Learning
Healthcare,
Comparative
Effectiveness, and
Ethics

The Common Good Framework

Ruth R. Faden

National Cancer Policy Forum
Workshop on Contemporary
Issues in Human Subjects
Protections

February 25, 2014



Topics for this Morning

- Today: Segregation Model of Research and Practice
- Tomorrow: Integration Model- Learning Health Care Systems
- Comparative Effectiveness Research
- Ethics of Learning Healthcare Systems
- Cancer Case Example



Project Team

- Ruth Faden, PhD, MPH
- Nancy Kass, ScD
- Tom Beauchamp, PhD
- Sean Tunis, MD, MSc
- Peter Pronovost, MD, PhD
- Steven Goodman, MD, MHS, PhD

Funding from the National Center for Research Resources, NIH: grant RC1RR028876



Segregation Model of Research and Practice

- 1960s-1970s: The influence of "research scandals"
- 1973-74: Federal investigations and regulations
 - Required IRB review
 - Required informed consent
- Regulations needed to be able to distinguish clinical research from clinical practice
 - Prospective ethical oversight was needed for clinical research, while not needed for clinical practice



Problems with Segregation Model

Over-protection (of low risk research)

- Under-protection (from unsafe or unproven care)
 - Several hundred thousand people die needlessly each year from medical mistakes
 - Countless patients get the wrong care or too much care for lack of evidence



Integration Model of Research and Practice: Learning Healthcare System

 A system in which "knowledge is so embedded into the core of the practice of medicine that it is a natural outgrowth and product of the health care delivery process and leads to continual improvement in care."

Institute of Medicine (US) Roundtable on Evidence-Based Medicine; Olsen LA, Aisner D, McGinnis JM, editors. The Learning Healthcare System: Workshop Summary. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2007.



Some Features of a Learning Healthcare System

- Knowledge generation from every clinical encounter
- That learning is translated back into improved care in the same settings
- Delivering care, and learning systematically and rigorously learning from that care, are thus simultaneous commitments of LHCS
- Continuous (integrated) learning to discover
 - How can patient safety be improved?
 - Among existing clinical options, what works best for which patients?
 (comparative effectiveness research)



Comparative Effectiveness Research

 "the generation and synthesis of evidence that compares the benefits and harms of alternative methods to prevent, diagnose, treat and monitor a clinical condition or to improve the delivery of care."

Committee on Comparative Effectiveness Research Prioritization of the Institute of Medicine. *Initial national priorities for comparative effectiveness research*. Washington, DC 2009

- Focus on existing, approved and widely used interventions- low risk, more routine
- Evidence helps patients and clinicians make more informed decisions that improve care



Comparative Effectiveness Research Designs

Observational designs

- No aspect of clinical care is altered or changed
- Retrospective using only existing patient data/electronic health records
- Prospective with existing and/or new data

Randomized designs

- Some aspect of clinical care is determined by protocol
- Practical or pragmatic trials
- Classic clinical trials
- Cluster or individual



Common Purpose Framework for Learning Healthcare Systems

- Respect the rights and dignity of patients
- Respect clinician judgments
- Provide optimal clinical care to patients
- Avoid imposing nonclinical burdens and risks
- Address health inequalities
- Participate in the learning process (clinicians)
- Participate in the learning process (patients)

Faden, R., Kass, N., Goodman, S., Pronovost, P., Tunis, S., Beauchamp, T.. "An Ethics Framework for a Learning Health Care System: A Departure from Traditional Research Ethics and Clinical Ethics," *Hastings Center Report*. 2013 Jan-Feb; Spec No:S16-27.



Implementing the Common Good Framework

- Engagement: Of patients in setting CER priorities and in the ethics oversight process of studies, including in determining which disclosure and consent practices are appropriate for particular studies
- Transparency: To the patient community- what learning activities are currently underway, aims, types of patients, and effects on medical care
- Accountability: To the patient community- how health care delivered in their healthcare setting is, or is not changing, as a result of what is being learned



Example from Oncology

 "In 1996, the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) reported results of a phase III trial comparing four different platinum- based doublet chemotherapy regimens that had been developed for treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). The ECOG study randomly assigned more than 1,200 patients to receive cisplatin/paclitaxel, cisplatin/gemcitabine, cisplatin/docetaxel, and carboplatin/paclitaxel...."

Hahn, Olwen M., and Richard L. Schilsky. "Randomized Controlled Trials and Comparative Effectiveness Research." *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 30.34 (2012): 4194-4201.



Assumptions

- These different NSCLC chemotherapy regimens are all approved, in wide use and considered comparable in effectiveness and side effect profile (in the absence of data)
- Learning healthcare system with ethics framework
- Engagement, transparency, accountability



Disclosure-Authorization Options

Consider two CER studies: both use electronic health record data to compare outcomes for patients on the different regimens

#A: Observational study- physicians and patients choose

regimen

#B: Randomized study- initial regimen assigned by protocol

Options

- 1. Transparency to patient community
- 2. Patients informed- opt out
- 3. Patients informed- opt in

