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Statistical Agencies Moving into 21st Century

• End-state objective: Re-engineer key economic indicators such as real output and inflation to release **consistent, timely, and granular** statistics

• Census, BLS, and BEA exploring integrated data collection from naturally occurring data

• Quality-adjusted measures of real output and inflation for all goods

• Reduced survey burden on firms

• Data harvested from item-level transactions data that firms and information aggregators are already actively using

• **The RESET project:**
  • Address conceptual, practical, and contractual issues for implementation at scale
  • Blueprints for new architecture for collecting data and creating official statistics.
Transactions data used to date

- **NPD (general merchandise stores, including online)**
  - Monthly prices and quantities at store-item level
  - High-quality attributes at item level from value-added by NPD
  - Started with 5 product groups. Now have scaled to 500 groups covering Apparel and Consumer Electronics
  - Collaborative Project with Census (building on project using NPD data to improve Retail Statistics)
  - Working behind Census firewall with Census DRB approving output

- **Nielsen (Kilts Center: grocery, discount, convenience, drug and liquor store items for food and nonfood)**
  - Weekly prices and quantities at store-item level
  - Using machine learning methods to extract information from limited product attribute data
  - Access through University of Maryland and Michigan contracts with Kilts
  - Kilts Center reviews papers/presentations

- **Individual retailer (Company X: large range of goods)**
  - Working behind their firewall
  - Item-level prices and quantities
  - Rich information on attributes that require machine learning to process
  - NDA and access agreements with University of Maryland and Michigan.
  - No results in today's presentation, but lessons learned discussed
Lessons learned on re-engineering prices

• Substantial gains from using superlative price indices (e.g., Tornqvist) rather than Laspeyres even without quality adjustment

• Quality change is pervasive
  • Need P, Q and Attribute Data

• Attribute data comes in many flavors
  • High value added from information aggregators like NPD
  • Abbreviated text fields from Kilts Nielsen
  • Text and image fields from individual retailers.

• Strikingly, similar patterns emerge from these distinct sources
  • Rely on methods from Erickson and Pakes (2011) (EP) that incorporate time varying unobservable characteristics
  • Machine learning enables using disparate attribute data with methods

• Scalable approaches under development:
  • Need to show that indices can be produced on a timely basis at scale.
  • API to be used behind company’s firewall or with information aggregators data
High quality value-added attribute data from NPD

- High pace of product entry and exit
  - 5.7% entry, 4.5% exit per qtr
- Rapid quality change
  - Single-serve pod makers entered over our sample period
- Laspeyres shows more inflation than Tornqvist (one advantage of P &Q data is ideal indices easy to compute).
- Time dummy method yields additional adjustment.
- Hedonic Tornqvist, TV lower than Time Dummy.
- All price indices are chained, quarterly.
Substantial improvements — even in food

- CPI and Laspeyres (Nielsen) track each other (reasonably) well.
- Tornqvist substantially lower inflation correcting for inflation.
- Quality change in food substantial
- ML techniques effective!
Lessons learned about nominal revenues

This part of the project at earlier stage.

We find evidence that official statistics (e.g., PCE) and transactions data (e.g., from Nielsen):

- Track each other reasonably well at broad group level (e.g., food and non-alcoholic beverages)
- PCE too smooth at high frequency for detailed product categories, consistent with extrapolation/interpolation.
  - Less cyclical
  - Misses item-specific events (surge in nominal expenditures for eggs during bird flu).
- Interestingly CPI picked up but PCE nominal expenditures did not
- Coverage issues are a challenge for specific data providers:
  - Nielsen high quality on food items.
  - Nielsen also covers non-food items sold at Grocery Stores.
  - Poorer coverage and becoming less representative over time.
- Benchmarking to Economic Census likely still critical.
Lessons Learned + Next Steps

• Using item-level P and Q transactions data with attributes can be used to produce
  • Internally consistent nominal sales
  • Price deflators that adjust for quality
  • Quality adjustment at scale using machine learning
• Next Steps
  • Create new indicators at scale on timely basis.
    • Need to demonstrate to statistical agencies this is feasible and yields improvements.
    • Objective: Deliver RESET estimates for entire retail goods sector
  • Robustly and efficiently scale to new partners
    • Information aggregators such as Nielsen and NPD + Private Firms (aiming for 100 largest + sample of smaller)
Challenges

• Incentivize private sector firm participation
  • New more granular, real time statistics
  • Reduce survey burden

• Technology
  • APIs
  • Secure multi-party computing
  • Stability/consistency of data stream
  • Heterogeneity of company information systems

• Legal and Institutional
  • Implementing this approach will require changes in statistical agency interaction and structure
  • Data synchronization essential
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