Potential Near- and Long-Term Responses

Kelvin K. Droegemeier
Department of Atmospheric Sciences
University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

NASEM National Science, Technology and Security Roundtable Capstone Workshop

17 July 2024

U.S. Global Competitiveness Capabilities and Investments

Threats/Interference

Five Key Actions to Move the Needle

- Lead with our values
- Don't play to not lose
 - Untile our hands
 - Invest in talent development on a massive scale
 - Plan beyond the visible horizon

#1. Lead With Our (American + Research) Values

- The principles by which we operate
- Always there to renormalize us when disagreements occur or confusion arises
- Uncompromising absolutely necessary and an inseparable dimension of research
- Our North Star

Our National and Research Values

- Honesty
- Integrity
- Transparency
- Accountability
- Openness
- Freedom of inquiry
- Sharing
- Collaboration
- Merit-based competition

- Mutual respect
- Fairness
- impartiality
- Inclusivity
- Objectivity
- Civil debate
- Reciprocity
- Principled international collaboration
- Other...

Global Competitiveness

Capabilities and Investments

Threats/Interference to our VALUES

Because values underpin the research process itself, threats to our values translate into threats to research and thus to our national and economic security

How Do We Do It?

- Strengthen and actively promote messaging and continuous conversation on values to deepen cultural norms
 - Executive and Legislative Branches of Government
 - Federal agencies
 - Academic institutions at all levels
 - Research groups
- Link values to research security measures and open science
- Highlight values as a benefit to performing research in the U.S. and in international collaborations

#2. Don't Play to Not Lose

- The balance between trying to maintain global leadership (offense) and preventing our adversaries from obtaining global dominance (defense) has shifted dramatically
- This is intentional on the part of our adversaries and increasingly forces the U.S. to expend more effort on defense

#2a. Untie our Hands

- Funding agencies, researchers, and institutions are drowning in regulations with no end of increases in sight
- Regulations and compliance are vital, but major reform is needed
- Some progress has been made recently but not nearly enough
- We are approaching the 50% level of time spent by researchers on research vs time spent on administrative activities
- The COVID pandemic showed value in a different approach

#2a. How Do We Do It?

- OMB/OIRA and OSTP work with COGR, AAU, APLU, FDP and others to identify specific research regulations and policies that can be changed or suspended under Executive Order
- Identify desired outcomes against baseline data
- Possibly target specific areas of research and types of institutions
- Conduct a 5-year program coordinated by OSTP/NSTC and overseen by multi-sector working group (gov't, academia, industry)
- Perform an ongoing assessment and consider expanding as effort proceeds

#2b. Invest in Talent Development on a Massive Scale

- As noted by the National Science Board, Talent is the Treasure
- Many excellent programs exist, but none on a national scale across all areas of S&T, including social/behavioral/economic
- Some other nations understand this notion & are pursuing it aggressively
- We've done it before
 - The GI Bill
 - National Defense Education Act
 - Post 9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act

#2b. How Do We Do It?

- Educate, within 8 years, an additional 2 million people across a spectrum of areas & degrees/certificates, and include
 - Those pursuing degrees in K-12 education
 - An international recruitment component with special stay provisions
 - Broadening participation across a wide spectrum (Missing Millions)
 - A meaningful service component to the Nation or cost-sharing
- Utilize AI/ML to enhance/democratize learning (e.g., tutoring)
- Begin with Executive Order and Emergency Authorization from Congress

#2c. Plan Beyond the Visible Horizon

- The U.S. has no comprehensive S&T plan and is challenged by its inherent structure
 - Decentralized multi-sector structure challenges planning/coordination
 - Funding agency budgets/priorities are spread across Congress
 - Interagency collaboration often depends on intangibles
- We plan for the next budget cycle or election, though we do have a few quadrennial assessments and plans
- Some of our competitors think MUCH longer term

#2c. Plan Beyond the Visible Horizon

- The U.S. has created moonshots, ARPAs, and major legislation (COMPETES and CHIPS+Science) with positive results...
- ...but in somewhat of a VACUUM owing to the absence of a truly long-term (25-year) vision for the Nation
- This short-term thinking is no longer a viable option
- The vacuum already is being filled by those increasingly able to change the fundamental balance of world power

#2c. How Do We Do It?

- Develop a multi-sector, whole-of-nation, 25-year S&T vision for the U.S., framing its ambitions and approaches in a global scientific as well as geopolitical context. This is NOT about choosing topics/technologies!
- Craft an associated 5-year S&T strategic research and talent development roadmap with expected agency roles and desire. This IS about choosing topics/technologies; and
- Ensure accountability and progress for performance against shared, strategic goals via an independent, multi-sector board