




WFIRST Project Response to post-WIETR direction

Jeffrey Kruk
WFIRST Project Scientist


Introduction

- WFIRST highest ranked large space mission in 2010 Decadal Survey
- Use of 2.4m telescope enables
 - Hubble quality imaging over 100x more sky
 - Exoplanet studies with a coronagraph at 10^{-8} - 10^{-9} contrast


Dark Energy




Exoplanets



coronagraph




Astrophysics



HST

WFIRST

WFIRST Observatory Concept



Key Features

Telescope: 2.4m aperture

Instruments:

Wide Field Imager /
Spectrometer & Integral Field
Unit

Internal Coronagraph with
Integral Field Spectrometer

Data Downlink: 275 Mbps

Data Volume: 11 Tb/day

Orbit: Sun-Earth L2

Launch Vehicle: 4 options

Mission Duration: 5 yr, 10yr goal

Serviceability: Observatory
designed to be robotically
serviceable

Starshade compatible

WFIRST Independent External Technical/Management/Cost Review

- June-July 2017: Initial activities
 - Delivery of documents for review
- August 2017: Site visits
- October 2017: report released, new SMD direction to project
- December 2017: finalize revised baseline
- January 2018: begin delivering materials to Standing Review Board for System Requirements Review / Mission Definition Review

I am directing the Goddard Space Flight Center to study modifying the current WFIRST design, the design that was reviewed by the WIETR, to reduce cost and complexity sufficient to have a cost estimate consistent with the \$3.2B cost target set at the beginning of Phase A.

The following constraints and changes are directed to begin this design modification study:

- The basic architecture of the mission, including the use of the existing 2.4m telescope, a widefield instrument, and a coronagraph instrument, shall be retained.*
- The implementation of the mission risk classification shall be consistent with the findings of the WIETR report.*
- Reductions shall be taken in the widefield instrument.*
- The coronagraph instrument shall be treated as a technology demonstration instrument, consistent with the findings of the WIETR report; in addition, reductions shall be taken in the coronagraph instrument.*
- The cost of science investigations shall be reduced.*
- The additional use of commercial subsystems and components shall be considered for the spacecraft; however, serviceability for both the spacecraft and the payload will be retained.*

- Project baseline was revised to fit in \$3.2B
- Savings that don't affect science return:
 - Incorporate international contributions
 - Improved budget profile
 - STMD contributions towards coronagraph
 - Optimization of design, integration & test flow
 - Eliminated one processor by combining functions
 - Simplifications to payload I&T saves schedule and reduces some test equipment & facility costs
 - -> Combination of the above saves 6 months in schedule

- Aspects of mission architecture that remain unchanged, as directed:
 - Existing 2.4m telescope components
 - Wide-Field Instrument
 - Coronagraph Instrument
 - Serviceability of spacecraft and payload

Risk Classification

➤ Class A will be implemented with the following tailoring:

- In general, a protoflight approach is used for qualification. Engineering units are used for new or modified hardware.
- For WFIRST-unique developments, sparing is at the subassembly level with additional kitted spares; for procured components, no spares are planned.
- Comply with Class A requirement for Level 1 parts except where additional screening adds little value or invalidates heritage of procured components
- Coronagraph is Class C with tailoring to be determined during Phase B

- Modified detector requirements to specify performance at the focal plane level, rather than at the individual detector level
 - Quality across entire focal plane is key discriminator for WFI science
 - New requirements increase yield, i.e. decrease time required to manufacture full set of flight detectors
- Decreased focal plane operating temperature from 100K to 95K
 - Reduces noise, thereby increasing detector yield
 - Colder temperature is possible by utilizing WFI radiator thermal margin
- Assume the Integral Field Channel (IFC) is contributed by an international partner.
- Main simplification to WFI, elimination of cryocooler, was made prior to WIETR.
- *Otherwise maintained full WFI H/W capabilities*

WFIRST Field of View



 HST/ACS  HST/WFC3  JWST/NIRCAM

Diffraction-limited imaging
0.28 square degree FoV
0.11" pixels
R~4 filters spanning 0.28-2.0 μm
Sensitivity: 27.8 H(AB) @5 σ in 1hr


Slitless grism:
1.0-1.93 μm
R: 435-865



Coronagraph


- Coronagraph is now treated fully as a technology demonstration instrument.
 - Reduction in masks & filters shortens I&T flow
 - Change to participating scientist program eliminates most associated science operation center costs
 - Model similar to PI-class instrument
 - Retained Class C designation with tailoring to be determined during Phase B
 - *Otherwise maintained CGI architecture & functions*

Coronagraph architecture



Basic architecture unchanged.
Some modes removed and some not tested to reduce cost.

CGI Configuration




$\lambda_1=575$ nm, 10% (annular, 3-9 λ/D)

$\lambda_3=760$ nm, 18% (bow-tie / IFS, 3-9 λ/D)

$\lambda_2=660$ nm, 18% (bow-tie / IFS, 3-9 λ/D)

$\lambda_4=825$ nm, 10% (annular, 3-19 λ/D)

CGI current performance predictions



- The Project conducted formal make/buy trade studies for spacecraft components with the greatest potential for commercialization.
 - Most components/subsystems procured from industry
 - Many “in-house” subsystems designed in-house but fabricated by industry

- 10% cut across the board for future SIT funding
- GO modified to provide only modes required by the other surveys, and limited to three calls
 - Still have 5 archival research calls
- Significant reduction to wide-field science operations center capability: Deleted PSF database & tools, simulated source injection, and ability of pipeline to process fully simulated data
- Consolidated science center activities at STScI. Microlensing, CGI PSP support, and GO Program remain at IPAC.

➤ What has not changed:

- All observing time to be selected competitively
 - Some close to launch, the rest periodically thereafter
- All data will be public immediately
- Scientific priorities to be updated throughout mission, based on landscape at the time

➤ Changes under consideration:

- Models for time allocation to large programs
- Models for structure of teams for large programs
- Have begun consultations w/FSWG and will be seeking community input on both topics

Project Status

- System Requirements Review / Mission Definition Review held February 27 – March 1
 - Do we have the right requirements? / Does the mission design meet those requirements?
- KDP-B scheduled for April 11, 2018
- Notional schedule:
 - PDR: late 2019
 - CDR: mid 2021
 - Launch: 3rd quarter 2025

Near-Term Activities

- Execute the Project Plan presented at SRR/MDR
- Complete the element SRRs in coming months
 - Spacecraft, telescope, instruments, ground system
- Complete negotiations with international partners
- Respond within 60 days to the language in the FY18 Consolidated Appropriations Act
- Flow requirements to lower levels; complete optimization of instrument design parameters

BACKUP

Key WIETR Findings

- **The WFIRST planned science surveys program and system design offer groundbreaking and unprecedented survey capabilities to the Dark Energy, Exoplanets, and Astrophysics communities.**
- The WFIRST team has done a considerable amount of work for a project that has yet to enter KDP-B, particularly in areas that minimize development and cost risk; key processes for execution and control are in place, and **the science and mission system concepts are mature.**
- The WFIRST Project and Subsystem Management, Science, Systems Engineering, and Business Management personnel are very experienced, including in the management of large/flagship missions, and have the necessary skills to lead a mission of the level of complexity of WFIRST.
- **The WFIRST Project has been methodical, thorough, and inclusive in the analysis and derivation of the science and corresponding technical and data requirements,** however, additional work is needed to: 1) negotiate and codify them clearly and unambiguously, 2) include Programmatic Direction that should be codified as Level 1 requirements; and 3) develop a plan to comprehensively validate them.
- **The Wide-Field Instrument (WFI) is the primary instrument of WFIRST; a tremendous science capability that will be substantially more capable than Euclid, far better than HST or JWST, and well beyond what is possible from the ground in the conduct of faint infrared surveys that remain of high science interest.**