NATIONAL Sciences
ACADEMIES Medicine

Building Public Trust in Public Health Emergency Preparedness and Response (PHEPR) Science

March 2022 National Academies Workshop

Sandra C. Quinn, Planning Committee Chair
Professor and Chair, Department of Family Science
Senior Associate Director, Maryland Center for Health Equity
University of Maryland School of Public Health



The workshop examined issues of building knowledge of and trust in public health emergency preparedness and response (PHEPR) science enterprise:

trust in the individuals and institutions who implement (i.e., the messengers) and create (i.e., the researchers) the science (i.e., the message), as well as trust in the processes

Day 1 – Examination of Issues of Trust in PHEPR Practice and Science

- Discussed determinants of trust
 - Historical roots of trust and mistrust
 - Defining and measuring trust
 - Trusted messengers
- Assessed current knowledge and attitudes towards PHEPR practice and science
- Explored causes of diminished public trust in PHEPR practice and science
 - Mistrust
 - Misinformation
 - Poor communication and science translation
 - Political narratives and science
 - Factors such as rurality, organization, race, ethnicity, gender, religious beliefs, and education level

Day 2 – Strategies and Interventions

- Explored potential strategies for (re)building and maintaining trust
 - Sustainable community engagement and decision making
 - Improving translation and communication of PHEPR science information
 - Addressing the information environment
 - Training in crisis and emergency risk communication
 - The role of key stakeholders (CBOs and community health workers)
- Discussed the necessary public health infrastructure, workforce/training, and practice to improve trust

A follow-on meeting further explored actions under four signals that could contribute to greater trust:

humanity, transparency, capability, and reliability.



Dimensions of Trust: Key Actions and Considerations

Humanity

- Build relationships with integrity.
- Treat communities with empathy, compassion, and care.
- Build or revitalize bi-directional community networks for sharing information.
- Explain the issue, limiting factors, and relate the issue to what the community is experiencing.
- Demonstrate listening, note concerns even when there is disagreement, discuss tradeoffs, and be clear about limitations.
- Frame messages and choose messengers based on who the community trusts.
- Utilize the psychology of human decision-making.

Dimensions of Trust: Key Actions and Considerations

Capability

- Establish the capacity (infrastructure & training) for public health to meet communities on their turf and on their terms.
- Collaborate with agencies beyond public health and across sectors to craft messages that are salient to people given their range of needs, resources and concerns.
- Construct coordination processes to facilitate unified positions/messaging
- Craft messages without hierarchical review process.
- Pre-build networks that can rapidly expand capacity of both federal and state public health agencies and society more broadly in emergencies.

Reliability

- Acknowledge uncertainty.
- Focus on community relationships with practitioners implementing the science, rather than the community's understanding of technical science.
- Build relationships between public health agencies, at all levels and across states/regions.

Dimensions of Trust: Key Actions and Considerations

Transparency

- Humility and humanity go hand-in-hand with transparency.
- Involve partners and communities early as part of the decision-making process.
- Ensure the public knows who the public health officials and spokespersons are.
- Provide sufficient detail in official statements that acknowledge counter narratives.
- Provide public health data with sufficient detail and in a timely matter, and bring greater transparency to the decision-making process.
- Establish consistency in terms of response timing, perceptions of fairness, and spokesperson.
- Support the independence of scientists and public health officials to allow their work and communication to be based on science and free from political pressure.