

Local Government Perspective on Harm Reduction Service Implementation and Data and Evaluation Needs

Harm Reduction Services for People Who Use Drugs: Data Collection,
Evidence Gaps, and Research Needs - A Workshop

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine January
30, 2024

Hillary Kunins, MD, MPH, MS

Director of Behavioral Health Services and Mental Health SF
San Francisco Department of Public Health



City & County of San Francisco
Department of Public Health

Outline

- Introduction
- What local (County and City) government does / can do in delivery of harm reduction services?
- Barriers to the implementation of evidence-based harm reduction practices at the local level
- State of data collection coverage and gaps; what evidence is needed to guide implementation strategies?
- What effects do laws have at the local level as barriers or facilitators?



Disclosures

- No financial disclosures
- Employed by the San Francisco Department of Public Health



Perspective

- Work/worked in public health departments of two cities (New York City and San Francisco)
- Combined behavioral health and public health agencies
 - Implication: behavioral health services, including substance use disorder treatment and harm reduction services in one department and in single organizational unit
- City and County are the same (5 counties in NYC; 1 county in San Francisco)



What local (City and County) government does/ can in delivery of and evaluation of harm reduction services?

- **Directly fund services that are not funded (or fully funded) via federal or state programs**
 - Overdose response training and naloxone distribution
 - Fentanyl test strip distribution
 - Syringe access and disposal
 - Sobering centers
 - Post-overdose follow up programs
- **Create harm-reduction supportive policies in contracts for all substance use-related services**
 - e.g. all substance use disorder treatment programs required to distribute naloxone to enrollees
- **Evaluate innovative programs via funded or directly conducted programs**
- **Collect and analyze local and hyperlocal surveillance and evaluation data**
 - See SF.gov data dashboard on [overdose and treatment trends](#).



Barriers to Implementing Harm Reduction Services and Evidence-Based Services for People who Use Drugs

- Local pressure to move away from harm reduction approaches, including targeting of staff members
 - Difficult to open new or preserve sites, or to expand hours of operation
 - Not standard practice that harm reduction is part of "continuum of substance use care"
- Workforce recruitment
 - Staffing shortages can limit ability to expand hours of service, increase reach of services
- Rapidly evolving drug use practices can mean absence of scientific studies to support innovative harm reduction practices
 - *EG:* In San Francisco, smoking of fentanyl and other opioids dominant route of administration;



Data Needs for Local Government to Implement Harm Reduction Services

1. Rapid and hyperlocal data about drug use trends and effective harm reduction strategies
 - Shifting route of administration of fentanyl to smoking
 - Drug supply
2. How best to recruit and retain workforce?
 - Quantity of staff; training of staff; compensation
3. What is the right scale of harm reduction (and other) services to have a population impact on overdose and other health outcomes for people who use drugs?
 - *Questions:* How many naloxone doses per capita? How many fentanyl test strips? How many syringe and drug use equipment access programs
4. What is the impact of harm-reduction policies to achieve reductions in overdose, improve connections to care?
 - EG: What is the impact of requiring substance use treatment program to distribute naloxone?



What Effects do Laws Have at the Local Level as Barriers or Facilitators

Facilitators:

- Overdose education and naloxone distribution facilitated by standing order laws
 - EG: Standing Order Law in NYS enabled massively increased distribution of naloxone in NYC; single standing order by NYC Health Commissioner
- Non-prescription dispensing of syringes facilitates syringe access in places without syringe access programs; enabled NYC to conduct pharmacy education and provide resources
 - EG: NYS Expanded Syringe Access program (2000)
- Medication treatment in harm reduction settings facilitated by expansion of prescribers of buprenorphine to include pharmacists in California
 - EG: Program enables pharmacy-led buprenorphine delivery in supportive housing in San Francisco



Thank you