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SEA LEVEL RISE
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Difference in rates of change of local sea surface height and local land surface height
Its rate can be much faster than the Global Mean Sea Level rise rate of ~3.3 mm/yr due to land subsidence.

Frequency of flooding is projected to double across most U.S. coastlines with just 10-20 cm of local SLR [Vitousek et al., 2017].
DRIVERS OF LAND SUBSIDENCE
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Shirzaei et al. (2020, Nature Reviews)
Interseismic period: The overriding plate is squeezed producing compressional stress and uplift.

Earthquake: the accumulated stress is released resulting in sudden horizontal motion and subsidence.

Uplift or subsidence: Depends on location of the coastline relative to the slip patch.

DRIVERS OF LAND SUBSIDENCE: TECTONICS

This deformation is

- Governed by the effective stress, i.e., normal stress minus pore pressure.
- Often local scale but can be fast and temporally variable.
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Galloway and Burbey, (2011)
• ~1 cm/yr uplift in Scandinavia and North America at the locations of the former ice sheets.
• ~1-2 mm/yr subsidence around the edges of the former ice sheets.

Lidberg et al., (2010); Sella et al., (2007)

Modified from Whitehouse, (2018)
• Compaction of loose sediments under their own weight can also cause coastal subsidence.

• The sediment compaction can continue for decades to centuries and affects most sedimentary basins.

Kooi and De Vries, (1998)
SUBSIDENCE RATE AND TIME SCALE

Modified from Allison et al. (2016)
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MEASURING LAND SUBSIDENCE

Challenges:
• Spatially expansive (100s of km) observations at management relevant resolution (10s of m) are hard to obtain.
• Measurements must be in a global reference frame.

Opportunities:
• GNSS offers long-term stability, vector displacements, and better temporal coverage.
• InSAR provides the extensive spatial coverage.

Shirzai et al. (2020, Nature Reviews)
PAST, CURRENT AND PLANNED SAR MISSIONS
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HOW INSAR WORKS...
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TAKE-HOME MESSAGES

• Land subsidence exacerbates the hazards and risks associated with the sea-level rise.

• Several natural and anthropogenic factors drive land subsidence:
  o Including tectonics, aquifer, reservoir and sediment compaction, GIA and SIA.

• InSAR enabled measuring the contemporary rate of subsidence at management relevant resolution.
PROJECTIONS OF LAND SUBSIDENCE

• Required for estimating future relative SLR and flooding hazards.

• In theory, these projections can be obtained by using models, calibrated using observations of the contemporary rate of land subsidence.

• In practice, this is a challenging task because the physical and socioeconomic factors driving the subsidence are not stationary and may vary over time.
PROJECTIONS OF LAND SUBSIDENCE: TECTONICS

- Challenging because of the unpredictability of future earthquakes.

Shirzaei et al. (2020, Nature Reviews)
PROJECTIONS OF LAND SUBSIDENCE: AQUIFER COMPACATION

- Challenging because of subsidence is very local scale but can be both rapid and temporally variable.

Yuanchang, Taiwan, modified from Wang et al., (2015)
• NOT challenging because GIA from the continental deglaciations can be considered near steady over a century.

Lidberg et al., (2010); Sella et al., (2007)
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PROJECTIONS OF LAND SUBSIDENCE: SEDIMENT COMPACTION

- Medium challenging, although its contributions depend on local geology, sedimentation thickness, and accumulation rate but often it can be considered steady.

Kooi and De Vries, (1998)
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Modified from Meckel et al., (2006)
TAKE-HOME MESSAGES

• Among factors driving land subsidence, the tectonic and aquifer/reservoir compaction processes are not steady, where as GIA is mostly monotonic.

• To develop climate adaptation strategies and flood resilience plans;
  • Future work is needed to develop multi-objective land subsidence forecast models
  • These models must integrate the underlying physical processes with socioeconomic factors and climatic forcing.
  • These models are calibrated using contemporary observations.
CALIFORNIA CASE STUDY

• ALOS L-band ascending 2007-2011
• Sentinel-1A/B C-band ascending and descending 2014-2019
• Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS)

Blackwell, Shirzaei et al., (2020, Science Ad)
CALIFORNIA COAST VLM RATE
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TAKE-HOME MESSAGES

• Combination of multi-track InSAR and GNSS data enables measurement of VLM at 1000 km extent, 50 m resolution, and mm level accuracy.

• The first high-resolution map of VLM in the global reference frame for the entire coast of California was presented.

• Many highly populated, low elevation coastal communities in California are subsiding. 4.3-8.7 million people are likely to be exposed to subsidence.

• SAR data with global coverage are publicly available, and it is technologically feasible to compute worldwide maps of coastal VLM rates at management-relevant resolution (~10^1 m).
SAN FRANCISCO FUTURE INUNDATION HAZARDS
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Griggs et al., (2017)
Over the next 100 years:

- In area of Holocene Bay mud, compaction rate is almost constant.
- In areas of landfill, compaction rate changes by 5 - 14% given thickness of 10 - 30 m.
SAN FRANCISCO FUTURE INUNDATION HAZARDS

Inundated area by year 2100 under RCP 8.5:

- Assuming only SLR an area of 168 km² will be inundated.
- Including LLS the inundated area will increase to 218 km².

Shirzaei & Burgmann (2018, Science Ad)
SAN FRANCISCO FUTURE INUNDATION HAZARDS
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HOUSTON, TEXAS CASE STUDY

Miller & Shirzaei (2020, GRL, submitted)
By the year 2100, subsidence alone causes an area of 76 km$^2$ to inundate.

Miller & Shirzaei (2020, GRL, submitted)
By the year 2100, under the worst-case composite scenario of an 8-meter storm surge, subsidence, and the SLR RCP8.5, the total inundated area is **1,156 km²**.

Miller & Shirzaei (2020, GRL, submitted)
TAKE-HOME MESSAGES

- Even without any future global sea-level rise, flooding hazards may increase due to continued coastal land subsidence.
- In San Francisco Bay, an area of $125 \text{ km}^2 - 429 \text{ km}^2$ will be subject to inundation and flooding by 2100 due to SLR and LS.
- In Houston, an area of $186 \text{ km}^2 - 1157 \text{ km}^2$ will be subject to inundation and flooding by 2100 due to SLR, LS and SS.