

Incentives and Disincentives for Pediatric Drug Development

- *Industry Perspective*

Natasha Jarrett
Director, Regulatory Affairs
Hoffmann La-Roche

- Incentives and requirements exist for industry to generate data on the use of drugs/ biologics in paediatric patients
- Shift from protecting paediatric patients ***against*** drug trials to protecting them ***through*** drug trials and data generation
- Paediatric trials are becoming a routine part of drug development
- Experience with paediatric trial design and operational execution is still growing within industry
- Many special considerations exist for planning and conducting paediatric trials compared to adult trials

Incentives

Regulatory Incentives

Mandatory – (PREA, US)

- Requires that sponsors submit pediatric data in every application for a new ingredient, new indication, new dosage form, dosage regimen or route of administration
- Mandatory for all drugs and biologics

Voluntary – (BPCA, US)

- Voluntary incentive program that allows sponsors to gain 6 months exclusivity for conducting pediatric trials
- Not available to biologic, generics, off-patent or “old” antibiotic drugs
- Linked to active moiety rather than drug product/ indications

EU Regulations

- Combine incentives and requirements for paediatric studies

Incentives

Ethical Incentives



- Responsibility to share pharmaceutical knowledge with treating community
- Responsibility to protect paediatric patients through provision of data
- Responsibility to further treatment of paediatric patients through provision of data
- Responsibility to work with Health Authorities and Clinicians to further area of paediatric drug development in general

Disincentives

Trial Design Challenges

Trial design for paediatric patients differs widely from adult patients in the same disease area, e.g.:

- Nature of disease can be different -> different endpoints
 - More restrictive assessments e.g. volume of samples that can be taken -> more innovative statistical design
 - Additional safety considerations e.g. growth and development
 - “Paediatric” patients cover neonates -> adolescents, but very different considerations for each age group
 - Formulation of drug
- > Expertise is often not “in house”, external experts need to be consulted

Disincentives

Operational Difficulties

Running paediatric trials also poses challenges:

- Speciality sites often needed
- Networks and infrastructure not in place for paediatric trials in some disease areas
- Recruitment difficulties:
 - Paediatric population for same disease smaller
 - Sufficient patients for trials do not always exist in US/EU (particularly in younger age groups)
 - Drugs competing for paediatric patient to complete mandatory trials

Disincentives

Ethical/ Regulatory Difficulties

Gaining Ethics Committee and Health Authority approvals/ agreements can be challenging, e.g.:

- Local ethics committees raise concerns regarding trials, particularly if conducted in ex-US paediatric patients to meet US regulatory requirements (e.g. when sufficient patients do not exist in US/ EU)
- Regulatory agreements on protocol or Written Requests can take many rounds of feedback and sometimes years to reach agreement (particularly if more than one Health Authority is involved)

Disincentives

Technical/ Preclinical Difficulties

Adult formulations are not always suitable for paediatric patients:

- Re-formulation work can take years
- Paediatric formulation sometimes is not possible or feasible
- Can take years to get agreement that “due diligence” has been exercised.

Studies in juvenile adults can be a useful predictor of toxicity in children:

- Need to consider whether additional preclinical (e.g. juvenile toxicology) data is required before entry into human paed.
- Add relevant duration of preclinical trials onto timeline for paediatric programme

Considerations for Development

Designing a Paediatric Programme

- What Type of Study is optimal?
 - Nature of disease (i.e. similar to adults?),
 - Amount already known about product in paed, etc
 - Use and benefit in each age group, etc
- Timing of the Study/ies?
 - How life threatening is the disease?,
 - Extent of other therapies for paed, etc
 - Therapeutic index,
 - Expected benefit.
 - Likelihood that drug will continue to NDA/ MAA

Considerations for Development

Designing a Paediatric Programme (cont.)

- Have suitable study centres been identified?
- Is exclusivity required?
- Is there a suitable formulation?
- Are racial and ethnic groups adequately represented?
- Will pre-clinical data be required?
- Are pediatric age groups appropriate or is another characteristic more suitable (e.g weight)?
- What label is targeted as a result of the studies?
- Is there sufficient time before patent expiry for submission of PPSR, FDA review, discussion with FDA, issue of a WR, study initiation and conduct, report writing and filing

Support and Successes

- Paediatric legislation has given a framework and structure for paediatric research and helped to remove barriers
- Dialogue with FDA is encouraged as a partner in paediatric trials
- Industry experience in conducting paediatric trials in increasing
- Increased co-operation between all stakeholders as process becomes more routine

Working Towards the Future

- Generation of paediatric data is becoming routine
- Inclusion of paediatric trials in drug development programme is becoming routine
- Paediatric drug development acknowledged to be a shared responsibility between Industry, Regulators and Clinicians
- Best results will be achieved as these groups continue to work together towards effective generation and dissemination of meaningful paediatric data
- Working towards the ideal of a single, globally accepted (EU/US) paediatric programme to cover both mandatory requirements and regulatory incentives.

**THANK YOU
FOR YOUR TIME
AND ATTENTION**