

# Decadal Survey for Solar and Space Physics (Heliophysics) 2024-2033

Steering Committee Meeting 1



**Monday, August 22, 2022**  
Draft agenda subject to change

Stream the meeting live here:  
<https://livestream.com/accounts/7036396/events/10579332>

\*all times Eastern

## Open Session

1:10 pm	Welcome	<b>Robyn Millan &amp; Stephen Fuselier</b> Steering Committee Co-chairs
1:15 pm	Discussions with NASA	<b>Nicola Fox</b> NASA Heliophysics Division Director
3:00 pm	Break	
3:30 pm	Discussions with NOAA	<b>Elsayed Talaat</b> Director of the Office of Projects, Planning, and Analysis, NOAA NESDIS <b>Rich Ullman</b> Deputy Director, OPPA <b>Larry Zanetti</b> NOAA NESDIS
4:30 pm	Discussions with NSF	<b>Alan Liu</b> Section Head, Geospace, NSF <b>Carrie Black</b> Program Officer for National Solar Observatory Operations, NSF
5:30 pm	Adjourn for the day	

## TUESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2022

\*all times Eastern

Stream the meeting live here:

<https://livestream.com/accounts/7036396/events/10579332>

### Open Session

1:55 pm	Welcome to Open Session	<b>Robyn Millan &amp; Stephen Fuselier</b> Steering Committee Co-chairs
2:00 pm	Discussions with The Aerospace Corporation	<b>Justin S. Yoshida</b> The Aerospace Corporation
2:45pm	Break	
3:15 pm	Discussions with AFOSR	<b>Julie Moses</b> Program Officer, Air Force Office of Scientific Research
4:00 pm	Lessons Learned from the 2013 Decadal Survey in Solar and Space Physics (Heliophysics)	<b>Thomas Zurbuchen</b> Survey Vice-Chair, Associate Administrator NASA Science Mission Directorate  <b>Dan Baker</b> Survey Chair, Director Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics University of Colorado Boulder
5:00 pm	Open Session ends	

**The following information is provided for any members of the general public who may be in attendance:**

This meeting is being held to gather information to help the committee conduct its study. This committee will examine the information and material obtained during this, and other public meetings, in an effort to inform its work. Although opinions may be stated and lively discussion may ensue, no conclusions are being drawn at this time and no recommendations will be made. In fact, the committee will deliberate thoroughly before writing its draft report. Moreover, once the draft report is written, it must go through a rigorous review by experts who are anonymous to the committee, and the committee then must respond to this review with appropriate revisions that adequately satisfy the Academy's Report Review committee and the chair of the NRC before it is considered an NRC report. Therefore, observers who draw conclusions about the committee's work based on today's discussions will be doing so prematurely.

Furthermore, individual committee members often engage in discussion and questioning for the specific purpose of probing an issue and sharpening an argument. The comments of any given committee member may not necessarily reflect the position he or she may actually hold on the subject under discussion, to say nothing of that person's future position as it may evolve in the course of the project. Any inference about an individual's position regarding findings or recommendations in the final report are therefore also premature.