

COLLABORATIVE APPROACH TO PUBLIC GOODS INVESTMENT (CAPGI) PRESENTED BY UNITED WAY OF GREATER CLEVELAND

WHAT UWGC IS SOLVING FOR:



- Accountable Health Communities (AHC) project asks us to identify and fill gaps in social services. There are lots of gaps but few new opportunities to address them.
- The U.S. underinvests in social services compared to other OECD countries, and then wonders why are health outcomes are worse than other countries
- Health providers and health insurance in value/risk-based arrangements, particularly Managed Care, should in theory be incentivized to invest in upstream chronic disease management, including addressing social need.
- The health sector continues to mostly pay for downstream, reactive treatment after someone is already sick.

COMMUNITY HEALTH



By Len M. Nichols and Lauren A. Taylor

POLICY INSIGHT

Social Determinants As Public Goods: A New Approach To Financing Key Investments In Healthy Communities

DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.2018.0039 HEALTH AFFAIRS 37, NO. 8 (2018): 1223–1230 ©2018 Project HOPE— The People-to-People Health Foundation, Inc.

https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2018.0039

https://www.healthaffairs.org/do/10.1377/hblog20200811.667525/full/

3/15/2021

CAPGI BASIC THEORY



- A funding mechanism for facilitating upstream investment on social service projects that aligns insurance and provider financial incentives
- By investing upstream collaboratively, we remove concerns about "free riders" and incentivize investment
- "Trusted Broker", UWGC in this case, facilitates "Investors" (health insurance, health providers, other interested parties) investing in social services of their choosing
- Builds on emerging evidence of social service savings for health sector (e.g. Nurse Family Partnership, Medically Tailored Meals, Housing First, and others)

Example of Pricing for Upstream Investments

Cost: \$180 for Complex Case Management by CHWs and Social Workers

Value Expressed



Initial Bid: \$110



Initial Bid: \$50



Initial Bid: \$40

Sum of Bids (Collective Valuation) = \$110 + \$50 + 40 = \$200

But We only Need \$180 to Cover the Cost

SO

We need 90% (180/200) of Total We can allow 10% "Discount" or ROI to All Bidders

Prices Assigned



Price Charged: \$99 (\$11 less than Bid)



Price Charged: \$45 (\$5 less than bid)



Price Charged: \$36 (\$4 less than bid)

= \$180

= \$200

Total Collected = \$180 = Cost of Intervention = \$180, but VALUE delivered = \$200

UNITED WAY'S ROLE AS "TRUSTED



BROKER"

- Recruit investors with buy-in to general concept of collaborative, upstream investment
- Facilitate investors setting parameters for collaborative investment
- Seek out projects to fund and facilitate investors choosing project
- Accept confidential bids, determines if bids are enough to fund project, and sets prices
- Ensure launch of service through data management and formation of legal agreements
- Evaluate large-scale project

PROJECT OUTCOMES



Short-term:

- Use investor funds to support chosen project: Benjamin Rose Institute on Aging's (BRIA) Nutrition Solution program
 - medically tailored meals and social isolation reduction for approximately 400 seniors who have chronic health conditions and food insecurity/social isolation
- Provide return on investment for investors
- Improve health outcomes of service recipients

PROJECT OUTCOMES



Long-term:

- Positive experience for investors, including robust evaluation of BRIA project
- CAPGI as a funding mechanism for many future social needs projects
- Savings for the health sector broadly due to upstream investments
- Further address "wrong pocket problem" with larger projects involving government, others who have potential savings
- New, much needed funds to social service sector

ONGOING CHALLENGES



Legal issues:

- Despite desire for health system/social service partnership, and guidance from <u>OCR</u> specifying data sharing capabilities with non-covered entities, fear of data breaches remains paramount
- Many social service entities that hospitals/managed care hopes to partner with will struggle to accept overly stringent legal terms

Stigma:

 Limits placed on social service provision based on fears of promoting dependence on social services and/or fears of taking advantage of patient with enticements



THANK YOU!