

Selected Congressional Testimonies

These resources may not be produced by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine and may not represent the views of the institution.

[CWMD Strategy and the FY2017 NDAA Budget Request for the DTRA and CBDP](#), 2/10/2016, Statement by Kenneth Myers III before the Senate Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities

The testimony by Mr. Myers focused on “Sharing some of the recent efforts and accomplishments of DTRA and CBDP” and presenting the budget request for FY2017.

[Countering WMD Strategy and the FY2016 NDAA Budget Request for the DTRA and the CBDP](#), 3/25/2015, Statements by Douglas Bryce, John Burnham, Chirs Hassell, Kenneth Myers III, and Eric Rosenbach before the Senate Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities

“The Emerging Threats and Capabilities Subcommittee met to discuss the priorities for the Department of Defense to counter weapons of mass destruction for fiscal year 2016.”

[Proliferation Prevention Programs at the DOE and at the DOD](#), 4/23/2013, Statements by Madelyn Creedon, Kenneth Myers, and Anne Harrington before the Senate Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities

“The Emerging Threats and Capabilities Subcommittee met to review the President’s fiscal year 2014 request for nonproliferation programs at the Department of Defense (DOD) and Department of Energy (DOE).”

[A Reliance on Smart Power – Reforming the Foreign Assistance Bureaucracy](#), 07/31/2008, Gordan Adams testimony before the Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management, the Federal Workforce, and the District of Columbia. Dr. Adams testimony focuses on, “How do we ensure that our foreign policy toolkit is properly balanced, strategically integrated, and adequately funded to be effective in dealing with these challenges.”

[Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Global Security Affairs: Statement for the Record](#), 04/02/2008, Joseph Benkert before the Senate Committee on Armed Services, Subcommittee on Emerging Threats Capabilities.

“Since September 11, 2001, we have made significant progress. I think that CTR and PSI are key examples of that progress. PSI, of course, did not exist in 2001, and CTR was a different program. Despite the good work that has been done by CTR and PSI, we have much more to do across the spectrum of WMD threats before we can testify with confidence that all of our government’s tools to combat WMD are being integrated fully and effectively”

[Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Global Security Affairs: Statement for the Record](#), 04/11/2007, Joseph Benkert before the Senate Committee on Armed Services, Subcommittee on Emerging Threats Capabilities.

“The eight missions identified in the National Military Strategy to Combat WMD represent a continuing challenge for us. They are complex because the threat is complex; the next edition of this strategy document could change in important ways, because the WMD threat will change. As it does, we are prepared to make changes in programs like CTR. The same is true for PSI, though this activity is still new enough that we ought not begin speaking of significant changes just yet.”

[New Initiative in Cooperative Threat Reduction](#), 02/09/2006, Robert Joseph, Under Secretary for Arms Control and International Security, Statement before the Senate foreign Relations Committee.

“A discussion on the role of the State Department in implementing the President’s strategy against weapons of mass destruction (WMD), as well as in reducing the threat of dangerous conventional arms.”