DATA FOR POWER: WELL BEING IN THE NATION MEASURES

SOMAVA SAHA, MD MS, PRESIDENT AND CEO, WELL-BEING AND EQUITY (WE) IN THE WORLD EXECUTIVE LEAD, WELL BEING IN THE NATION (WIN) NETWORK













FAULT LINES OF SEGREGATION









https://ri-department-of-health-covid-19-data-rihealth.hub.arcgis.com/







THE NEW REDLINING – HOW CAN WE BE BETTER ANCESTORS?



An equitable recovery is built on trust

Together we are transforming our relationships and systems to create the conditions for everyone to thrive.

COMMUNITIES RISE PARTNERS -2400+ CBOS ON THE GROUND WHO BRING DEEP DECADES LONG EXPERIENCE TO REACHING HARD TO REACH COMMUNITIES



















Facilitated by







WHY DO THE WELL-BEING IN THE NATION (WIN) MEASURES EXIST? ¿POR QUÉ EXISTEN LAS MEDIDAS DE WIN?

- To connect the grassroots to the grasstops in an unprecedented and living collaboration to define, measure and improve what matters to communities. Para conectar una colaboración estrecha entre los esfuerzos comunitarios a los que promueven políticas (grassroots to grasstops) para definir, cuantificar y mejorar asuntos importantes en las comunidades.
- To give communities access to data to drive their own improvement—and accountability. / Para brindar a las comunidades el acceso a la información e impulsar su propio progreso y rendición de cuentas.
- To create tools and supports to transform ourselves and our world. / Para crear herramientas y asistencias para transformar nuestro mundo y a nosotros mismos.

CHANGING WHAT WE MEASURE SO ALL PEOPLE AND PLACES CAN THRIVE — PEOPLE REPORTED WELL-BEING

Common Measures for Adult Well-being

 Please imagine a ladder with steps numbered from zero at the bottom to ten at the top. The top of the ladder represents the <u>best possible life for you</u> and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you.

Indicate where on the ladder you feel you personally stand right now.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

2. On which step do you think you will stand about five years from now?

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

3. Now imagine the top of the ladder represents the <u>best possible financial</u>
<u>situation for you</u>, and the bottom of the ladder represents the <u>worst possible</u>
<u>financial situation for you</u>. Please indicate where on the ladder you stand right

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Life evaluation

% people thriving

% people struggling

% people suffering

Overall life eval index: %thriving - % suffering

Age
Sex
Race/Ethnicity
Education
Zip code
Veteran status

- Two simple questions
- Administered 2.7 million times, highly validated
- Relates to morbidity, mortality, cost
- Useful for risk stratification
- Works across sectors
- Recommended by OECD
- Recommended by National Academies as a Leading indicator for Healthy People 2030

www.winmeasures.org



DELAWARE OUTCOMES












FAQ

% DSAMH Patients who are Suffering



- One of only three states where overdose rates didn't increase in 2020 (23% increase on average across nation)
- Reduced incarcerations (diversion)
- Reduced homelessness among the most vulnerable
- Data systems across sectors
- Improved access for everyone leveraging telehealth and online supports (Support Wall)

ABOUT THE WIN MEASURES

- I. The Well-Being In the Nation (WIN) Measurement Framework offers a set of common measures to assess and improve population and community health and well-being that was developed with contributors from each sector and with local communities.
- 2. Connects the grassroots to the grasstops in measure selection—and prioritizes grassroots.
 - The process of selecting measures weighs what communities value and find easy to collect as primary in selecting measures.
 - Stories, real life testing, dialogue and community voting are all critical parts of the process.
- 3. The framework was developed by the National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics; measure development and framework evolution is facilitated by Well-being and Equity (WE) in the World.
- 4. WIN is a living library of measures continually updated as we learn.
 - Ongoing Delphi systemic measures of racial justice and intergenerational well-being.
- 5. Makes data accessible to communities
 - WIN data cooperative
 - RISE realtime data pipeline

Cycle 1: Identify
proposed
measures

Compile suggested measures for each domain from inputs (e.g., landscape, listening sessions, dialogues). Create session summary.

Categorize measures by racial justice and intergenerational well-being focus and filter by: current, new potential/additional, systems change.

Assess list of new potential measures based on established Guiding Principles for Measures Revision or Addition.

Curate list of candidate measures for public prioritization.

Output: 1. Summary document of measures for each domain to support real-time engagement, 2. List/spreadsheet of candidate measures for public prioritization by importance for each domain

Cycle 2: Community Prioritization

Build out community participatory voting process (e.g., Mindmixer) for prioritizing proposed measures/concepts based on importance & useful.

Identify community groups and other stakeholders and distribute voting links for each domain. Participants rate/comment on measures/concepts based on "Important"& "Useful" criteria by domain.

For prioritized measures, identify "Basic Information".
For concepts without measures, Design team identify valid measures in the field (where possible).

Output: List of candidate measures by domain for technical filtering.

Cycle 3: Technical Filtering

Identify and recruit technical experts for each domain/subdomain. Share spreadsheet with criteria for assessing proposed measures and instructions for technical filtering.

Subdomain technical experts review list of measures and assess for valid, reliable, importance for research/national priorities (Y/N).

For prioritized concepts without measures, technical experts assist in identifying valid measures in the field (where they exist).

Output: List of candidate measures by domain for public rating/prioritization

Cycle 4: Public Rating / Prioritization

Build out community participatory voting process (e.g., Mindmixer) for rating and prioritizing based on criteria (0-3 rating).

Identify potential experts by training and lived experience at the community, state, national, federal levels for each domain/sub-domain.

Distribute voting links for specific domain, background materials, and instructions for reviewing proposed measures based on decision criteria.

Incorporate "voting" from story to measures translation from community dialogue process.

Output: List of X# most recommended measures by domain.

Cycle 5: Technical Categorization & Align/Refine

Technical reviewers validate prioritized list of measures and categorize into leading indicators or flexible expanded set based on importance to communities and level of data availability.

WIN Council reviews validated prioritized list to ensure balance of measures (standard, widely used, potential/used in fewer places, developmental) Review current 2019
Flexible Expanded
Measures for
promotion/demotion
based on any learning
and data availability.

Compare and align Cycle 4 list of measures with national initiatives and groups. Address any gaps. Output:
Refined/Updated WIN
Measures with a racial
justice and
intergenerational wellbeing lens

Cycle 6: Communication & Dissemination

Develop WIN Measures Framework Report and update winmeasures.org. Develop communications materials and dissemination plan.

Develop tools and resources to support community implementation (or link to existing).

Note: Propose that this process occur on a rolling basis by domain, following each listening session as measures are identified.

FINAL PROCESS OUTPUTS:

Refined/updates list of measures; 2. WIN Measures Report; 3. Updated winmeasures.org
 4. Tools to support community implementation


Overall Measures







NETWORK



Basic Needs Need Food: **1,632.0** Center for Popular Democracy 2,025 Chromatic Black 431 Need Housing: 1,514.0 5,282 Fresno leed Rent: 1,334.0 230 Hawai'i Public Health Institute Need Utilities: 1,505.0 Latino Health Access 0 ed Childcare: 996.0 Meals on Wheels America 136 428 Migrant Clinician's Network leed Employment: 1,057.0 National Councils on Aging 1,221 leed Finances: 1,314.0 Public Health Institute-CACHE 81 Need Transportation: 1,217.0 USAging 2,016 leed Personal Safety: 1,118.0 We in the World-Win Total 11,850 No assistance needed: 1,795.0

Inability to Afford a \$400 Emergency Expense, by Race/Ethnicity (2017) Hispanic Black, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Other, Non-Hispanic 0.00 5 10 15 20 25 Percent WELL BEING

www.winmeasures.org

100 Million

Healthier Lives




WIN Measures - Changing Ourselves and Changing Our World

- Changing ourselves
 - Tools to measure community collaboration and equity
 - Tools to measure coalition power
 - O Tools to assess where you are on the journey to racial justice
 - Tools to assess where you are on the journey to population health equity
- Changing our world
 - O Tools to assess well-being of people, places, systems
 - Data for your community
 - Tools to use data to drive change







Maya Angelou

BACKGROUND SLIDES

WELL-BEING IN THE NATION (WIN) OVERALL (CORE) MEASURES

Wellbeing of people

- People's perception of their well-being
- Life expectancy

Wellbeing of places

- Healthy communities index (USNWR/CHRR)
- Child poverty

Overall Measures







Equity

- Differences in subjective wellbeing
- Years of potential life gained
- Income inequality, graduation rates
- Differences by demographic variables (race, place, gender, educational level, language, sexual identity, etc.)

Vital Conditions

























Alignment of WIN Measure Domains & Vital Conditions

Vital Conditions for Well-being

WIN Measure Domains & Subdomains											
Community Vitality	Economy	Education	Environment	Food & Agriculture	Health	Public Safety	Housing	Transportation			
Social capital Governance Civic engagement	Employment Income & wealth	Participation & achievement Infrastructure & capacity	Natural environment Neighborhood characteristics	Food availability Nutrition	Health outcomes Health conditions & diseases Health behaviors	Crime Injuries Infrastructure Perceptions of public safety	Infrastructure & capacity Quality Use &	Infrastructure & capacity Use & affordability Quality			
Social inclusiveness	SS		Built environment		Health care infrastructure		affordability				

Belonging & Civic	Meaningful Work	Lifelong Learning	Thriving Natural	Basic Needs for	Basic Needs for	Basic Needs for	Humane Housing	Reliable		
Muscle	& Wealth		World	Health & Safety	Health & Safety	Health & Safety		Transportation		
40.50 0°										

FOR MORE INFORMATION

- WIN measures https://www.winmeasures.org/
- WE in the World https://weintheworld.org/
- WIN Network https://winnetwork.org/