

Challenges and Opportunities for Innovation

 Reimbursement structure does not match complexity of patients or geographic variation

 Election requires shifting away from aggressive treatments which is a consistent barrier for patients

 No defined concurrent care benefit available to Medicare beneficiaries despite many models that show the value and potential cost savings

 No palliative care benefit available for patients who decline hospice leaving gaps in care and poor experience of care for those with serious illness

 Length of Stay in our country very short with MLOS around 18 days in a benefit designed for 180 days

 Access remains an issue

Medicare Caring Choices Model

Alternative payment model launched in 2016 to allow hospice eligible patients to enroll in care while continuing curative/aggressive interventions



Participating hospices received a PMPM at a fraction of hospice reimbursement and were required to provide services available under the routine home care level of care



Participation was very low, but outcomes were very positive

More hospice utilization

Longer hospice length of stay

Decreased hospitalizations and Medicare spend

Improved quality and equity on some measures

Value-based Insurance Design-Hospice Carve-In to Medicare Advantage

VBID was created to test integration of hospice into Medicare Advantage with the goal of improving transitions of care, expanding access to palliative and concurrent care and testing of supplemental benefits



Again, limited participation and outcomes did not meet goals of demonstration

Poor utilization of concurrent care

Poor utilization of supplemental benefits

Significant administrative burden

Significant cuts to reimbursement

Reality of contracting with hundreds of MA plans in one market creates barriers to access