0.86 (0.63, 1.16)	0.77 (0.56,1.06)	

^aAge & creatinine adjusted; ^bFully adjusted



Fecundability Odds Ratios - Couples

UV- Filters	Male Partners (n=439)		Female Partners (n=454)		
	FOR (CI) ^a	FOR (CI) ^b	FOR (CI) ^a	FOR (CI) ^b	
BP-1	1.00 (0.73, 1.38)	0.84 (0.60, 1.18)	1.16 (0.86, 1.57)	1.08 (0.78, 1.49)	
BP-2	0.70 (0.50, 0.98)	0.69 (0.49, 0.97)	0.91 (0.66, 1.26)	0.89 (0.64, 1.24)	
BP-3	1.13 (0.83, 1.55)	0.93 (0.66, 1.30)	1.16 (0.85, 1.59)	1.11(0.79, 1.56)	
BP-8	1.23 (0.86, 1.75)	1.11(0.77, 1.60)	1.25 (0.89, 1.76)	1.20 (0.83, 1.71)	
4OH- BP	0.92 (0.68, 1.25)	0.76 (0.55, 1.06)	0.81 (0.58, 1.14)	0.79 (0.56, 1.13)	

^aAge & creatinine adjusted; ^bFully adjusted



Summary

- Male partners' urinary BP-2 & 4OH-BP concentrations associated with ≈30% reduction in fecundability
 - FOR=0.69; 95% CI=0.50, 0.95
 - FOR=0.74; 95% CI=0.54, 1.00
- Male partner's BP-2 concentration remained after accounting for female's concentration
 - FOR=0.69; 95% CI=0.49, 0.97



Summary - continued

- Findings await corroboration including longitudinal measurement of exposures
 - ICCs for BP-3 range from 0.62 to 0.80, and are higher than BPA & dichlorophenols (Meeker et al. 2013; Lassen et al. 2013; Koch et al. 2014)
- Mechanism(s) uncertain
 - Estrogenic potencies 1,000 -100,000 times lower than 17-βestradiol, yet higher than other xenoestrogens such as BPA (Kawamura et al. 2003)
 - Sex specific vulnerabilities or differences in metabolism



Could semen quality be the pathway to diminished fecundability?



35 endpoints:

5 general, 8 motility, 6 sperm head, 14 morphology & 2 sperm chromatin stability assay

BP-Filters & Semen Quality Endpoints



Semen Endpoint	BP-1 β (SE)	BP-2 β (SE)	BP-3 β (SE)	BP-8 β (SE)	4OH-BP β (SE)
Volume			0.15 (0.1)		
Sperm count		-0.70 (0.3)			
% Straightness		-4.71 (2.0)			
% Linear		-3.20 (1.3)			
% Immature		0.32 (0.1)*			0.26 (0.1)*
% Acrosome (area of head)				1.18 (0.5)	
% Megalo head		0.22 (0.1)*			
% Pyriform				0.30 (0.1)*	

Linear regression with fixed & random effects; BP-filters dichotomized at 75^{th} percentile & adjusted for age, BMI, serum cotinine, research site with Box-Cox transformation of relevant semen quality endpoints (n=413). P-values <0.05 unless otherwise noted (*p \leq 0.01).

Thank you....

