October 1, 2026

Adaptive Capacities for Transformation Initiative Implementation Grant: Houston

REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS



NATIONAL ACADEMIES *Sciences Engineering Medicine*

GULF RESEARCH PROGRAM

Table of Contents

ADAPTIVE CAPACITIES FOR TRANSFORMATION (ACT) IMPLEMENTATION GRANTS: HOUSTON, TX 1

Summary 1
Key Dates 1
- October 1, 2026: Request for applications (RFA) released 1
- October 2, 2026: Online application submission opens 1
- October 21, 2026: ACT Houston Session 6 (Workshopping Applications) 1
- December 18, 2026: Deadline for application submissions due by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time 1
- February 2027: Award selection and notification 1
- April 1, 2027: Anticipated funding start date 1

Award Information 1
Purpose 2
Project Structure 3
Project Evaluation 5
Eligibility and Requirements 6
Application Submission 7
Application Guidelines 7
Merit Review Criteria 10
Making the Award 12
Selection Notice *12*
Award Notice *12*
Grant Periods *12*
Post-award Management 13
Reporting Requirements *13*
Scientific Integrity 13
Grant Agreement Terms and Conditions 13
About the Gulf Research Program 13

Adaptive Capacities for Transformation (ACT) Implementation Grants: Houston, TX

Summary

The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine's Gulf Research Program (GRP) is supporting communities of Houston, TX in dealing with disasters that impact their health and resilience. Through its Adaptive Capacities for Transformation (ACT) Planning Grants, the GRP is funding collaborations of local nonprofits, foundations, academia, and government in Houston to implement science-based and community-driven solutions that advance priorities from the ACT Houston Framework for disaster adaptation. A total of $1.25M is available under this funding opportunity. Applicants may request between $250,00 to $625,000 for activities that reflect the scale and scope of work proposed and that are no more than 24 months in duration. The GRP anticipates making between 2 to 5 awards.

Key Dates

- **October 1, 2026**: Request for applications (RFA) released
- **October 2, 2026**: Online application submission opens
- **October 21, 2026:** ACT Houston Session 6 (Workshopping Applications)
- **December 18, 2026**: Deadline for application submissions due by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time
- **February 2027**: Award selection and notification
- **April 1, 2027**: Anticipated funding start date
- Online submission website: https://gulfresearchprogram.smapply.io/

Award Information

- **Specifications for award amount**: The GRP has a total of $1.25M available for this funding opportunity and anticipates making 2-5 awards ranging between $250,000 to $625,000. The GRP will consider budget requests outside this range if two or more ACT Planning Grant Project Teams submit a proposal together. All budget requests must reflect the scale and scope of work proposed.
- **Estimated number of awards**: The GRP anticipates making between 2 to 5 grant awards. Resources made available under this grant opportunity will depend on the applications received. The GRP reserves the right to fund some, one, or none of the applications received in response to this solicitation.
- **Award notification**: February 2027

- **Award performance period**: Implementation grants will be awarded to support activities for a maximum of 24 months in duration. All planning activities and associated documentation must occur within this timeframe.

PURPOSE

The Gulf Research Program (GRP) is supporting ACT Houton Planning Grantees in the collaborative implementation of place-based solutions that advance specific priorities and priority areas from the ACT Houston Framework: What Communities Need to Deal with Disasters (Appendix A).

- **Priority Area 1: Planning and Preparing Together for Response & Recovery.** Priorities in this area leverage and build on organized networks to create and elevate locally driven and supported programs that grow neighbor relationships, share information, and plan and prepare for response and recovery. These priorities aim to explore long-term and large-scale solutions that utilize collaborative leadership and teamwork to better plan for disasters.
- **Priority Area 2: Supporting Collaborative Research & Solutions.** Priorities in this area improve, translate, or integrate research into feasible strategies, solutions, tools, or resources that include and center lived experiences and community-driven input to improve overall disaster resilience, preparedness, response, recovery, and adaptation.

For this funding opportunity, the GRP expects ACT Houston Planning Grantees to leverage the working relationships built during the ACT Planning Phase in advancing priorities and producing changes in community assets, health, and resilience, as outlined in the outcomes below.

- **Outcome 1: Changes in Community Assets.** Increase the quantity, improve the quality, or diversify the types of assets (e.g., knowledge, skills and abilities, resources, strategies) available to the community members that are engaged and the communities that are targeted through the collaborative implementation of place-based solutions.
- **Outcome 2: Changes in Health and Resilience.** Increase/decrease indicators linked to positive/negative health and resilience for the community members that are engaged and the communities that are targeted through the collaborative implementation of place-based solutions.

Findings from this funding opportunity will be used to demonstrate the process and outcomes from a new way of implementing place-based solutions. Collectively, these demonstrations will identify early signs of effective solutions for addressing complex challenges in disaster adaptation, while also advancing community priorities in health and resilience. Lessons learned will be shared with local stakeholders (e.g., policymakers, funders) and GRP partners who are interested in testing, further implementing, or otherwise investing in potentially effective solutions, as well as other Gulf communities who are interested in a different way of dealing with disasters.

PROJECT STRUCTURE

Projects supported by this funding opportunity should be designed to demonstrate the following:

1. How collaborations can implement place-based solutions;
2. How place-based solutions can advance priority areas of the ACT Houston Framework;
3. How the advancement of priority areas can
    a. strengthen existing assets or bring in new assets to communities, and/or
    b. improve the integration of science, research, and community experience to better inform solutions; and
4. How achieving 3a and/or 3b can show early signs of changes in community assets, health, and resilience.

Collaborations. Projects must be implemented by collaborations of individuals from groups, organizations, and institutions that represent a variety of sectors and expertise. At a minimum, collaborations must include individuals from at least three different types of sectors: nonprofit (e.g., associations, grassroots, community- and faith-based organizations), philanthropic (e.g., community foundations), academic (e.g., colleges and universities), and public (i.e., government). Collectively, individuals should possess a range of expertise that reflects the priorities they seek to advance.

Place-Based Solutions. Projects should implement solutions that help communities better plan and prepare for, respond to, recover from, or adapt to current hazards, future conditions, or potential or actual disasters that impact their health and resilience. Solutions should use a place-based approach that considers how the priorities they intend to advance are shaped by the unique characteristics of the geographic area (e.g., block, neighborhood, city, jurisdictions, county) of their target communities, including the places where community members are born, grow, play, learn, work, worship, and age.

Priority Areas. Solutions should be carried out by activities that advance at least one priority from either priority area below. (Priorities are italicized, followed by their Identification Number in parentheses.)

<u>Priority Area 1: Planning and Preparing Together for Response & Recovery.</u>

- *Fund long-term, large-scale solutions that reduce the chances of communities being harmed by hurricanes and flooding (19)*
- *Fund community-based organizations to develop disaster plans with communities (20)*
- *Have strong organized networks to support people, share information, and respond to emergencies (33)*
- *Design and carry out effective adaptation plans that protect groups who are more at risk (56)*
- *Use collaborative leadership and teamwork to build city networks that can better handle disasters (61)*
- *Add locally driven & supported programs that build strong neighbor relationships into disaster planning & risk reduction efforts (70)*

Priority Area 2: Supporting Collaborative Research & Solutions.

- *Translate research into feasible solutions to improve resilience (47)*
- *Include the experiences of groups who are more at risk when making and implementing policies (57)*
- *Base policies on both scientific research and the lived experiences of vulnerable populations (65)*
- *Support integrated and long-term research to fill major knowledge gaps in disaster-related priorities (66)*

Assets. Projects should assess the quantity, quality, and types of assets strengthened or brought into communities through the advancement of priority areas. Assets can be knowledge, skills and abilities, resources, or strategies. Examples of assets that would be appropriate to assess include the following:

- **Knowledge:** data and information on extreme weather (e.g., heat, flooding), infrastructure failures, industrial incidents (e.g., chemical spills), public health emergencies (e.g., infectious disease outbreaks), health assets, existing mitigation efforts, age and medical vulnerabilities, trust, neighborhood conditions, emergency operations, disaster recovery needs and service utilization, transportation and mobility systems, data governance and data-sharing practices, mental health service capacity, etc.
- **Skills and Abilities:** collecting data and information through surveys, asset or resource mapping, listening sessions, risk or needs assessments, hazards modeling, and workshops; analyzing data, translating data to information, communicating information, and carrying out data-informed actions, such as training residents as citizen scientists, delivering mental health first aid, engaging youth as future leaders, integrating and managing data from multiple organizations and sources, participatory assessments of transportation and resource accessibility, accessible communication approaches, developing activation-ready systems, etc.
- **Resources:** community health workers, community lived experiences, science-based guidelines, faith-based groups, disaster education curriculum or drills in schools and churches, cross-jurisdiction emergency response agreements, neighborhood emergency response teams, place-based network of community resilience hubs, disaster recovery service providers and referral networks, existing disaster impact assessment and data-sharing partnerships, communication and outreach infrastructure, etc.
- **Strategies:** use community-level data to develop a data-driven, community-centered health preparedness and response plan; improve communication across health systems and communities to better coordinate disaster-related health services; build a coordinated disaster resilience network that strengthens neighborhood relationships, improves access to disaster preparedness and recovery resources, and centers seniors and other medically vulnerable residents; position community health workers as trusted liaisons between communities and local government agencies to bridge gaps, enhance communication, and foster resilience; incorporate emergency response programs at the county and neighborhood levels into a coordinated, place-based network of community resilience hubs; integrate science-based hazard

modeling with locally generated and community-reported information on assets, damage, and vulnerabilities; establish shared data governance and integration systems; transform needs assessment data into direct service delivery; strengthen organizational capacity to provide disaster-related mental health services; develop locally adaptable preparedness planning tools and templates; use real-time disaster data to activate coordinate response and recovery services; develop train-the-trainer models, etc.

Health and Resilience. Projects should consider how to assess short-term changes in health and resilience as a result of advancing priority areas. Changes can occur in the project team, project participants and other community members engaged, or communities targeted. Health and resilience indicators use data to show status or progress. Examples of indicators that would be appropriate to assess include community well-being, social well-being, mental health (including psychological and emotional well-being), access to care, social capital, social cohesion, leadership, collective efficacy, preparedness or readiness, or place attachment.

Additionally, projects should align with the GRP mission and strategic plan, including:

- Supporting a safer, more resilient, and sustainable future for the Gulf and all those who call the region home.
- Advancing and/or applying science to enhance health and resilience in the face of compounding disasters.

The National Academies must remain in compliance with federal guidance as it evolves. Given this, we advise applicants to ensure their applications align with applicable laws and guidance. Applicants should focus on presenting a strong and well-supported proposal that aligns with what is being requested in the Request for Applications documents.

Applicants should carefully review the Merit Review Criteria prior to submitting.

PROJECT EVALUATION

The GRP regularly assess its grantmaking portfolio to ensure that funded activities align with the GRP's mission and desired outcomes. Through these evaluations, the GRP seeks to build a shared evidence base that both grantees and the GRP can use to understand, strengthen, and communicate their impact.

The GRP intends to engage an external evaluation consultant to support a developmental evaluation for this implementation grant. Grantees are expected to cooperate fully with the evaluation process, including by providing timely access to relevant data, stakeholders, and documentation.

The GRP intends the developmental evaluation to:

- Support grantees in strengthening and adapting their work through ongoing learning. Evaluation findings will be shared transparently with grantees throughout the evaluation process so they can use emerging insights to make timely adjustments, course corrections, and improvements to their programs.
- Build an evidence base that helps grantees and the GRP understand and communicate impact;
- Enable organizational learning and increase capacity to provide effective, high-quality programming; and
- Support the sharing of successes, challenges, and insights among funders, grantees, and stakeholders.

ELIGIBILITY AND REQUIREMENTS

Only ACT Houston Planning Grantees and project teams are eligible for this funding opportunity. Eligible applicants are limited to nonprofit organizations (including community- and faith-based organizations) and public charities with a 501(c)(3) tax-exempt status in good standing, as well as academic institutions and local government. Federal agencies, for-profit organizations, foreign institutions, and individuals are not eligible to apply or receive funding; however, these entities may participate as project partners.

Additionally, the GRP will not consider applications that include:

- Political campaigns, lobbying or advocacy activities.
- Clinical trials.
- Construction of physical infrastructure projects (e.g., construction of facilities, clinics, levee).
- General organizational operating costs.

The applying organization will be referred to as the "Applicant" hereafter. The individual who will lead the proposed work will be referred to as "Project Director" hereafter. All eligible Applicants must have a valid federal tax ID number to apply.

Project Directors usually initiate applications that are officially submitted by their employing organizations (the Applicant). When initiating an application, the Project Director typically is responsible for ensuring the application meets all the requirements outlined by the GRP as well as any requirements set by the employing organizations.

The GRP requires individuals named as Project Director or Key Personnel in an application to adhere to the following:

- An individual may be proposed as Project Director in only one application. If an individual is proposed as Project Director in any application, they may also be proposed as Key Personnel in up to two additional applications.
- An individual not proposed as a Project Director in any application may be named as Key Personnel in up to three applications.

- It is the responsibility of each individual being named as Project Director or Key Personnel in any application to ensure that they are not named in more than three total applications.

NAS GRP Indirect Costs (Facilities and Administrative Costs)
To best serve the residents of the region, the GRP aims to limit the overhead charges on grants. As such, overhead charges for this grant opportunity cannot exceed a total of 20%. This limit also applies to sub-awardee budgets.

Applicants may request Facilities and Administrative (F&A) costs, also referred to as indirect costs, in accordance with the following requirements.

- Applicants with a Federally Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) may use their negotiated rate; however, indirect cost reimbursement under this funding opportunity is limited to 20% of Modified Total Direct Costs (MTDC).

- Applicants without a current NICRA may request a reasonable indirect cost rate that is supported by their accounting practices and consistently applied. The requested rate must not exceed 20% of MTDC and must be explained in the budget justification.

Applicants must include the MTDC calculation and indirect cost calculation in the detailed budget and budget justification.

Application Submission

Project Directors can apply for this grant opportunity via the online application system. Applications submitted by other means (e.g., mail, fax, or email) will not be considered. Application materials must be submitted in English.

Project Directors should review the application preparation and submission instructions and submit any questions to gulfgrants@nas.edu prior to the submission deadlines. The GRP strives to respond to applicants' questions within two business days but cannot guarantee that applicants' questions will be answered before submission deadlines.

Application Guidelines

All complete applications will be reviewed internally by the GRP and evaluated using the Merit Review Criteria.

The application must provide the following information:

1) **Project Team:**
   a) **Project Director:** If applicable, provide ORCID (Open Research and Contributor ID)

b) **Key Personnel**: Provide the following information for each individual who has agreed to participate in the Project Team: name, email, group/organization/institution, area of expertise, and proposed role or contribution to the Project Team. Describe any previous collaboration among Project Team members.

c) **Applicant Overview** (maximum 250 words): State the Applicant's location, service area, and mission.

d) **Involvement of Project Director or Key Personnel** in other applications related to this grant opportunity

2) <u>Project Details:</u>

a) **Title** (maximum 15 words)

b) **Key Words** (maximum 10 words). Key words should highlight key features of your project.

c) **ACT Houston Priorities**. List all priorities the project seeks to advance. Applicants must include at least one of the following priorities:19, 20, 33, 47, 56, 57, 61, 65, 66, 70. In addition, applicants may select any other priorities from the ACT Houston Framework.

d) **Project Summary** (maximum 250 words). Summarize key features of your project.

e) **Project Timeline**. Provide a project timeline for the anticipated start date, activities, milestones, and end date. This may be a Gantt chart or description.

3) Project Description (maximum 5000 words).

a) **Purpose.** State the project's goal(s), objective(s), and the priorities it seeks to advance.

b) **Rationale.** Describe why the project is needed, what it is trying to accomplish, and for whom.

c) **Collaboration.** Describe the role of collaboration in the implementation of the project.

i) How will each individual listed under Key Personnel add value to the collaboration(s)?

ii) How will collaboration(s) be used to amplify community involvement AND engage new and/ or broader sets of experts?

d) **Solution.** Describe the solution(s) that your project seeks to implement, including how it will

i) reach or advance the project goal(s), objective(s), and priorities,

ii) apply a place-based approach,

iii) incorporate science-based and community-driven inputs and activities, and

iv) define and measure success.

e) **Outputs.** Describe any tangible outputs (e.g., a plan, network) that the project intends to produce, as well as how the outputs will be used to affect what change(s) (e.g., in programs, policies, procedures, practices, research, resource distribution) for whom. If these changes are beyond the scope of this stage of project implementation, propose how the output could be used to affect what changes for whom and with what type of future investments.

f) **Outcomes.** Describe any outcomes (e.g., changes in knowledge, skills, abilities) that the project intends to influence, as well as how the outcomes could be used to affect what change(s) for whom. At a minimum, the description should include responses to the following with respect to the project team, project participants and other community members engaged, or communities targeted:

i) How will the advancement of priorities strengthen existing assets or bring in new assets for them AND/OR better equip them with the capacities to effectively use them?

ii) How will the project assess early signs of changes in community assets, health, and resilience?

g) **Alignment.** Discuss the project's alignment with the purpose of this funding opportunity.

h) **Sustainability.** Describe potential components of the project that can exist beyond the funding opportunity, as well as strategies to support their long-term durability.

i) **Data Management:** Describe whether and how this project will collect new data and/or use existing data. Explain how the proposed data activities relate to the other components in the project description. (Maximum 500 words)

j) **Risk Identification and Management:** Describe potential risks or roadblocks, the impact the risk or roadblock may have on the success of the proposed work, a mitigation strategy describing actions to reduce the impact of the risk or roadblock, and a contingency plan describing actions the project team will undertake if the risk or roadblock is encountered.

k) **Next Steps.** Describe potential next steps, such as pursuing additional funding, strengthening partnerships, scaling successful activities, implementing larger components of the ACT Houston Framework, or applying lessons learned to existing or new initiatives.

4) Proposed Budget

a) **Total Budget Requested**.

b) **Budget Justification** (maximum 2000 words). Please submit a budget justification. A sample budget justification is provided.

c) **Budget Form**: Download the budget template. Complete this form to provide information on the proposed budget. Budget requests should be developed commensurate with the support needed to achieve the project goals. Adequate compensation should be provided for community- and/or faith-based organization partners and community members for the effort they are contributing to the project. Indirect Rate is capped at 20% for this funding opportunity.

5) Other Attachments

a) **Resume(s)**: Resumes are required for the Project Director and every individual identified on the Project Team (Participants and Working Group Members). Resumes may not exceed two pages per person. All resumes should be combined and uploaded as a single PDF document. Do not include resumes for individuals not named as a Project Director or Project Team member.

b) Letters of Support: Statements of engagement from project team members/partnering organizations. (Optional: Letters from organizations or stakeholders who may be engaged or benefit from this project outside of the project team.)

c) **Collaborators and Other Affiliations Form:** The purpose of this form is to help the GRP eliminate potential conflicts of interest during reviewer recruitment. Download the Collaborators and Other Affiliations form and complete it to provide information on the following:

i) All persons (including their current organizational affiliations) who are currently, or who have been collaborators (i.e. an individual with whom you work closely to co-design or conduct a project) or co-authors with the individual on a project, book, article, report, abstract, or paper during the 48 months preceding the submission of the application.

ii) The individual's own graduate and postdoctoral advisor(s) and their current organizational affiliations.
iii) A list of your past and current advisees (including their current organizational affiliations)

d) Current and Pending Support from Other Sources Form: Download the Current and Pending Support from Other Sources form. Applicants must provide information on the current and pending support of the Project Director, and other Project Team members, if applicable, and upload it to the online application system. The form calls for required information on current and pending support for ongoing projects and applications. All current project support from whatever source (e.g., federal, state, local or foreign government agencies, public or private foundations, industrial or other commercial organizations) must be listed. The proposed project and all other projects or activities requiring a portion of time of the project personnel and other senior personnel must be included, even if they receive no salary support from the project(s). The total award amount for the entire award period covered (including indirect costs) must be shown as well as the number of person-months per year to be devoted to the project, regardless of source of support.

The National Academies must remain in compliance with federal guidance as it evolves. Given this, we advise applicants to ensure their applications align with applicable executive orders, review criteria, and focus on presenting a strong and well-supported proposal.

Merit Review Criteria

It is important that all applications clearly describe how solutions and their activities are intended to achieve the purpose of this grant opportunity. All complete applications will be evaluated on the basis of the Merit Review Criteria described below. Applicants should consider the Application Guidelines as well as Review Criteria in the development of their application. The GRP may raise additional issues that are not covered by the criteria during review.

Relevance (30%)

- *Does the proposal identify priorities to advance?*
- *Is at least one of the priorities from Priority Area 1 or 2?*
- *To what extent does the proposal describe how the proposed activities will address the purpose of this opportunity?*

Scientific Rigor & Project Design (40%)

- *To what extent does the proposal describe how the proposed activities intend to use science-based and community-driven inputs?*

- *To what extent does the proposed project demonstrate a scientifically and/or technically valid and appropriate overall approach and strategy to accomplish the specific aims of the project?*
- *To what extent are the methods and data collection (if applicable) appropriate?*
- *To what extent are the data analysis/analyses and measurement appropriate?*

Working Group (20%)

- *Does the Project Team consist of individuals from at least three of the following groups, organizations, or institutions: local nonprofits, foundations, academia, and government?*
- *To what extent does the Project Team (overall) represent different sectors, expertise, knowledge, and skills relevant to advancing the select priorities proposed in this application?*

Feasibility and Budget (10%)

- *To what extent is the proposed approach clear, feasible, and well-designed, with appropriate activities, timeline, budget, and team expertise to successfully complete the project?*
- *To what extent does the Budget reflect the effort of proposed sub-awardees?*

Research Involving Human Subjects

Projects involving human subjects research must be reviewed by an Institutional Review Board (IRB) or other appropriate authority (e.g., institutional leadership or legal office) and either receive approval or be granted exemption from applicable human subjects regulations. Applicants are responsible for consulting with their institution's IRB, an independent IRB, or another appropriate authority to determine whether review is required and to obtain any necessary approvals or exemptions. Organizations without internal access to an IRB should seek review or exemption determinations from an independent IRB or other appropriate authority.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to begin this process at the same time they submit their application to the Gulf Research Program (GRP) so that any required review does not delay project activities. Applications may be submitted to the GRP prior to receiving IRB approval or exemption. However, if selected for funding, the award will be made conditional upon submission of documentation of IRB approval or exemption within 11 months of the project start date.

Applicants should consider the GRP's Data Management Policy as they prepare their IRB paperwork. The GRP anticipates that most anonymized and non-confidential data can be shared per the policy, which should be reflected in the applicant's IRB documentation.

If a project is determined to be exempt from human subjects regulations, applicants must provide documentation from the IRB or other appropriate authority confirming the exemption. Documentation should include the exemption determination date and, when applicable, the category supporting the exemption.

Consult the National Institutes of Health's Definition of Human Subjects Research page for more information.

Data Management Policy

All awardees will be required to submit a Data Management Plan. The Federal government defines data in Title 48 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Section 27.401 as "recorded information, regardless of form or the media on which it may be recorded." The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) further defines data in Memorandum M-13-13 on Open Data as "structured information" which is to be "contrasted with unstructured information (commonly referred to as "content") such as press releases and fact sheets." Unstructured information is commonly referred to as information products.

The GRP's Data Management Policy provides additional information on what must be included in the data management plan and may be useful to review during the application process.

MAKING THE AWARD

Selection Notice

The GRP reserves the right to select all, some, one, or none of the applications received in response to this solicitation.

When the evaluation of an application is complete, the Project Director will be notified that (1) the application has been selected for funding pending contract negotiations, or (2) the application has not been selected. These official notifications will be sent via email to the Project Director identified on the application. If an application is selected for award, the GRP reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information for any reason deemed necessary, including, but not limited to, indirect cost information or other budget information.

Award Notice

The GRP transmits award notices to organizations via e-mail. The award is not finalized and the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine is not obligated to provide any funding until a signed copy of the award agreement has been received by the Academies.

Grant Periods

Upon receipt of the award notice, the awardee should note the effective date and the expiration date. The effective date is the date specified in the grant notice on or after which expenditures may be charged to the grant. Charging expenditures to the grant prior to the effective date is prohibited. The expiration date is the date specified in the grant notice after which expenditures may not be charged against the grant except to satisfy obligations to pay allowable project costs committed on or before that date. Once an award is made, the effective date cannot be changed.

POST-AWARD MANAGEMENT

Coordination with GRP

After the award is conferred, grantees shall coordinate with the GRP to formally initiate the project. GRP staff will periodically request status meetings during the project implementation phase to discuss progress and any unanticipated developments that may affect the project outcomes as specified in the grant agreement. These interactions will help ensure successful management of the grant.

Reporting Requirements

After an award is conferred, the grantee shall provide an annual financial report to the GRP to report on grant expenditures to date under the grant. The grantee shall provide an annual written report to the GRP to report on activities being carried out under the grant, including but not limited to project accomplishments to date and grant expenditures. No later than 60 days after the expiration of the award, the grantee shall provide final financial and written grant reports. The final written grant report shall address the original objectives of the project as identified in the grant application, describe any changes in objectives, describe the final project accomplishments, and include a final project accounting of all grant funds in the final financial report.

SCIENTIFIC INTEGRITY

A fundamental purpose of the GRP is to facilitate the advancement of knowledge and the application of science to address challenges relevant to the GRP's mission. All activities of the GRP will be conducted to meet the highest standards of scientific integrity. All grantees have a responsibility to use the funds wisely.

GRANT AGREEMENT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Please review the Grant Agreement prior to submitting an application. It is the policy of National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine to entertain potential modifications to the Grant Agreement only under the most exceptional circumstances. Rather, successful applicants are strongly encouraged to sign the Grant Agreement as presented.

- View a sample grant agreement if the applicant is a public institution.
- View a sample agreement if the applicant is a private institution.

ABOUT THE GULF RESEARCH PROGRAM

The National Academies' Gulf Research Program (GRP) is an independent, science-based program founded in 2013 as part of legal settlements with the companies involved in the 2010 Deepwater

Horizon disaster. The GRP's mission is to develop, translate, and apply science to enhance the safety of offshore energy, the environment, and the wellbeing of the people of the Gulf region for generations to come. It supports innovative science, guides data design and monitoring, and builds and sustains networks to generate long-term benefits for the Gulf region and the nation.