

NIEHS PFAS Research: Enabling Evidence-Based Strategies

Brian R. Berridge, D.V.M., Ph.D., DACVP Scientific Director Division of the National Toxicology Program National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS)

02.04.2021

National Institutes of Health • U.S. Department of Health and Human Services



National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences



Mission Statement

The mission of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences is to discover how the environment affects people in order to promote healthier lives.

Vision Statement

The vision of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences is to provide global leadership for innovative research that improves public health by preventing disease and disability.

- Advancing Environmental Health Sciences
- Promoting Translation Data to Knowledge to Action
- Enhancing EHS Through Stewardship and Support



NIEHS National Toxicology Program Division

Health & Education	Research	Funding Opportunities	Careers & Training	News & Events	About NIEHS		
Research	Na	tional Toxicol	ogy Program	n (NTP) Divi	ision		
At NIEHS	> Та	ble of Contents					
Branches & Laboratories +			-				
National Toxicology – Program Division	divisio edge	The Division of the National Toxicology Program (DNTP) is an intramural division at NIEHS. DNTP scientists use a variety of traditional and cutting- edge approaches to better understand how factors in our environment may National Toxicology Program (h					
NTP Branches & Laboratories Office of Data Science	impac collab and a	impact our health. Our scientists work in multidisciplinary teams and collaboratively with scientists in other federal agencies, institutes, industry, and academia. DNTP's mission is to improve public health through data and knowledge development that are translatable, predictive, and timely. This is accomplished by achieving the following goals:					
Scientific Director's Office National Toxicology Program Division Strategic Planning Framework	DNTP develo achiev						
Shared and Core Facilities + Collaborate with public stakeholders and global partners to identify and address public health issues.							
	• G m	 Generate and communicate trusted scientific information to support decision making on environmental hazards of public interest. 					
	• Li ir	 Lead the transformation of toxicology through the development and application of innovative tools and strategies. 					
	• E	ducate and train the next gene eaders in the field.	ration of translational scien	tists to be innovative			
	DNTP	rolled out a new <u>strategic plar</u> on's work.	nning framework in late 202	0 to guide the			

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Your Environment. Your Health.





What are Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)?

Total number of PFAS

>5,000 chemicals

- Includes products, impurities and degradants
- Resistant to grease, water & oil
- Persistent and bio-accumulative

Lots of potential health effects









Extramural Research





NIEHS PFAS Grants Portfolio

— Awards via various NIH funding mechanisms, including:

- Investigator-initiated basic research
- Time-sensitive awards
- SBIR (Small Business Innovation Research) program grants
- NIEHS/EPA Children's Environmental Health and Disease Prevention Research Centers
- Breast Cancer and The Environment Research Program
- Superfund Research Centers
- Conference support
- Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) program awards



DNTP Research Products



NTP TECHNICAL REPORT THE TOXICITY STUDIES C

Perfluoroalkyl Carboxy (Perfluorohexanoic Aci Perfluorooctanoic Aci Perfluorononanoic Ac and Perfluorodecanoic Administered by Gavage Sprague Dawley (Hsd:Sprague Dawley S

NTP TOX 97 AUGUST 2019

NTP TECHNICAL REP THE TOXICITY STUDIE

National Toxicology Pro

Perfluoroalkyl Sulfo (Perfluorobutane Su Acid, Perfluorohexa Sulfonate Potassium Perfluorooctane Su Administered by Gav Sprague Dawley (Hsd:Sprague Dawle

NTP TOX 96 AUGUST 2019 NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of

National Toxicology Program

Perfluorooctanoic Acid (CASRN 335-67-1) Administered in Feed to Sprague Dawley (Hsd:Sprague Dawley® SD®) Rats

NTP	TR 598

Research

NTP Monograph

Associated with Exposure

to Perfluorooctanoic Acid or Perfluorooctane

Immunotoxicity

Sulfonate

Sentember 2016

A Section 508–conformant HTML version of this article is available at https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP6233

Evaluation of Maternal, Embryo, and Placental Effects in CD-1 Mice following Gestational Exposure to Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) or Hexafluoropropylene Oxide Dimer Acid (HFPO-DA or GenX)

Bevin E. Blake,^{1,2} Harlie A. Cope,² Samantha M. Hall,³ Robert D. Keys,⁴ Beth W. Mahler,⁴ James McCord,⁵ Brittany Scott,⁴ Heather M. Stapleton,³ Mark J. Strynar,⁵ Susan A. Elmore,⁴ and Suzanne E. Fenton²





Current DNTP PFAS Research

- Systematic literature review
 - Immunotoxicity of select PFAS
- Short duration in vivo transcriptomics
 - Predictive toxicology
- REACT Program-
 - <u>R</u>esponsive <u>E</u>valuation and <u>A</u>ssessment of <u>C</u>hemical <u>T</u>oxicity
 - Read across capabilities
- AFFF assessments
 - In vitro and in vivo characterization of hazard



Key Messages

- The role of NIEHS and NTP is to characterize possible health effects of PFAS chemicals
 - Accomplished through extramurally-funded academic research, intramural research, and NTP experimental investigation
- Human and animal evidence suggest that PFAS may have health effects in people
 - Potential health effects are numerous including effects in the liver, kidney, thyroid, pancreas, immune system, on metabolism, and on early life development
 - Most data are for older generation PFAS (i.e., PFOA and PFOS)
- We would like to understand better the human relevance of the health effects that have been described
- We would like to understand better the most important 'human' concerns to help in prioritizing our research efforts



Expectations

116TH CONGRESS 2d Session	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES	REPORT 116-617
WII NATION	LLIAM M. (MAC) THORNB AL DEFENSE AUTHORIZA FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021 	ERRY TION ACT
	CONFERENCE REPORT	
	TO ACCOMPANY	
	H.R. 6395	
	DECEMBER 3, 2020.—Ordered to be print	ted
42-147	U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE WASHINGTON : 2020	

SEC. 332. INTERAGENCY BODY ON RESEARCH RELATED TO PER- AND POLYFLUOROALKYL SUBSTANCES.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, acting through the National Science and Technology Council, <u>shall establish</u>, or designate, an interagency working group to coordinate Federal activities related to PFAS research and development.

(b) AGENCY PARTICIPATION.—The interagency working group shall include a representative of each of—

(1) the Environmental Protection Agency;

(2) the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences;

- (3) the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry;
- (4) the National Science Foundation;
- (5) the Department of Defense;
- (6) the National Institutes of Health;
- (7) the National Institute of Standards and Technology;
- (8) the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration;
- (9) the Department of the Interior;
- (10) the Department of Transportation;
- (11) the Department of Homeland Security;
- (12) the National Aeronautics and Space Administration;
- (13) the National Toxicology Program;
- (14) the Department of Agriculture;
- (15) the Geological Survey;
- (16) the Department of Commerce;
- (17) the Department of Energy;
- (18) the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs;
- (19) the Office of Management and Budget; and

(20) any such other Federal department or agency as the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy considers appropriate.

(C) identifies scientific and technological challenges that must be addressed to understand and to significantly reduce the environmental and human health impacts of PFAS and to identify cost-effective—

(i) alternatives to PFAS that are designed to be safer and more environmentally friendly;



Proceedings of a Workshop
January 2021
Federal Government Human Health PFAS Research Workshop Proceedings of a Workshop—in Brief

- Information on mechanism of action, dose-response relationships, and mixtures
- Pharmacokinetic data- human half-lives, hepatic clearance, metabolic susceptibility, renal absorption, effect of population variability
- Better understanding of mechanisms of immune effects
- Biologic plausibility and mechanisms of action through which PFAS can influence cancer development
- Better understanding of understudied reproductive and developmental effects
- Determining how innovative studies such as intervention-based approaches may provide more complete information on health outcomes

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Your Environment. Your Health.

Health Effects

Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry—Volume 00, Number 00—pp. 1–25, 2020 Received: 20 July 2020 | Revised: 29 August 2020 | Accepted: 20 September 2020

Critical Review

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substance Toxicity and Human Health Review: Current State of Knowledge and Strategies for Informing Future Research

Suzanne E. Fenton,^a Alan Ducatman,^b Alan Boobis,^c Jamie C. DeWitt,^d Christopher Lau,^e Carla Ng,^f James S. Smith,^g and Stephen M. Roberts^{b,*}



- Many putative human health effects
- Varying levels of evidence to support
- Difficult to prioritize most important threats based on varying exposures



DNTP Research Capabilities



Animal Studies



DNTP Research Prioritization

- Nominations
 - External, internal
 - Government, non-government
- Exposure-based concerns
- Lack of data
- Human evidence
- Animal/experimental evidence
- Leveraging our non-regulatory and independent role



DNTP Strategic Areas of Focus



Responsive Research Programs



Collaboratively address public health challenges

> Generate trusted scientific information to support decisionmaking

Develop and apply innovative tools and strategies

Health Effects Innovation Programs



Strengthening Capabilities Programs





'Translation' the DNTP way





PFAS Challenges





PFAS Research Prioritization Challenges

- Which PFAS?
 - Individual agents
 - Mixtures
 - Class-based approaches
 - Exposure vs. potency
- Which health effects?
- Which people?
 - Life stage
 - Co-morbidities
 - Genetic susceptibility

- What kind of data?
 - Usual in vivo guideline studies
 - Relevance of animal systems?
 - PPAR alpha bioactivity
 - HTP bioactivity screening
 - MTP cell/tissue-based characterization
- Build tools/capabilities vs. generate data?



Opportunity to help us

Project Title: Guidance on PFAS Testing and Health Outcomes

Assess the strength of evidence for the spectrum of putative health effects suggested by human studies (including immune response, lipid metabolism, kidney function, thyroid disease, liver disease, glycemic parameters and diabetes, cancer, and fetal and child development) to establish a basis for prioritized clinical surveillance or monitoring of PFAS health effects. This assessment should characterize the likelihood of those health effects occurring (qualitative probability) given real world human exposures and identify the human populations at most risk (consider life stage, health status, exposure level). Data/evidence gaps that contribute to uncertainty about health effects of most concern should be annotated.

Evidence gaps = Research Priorities



Thank you in advance for your effort!

Questions?