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The EQUIP Observation Tool

Daniel Reinholz, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
San Diego State University
Background

• Extensive research describes equitable and effective STEM learning environments [1-4]

• Theory to practice is the primary barrier. [5-6]

• Observation tools can help promote change.

• Use multiple measures and multiple approaches.
Observation Tools

• Designed for a specific purpose.
• Need tools designed to capture equity.
• Generate actionable data that impact practice.
• Use multiple measures.
Social Marker Data

To create equitable classrooms, instructors need data about *who* is participating and *how*, according to student **social markers** (e.g., race, gender, disability, SES).
EQUIP

EQUIP is a customizable observation tool for tracking patterns in student participation. The goal is simple: to empower teachers in building more equitable classrooms. EQUIP can be used in real-time or with videos of classroom teaching. After completing an observation, EQUIP generates instant analytics that teachers can use to improve their practice.
Social Marker Analytics*

Discourse Dimensions
• Instructor Question
• Student Talk Type
• Student Talk Length
• Instructor Response
...

Social Markers
• Race
• Gender
• Disability
• Language
...

*Customized to local context and instructor equity goals
Equity Learning Communities

Overview of Approach
• Social marker data*
• Community learning
• Longitudinal engagement
• Incremental change

Outcomes
• More equitable participation
• Culturally responsive curriculum
• Productive beliefs about students
• Equitable mentoring practices

*EQUIP data are the starting point, but not the ending point.
Empirically-Validated Approach.

- Users: 2500+ and growing
- Network: 60+ in the community
- Publications: 18 journal articles (my team alone)
Book Projects

- Teaching to Disrupt Hierarchy
  (*MAA Press*)

- Equity Learning Communities
  (*Harvard Ed Press*)
Why EQUIP?

We can talk about equity all day, but...it’s a different level to be able to really re-evaluate your practice and step down from that pedestal that we as faculty members like to sit on.
Equitable teaching is more than *what* you do, it’s *how* you do it, and *who* you do it for.
Questions & Thank You!

daniel.reinholz@sdsu.edu

https://www.equip.ninja/

Acknowledgments
National Science Foundation
Spencer Foundation + National Academy of Education
SDSU Student Affairs and Campus Diversity
MSU CREATE for STEM Institute
References


EQUIP References


EQUIP References (Continued)


EQUIP References (Continued 2)


EQUIP References (Continued 3)


Insights from the Classroom Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM (COPUS)

Michelle Smith
Senior Associate Dean for Undergraduate Education College of Arts & Sciences
Ann S. Bowers Professor of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
Cornell University

Lab members presenting at the Society for the Advancement of Biology Education Research meeting last weekend
Are STEM college instructors using lecture or active-learning techniques?

e.g., Freeman et al., 2014; Wieman, 2014; Eddy and Hogan, 2017; Ballen et al., 2017
STEM Course Observations

Middle and high school teachers observe STEM courses

Asked instructors teaching STEM courses if they would:
  • allow middle and high school teachers to observe their courses
  • agree to the terms outlined in an informed consent form
  • over 75% of the instructors agreed

58 middle and high school teachers observed:
  • 424 STEM class sessions (2 observations per semester)
  • 23 different STEM departments

Classroom Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM (COPUS)
  Adapted from the Teaching Dimensions Observation Protocol (TDOP; Hora et al., 2011)
# Classroom Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM (COPUS)

1. **Students are Doing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L</th>
<th>Listening to instructor/taking notes, etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ind</td>
<td>Individual thinking/problem solving. Only mark when an instructor explicitly asks students to think about a clicker question or another question/problem on their own.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>Discuss clicker question in groups of 2 or more students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG</td>
<td>Working in groups on worksheet activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG</td>
<td>Other assigned group activity, such as responding to instructor question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnQ</td>
<td>Student answering a question posed by the instructor with rest of class listening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ</td>
<td>Student asks question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Engaged in whole class discussion by offering explanations, opinion, judgment, etc. to whole class, often facilitated by instructor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prd</td>
<td>Making a prediction about the outcome of demo or experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Presentation by student(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ</td>
<td>Test or quiz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Waiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Other – explain in comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Instructor is Doing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lec</th>
<th>Lecturing (presenting content, deriving mathematical results, presenting a problem solution, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RtW</td>
<td>Real-time writing on board, doc. projector, etc. (often checked off along with Lec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FU</td>
<td>Follow-up/feedback on clicker question or activity to entire class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
<td>Posing non-clicker question to students (non-rhetorical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>Asking a clicker question (mark the entire time the instructor is using a clicker question, not just when first asked)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnQ</td>
<td>Listening to and answering student questions with entire class listening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG</td>
<td>Moving through class guiding ongoing student work during active learning task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1o1</td>
<td>One-on-one extended discussion with one or a few individuals, not paying attention to the rest of the class (can be along with MG or AnQ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D/V</td>
<td>Show ing or conducting a demo, experiment, simulation, video, or animation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adm</td>
<td>Administration (assign homework, return tests, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Waiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Other – explain in comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adapted from Teaching Dimensions Observation Protocol (TDOP; Hora et al., 2011)*
### COPUS Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>min</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Ind</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>WG</th>
<th>OG</th>
<th>AnQ</th>
<th>Sq</th>
<th>WC</th>
<th>Prd</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>T/Q</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Students doing:** L-Listening; Ind-Individual work; CG-Clicker Q discussion; WG-Worksheet group work; OG-Other group work; AnQ-Answer Q; SQ-Student Q; WC-Whole class discuss; Prd-Predicting the outcome of a demo or experiment; SP-Student present; T/Q-Test/quiz; W-Waiting; O-Other

**Instructor doing:** Lec-Lecturing; RTW-Real time writing; FUp-Follow-up; PQ-Pose Q; CQ-Clicker Q; AnQ-Answer Q; MG-Moving through the classroom; 1o1-One-on-one discussion with student; D/V-Conducting a demo or experiment; Adm-Administration; W-Waiting; O-Other

---

Smith et al., 2013

Adapted from Teaching-Dimensions Observation Protocol (TDOP; Hora et al., 2011)
# Instructor Collapsed Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collapsed Codes</th>
<th>COPUS Codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presenting</td>
<td>Lecturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Real-time writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Showing a demo/video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guiding</td>
<td>Following up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posing questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clicker questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Answering questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moving and guiding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One-on-one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instructors Use a Range of Instructional Practices

Smith et al., 2014

NSF WIDER Grant
How do the Observation Data Impact Instructors?

Professional development workshops are aligned with instructor needs

Year-long Faculty Learning Communities (Cox, 2004; Cox, 2016)
- Groups of STEM instructors observe each other and provide feedback
- Added data (e.g., Instructor Talk observation protocol, student survey data)

Instructors discuss their COPUS data with a staff member
- 68% of observed instructors discussed their results
- Results used in tenure and promotion applications
COPUS Does Not Measure Everything

Additional observation protocols were developed
- Laboratory Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM (LOPUS; Velasco et al., 2016)
- Online COPUS (O-COPUS; Pusey et al., 2023)
Observation Data Often Need to be Paired with Additional Data

1. Collected biology assessment data in large enrollment courses at five institutions
2. Instructors collaborated on developing an Open Educational Resource (OER) using Backwards Design
3. Measured student learning
4. Used COPUS data to determine if collaborative lesson development influences teaching practices

University of Colorado-Boulder
Michigan State University
University of Georgia
University of Maine
Stony Brook University

= Biology Instructor
Stop Codon Questions

The following DNA sequence occurs near the middle of the coding region of a gene.

DNA 5' A A T G A A T G G* G A G C C T G A A G G A 3'

There is a G to A base change at the position marked with an asterisk. Consequently, a codon normally encoding an amino acid becomes a stop codon.

1. How will this alteration influence DNA replication?

   “Since during DNA replication only one nucleotide is read at a time, the mutation will have no effect on DNA replication.”
   “This will cause the DNA replication to stop”

2. How will this alteration influence transcription?

   “This won’t influence transcription because RNA polymerase doesn’t read codons.”
   “The newly added stop codon will inhibit the rest of the chain from being transcribed into RNA.”

3. How will this alteration influence translation?

   “Translation will be halted prematurely as the ribosome reads the stop codon.”
   “Translation is unaffected by this alteration.”

NSF TUES III and WIDER Grants
Students Have Mixed Models

- DNA Replication: 56% Correct, 12% Unclear/Irrelevant, 32% Incorrect
- Transcription: 55% Correct, 7% Unclear/Irrelevant, 38% Incorrect
- Translation: 57% Correct, 20% Unclear/Irrelevant, 23% Incorrect

n=1043 students

Prevost et al., 2016
Students Show Improvement as Instructors Revise the Lesson

Light color=incorrect
Hashed= irrelevant/unclear
Dark color= correct

n=1184 students
Pre=day before lesson
Post=~one week after the lesson

Pelletreau et al. 2016
NSF TUES III and WIDER Grants
Instructors Collaboratively Published the Active-Learning Lesson
Collaboratively Designed Activities Can Impact Instructor Teaching Practices

Pelletreau et al. 2018

NSF TUES III and WIDER Grants
Observation Protocol Development: Take Away Lessons

• Consider innovative ways to collect observation data

• Important to think about how to share data with instructors and design multiple opportunities for support

• Only possible to measure a subset of variables
  - Additional instruments may be needed
  - Consider combining different data sets to answer questions
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Overview of Literature on Equitable Teaching

Equity Based Teaching Collective
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Equity-Based Teaching Collective

Presentation to the committee on Equitable and Effective Teaching in Undergraduate STEM Education: A Framework for Institutions, Educators, and Disciplines
Board on Science Education at the National Academies

July 26, 2023
Presentation Agenda

- Revisiting Our Purpose
- Revisiting Our EBT Framework
- Emergent Thoughts
- Landscape Analysis
- Research Synthesis: Emergent Insights
- Critical Questions to Consider
- Q&A
Purpose: Develop Policy Playbook for EBT

● To provide an organizational framework for understanding the complex roles of different stakeholders and levers in the improvement of college teaching to address systemic barriers that prevent equitable student outcomes.

● To articulate how different stakeholders (i.e., faculty, academic leaders, state agencies, disciplinary and higher education associations, and funders) can interact to produce improvements in equity-based teaching and equitable outcomes for Black, Latinx, and Indigenous students and students from low-income backgrounds.

● To highlight the resource structure and funding necessary to turn policy recommendations into practice in key gateway courses.
**Institutional Transformation is Necessary**
- Disrupt centrality of Eurocentric epistemologies, policies, and practices
- Revise policies and practices to meaningfully integrates non-dominant epistemologies about teaching and learning

**Meaningful Engagement of Communities is Essential**
- Students’ communities are key to their learning
- Reciprocal relationships with student communities are essential in and outside of the classroom.

**Contexts Matter**
- Geopolitical and institutional contexts inevitably shape teaching conceptions and practices
- EBT calls for educators to be intentional about the context of when, where, and who they are teaching

**Expand Purpose of Education to Include Liberation/Sovereignty**
- Traditional student outcomes (e.g., critical thinking) are important, but not enough
- Key outcome for BLI students is self-actualization and revitalizing/sustaining their communities.
Equity-Based Teaching Collective
Phase 1A Methodology: Landscape Analysis

Landscape Analysis

- Literature
- Organizational Change Research Synthesis

- Current Gateway Courses
- Quantitative data collection and analysis

Potential Exemplar Policies & Practices
- Interviews
- Focus Groups
- Document analysis

Policy Playbook
Research Synthesis

Examining scholarly literature for frameworks, organizational practices, policies, and uses of educational technology for broad-scale improvement of equity-based teaching.

**Literature Search & Abstract Screening**
- Systematic database search
- Peer-reviewed
- 2012-2022
- Seeking organizational policies and practices

**Analyze**
- Evaluate and offer recommendations about which frameworks, organizational practices, organizational policies, and uses of technology might have the capacity to improve equity-based teaching on a broad scale.

**Full-text Screening & Data Extraction**
- Inclusion/exclusion screening
- Extraction of study characteristics, design
- Qualitative descriptions of frameworks, policies, practices, and technologies
Emergent Findings from Organizational Literature Synthesis

About 60% of the interventions we have identified from the literature are focused on changing and developing individual faculty.

Other types of organizational change (structures, policies, external systems) were present but much less prevalent in the literature.

Interventions are mostly practice-driven, not policy-driven, thus not necessarily linked to formal, written institutional commitments.
Critical Questions to Consider

When thinking about equity, how far are you willing to go to transform STEM education?

What does working toward *decolonizing* STEM education need to look like to advance equity?

What does "freedom dreaming" look like for STEM education? What constraints need to be removed?

What investments do stakeholders need to make to support work toward decolonizing and transforming STEM education?
Equity in Undergraduate STEM Education
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• Luis Perez, CAST
• Carol Hurney, Colby College
• Flower Darby, University of Missouri
• Suzanne Wakim, Butte College