

# **National Cancer Policy Forum:** Cost Considerations in Medical Practice

Richard C. Wender, MD Alumni Professor and Chair Department of Family & Community Medicine Thomas Jefferson University Immediate Past President, American Cancer Society



# The Ecology of Medical Care

- Half of all physician visits are to generalist clinicians
- Most visits for common, serious conditions are to primary care practices
- Primary care infrastructure consists of small, relatively independent practices

Green LA, Freyer GE Jr, et al NEJM 344(2001):2021-25



# **The Ecology of Primary Care Practices**

- Typical practice consists of
  - 2-5 clinicians
  - Fewer than 3 non-clinician nursing and clerical staff for each clinician
- Most practices have a hierarchical management structure
  - Physician owners and office manager provide oversight

Stange KC et al, J of Fam Pract 46(1998):377-89



# **Primary Care Practices: Culture and Financial Reality**

"Climates permeated with stress and overwork"

- Most work on margins of financial viability
  - Little time for self-reflection
  - Little or no training in quality improvement and organizational management

Crabtree BF. Healthcare Manage Rev, Vol 281(2003):279-83 Grumbach K and Bodenheimer J. JAMA (2002):889-93



# **The Realities of Primary Care Practice**

- Complexity is the norm
- Clinicians face a diverse set of problems and must constantly set priorities
- Few of these decisions impact reimbursement



# Primary Care and CRC Screening: Assumptions Assumption 1

# Primary care clinicians virtually all recommend CRC screening



# Virtually no primary care clinicians are successfully screening all eligible, enrolled patients



Despite a list of 5 screening options, referral for colonoscopy has become the predominant screening strategy with a stool-based testing strategy as a backup



Primary Care Clinicians have two concerns about costs of CRC screening:

- 1. Can the patient afford the test?
- 2. Can the physician bill for the service?

Ubel PA et al. The influence of cost-effectiveness information in physicians' cancer screening recommendations. Social Science and Medicine 56(8):1727-36 2003 Apr.



- Most insured patients can afford colonoscopy
- Clinicians perceive that they have no financial incentive to promote screening ... and may have a financial disincentive
- Perceived payment for in-office digital rectal FOBT and in-office development are supporting these practices



- PCC's do not isolate the cost of CRC screening, either in business models or in day to day decision-making
- Prevention, in general, is perceived as non – or, at best, partially reimburseable



# **Assumption 6: Corollary 1**

- Few primary care clinicians can quantitate a specific cost associated with CRC screening in their practices
- But the additional time and staff associated with screening implementation are barriers



### **Assumption 6 – Corollary 2**

- The greater complexity of CRC screening compared to other screening initiatives is an impediment to screening
- Screening with PSA, despite a less compelling evidence base, occurs at a remarkably high rate

Barriers are low



How do PCC's "absorb" the cost of time devoted to screening?

How do primary care offices change to improve practice performance?



# **No Single Model To Absorb These Costs**

- PCC offices are complex, non-linear systems
- Organizational principles can be used to describe PCC settings
- Generally speaking, high performing practices share some key characteristics

Crabtree BF, et al. Primary Practice Organizations and Preventive Services Delivery: A Qualitative Analysis. J of Fam Pract 46(5):403-409 1998, May



# Clinical Preventive Service Delivery In Primary Care

- Study of 18 family medicine offices
- Practices use individualized approaches
  - No one approach used successfully across all practices
- Preventive service delivery was identified as a priority
- Factors included competing demands, a physician champion, and economic concerns

Crabtree BF, et al. Annals of Fam Med 3(5):430-5, 2005



# **Characteristics of High Performing Practice**

- Leadership
- A culture of improvement
- Greater staff involvement
- Higher investment in people
  - Greater investment in technology has not, <u>yet</u>, been demonstrated to promote prevention, including CRC screening

Orzano AJ, et al. Improving outcomes for high risk diabetes using information systems. J Am B of Fam Med 20(3) 295-51 2007 May-Jun



# Improving Quality: Characteristics of High Performing Practices

- Involving staff in decision making
  - -Higher staff retention
  - -Higher productivity
  - Practice satisfaction
- Staff meetings do <u>not</u> correlate with improved participation and outcomes
- Soliciting staff feedback through every day discussions works better
  Hung Y et al. Medical Care Vol.44 (1)

Hung Y et al. Medical Care Vol.44 (10): 946-51 Oct 2006



# Prescription For Health: RWJ Funded Pilot Programs To Improve Quality Care Delivery

- 17 PBRN's funded in round 1
- Lessons from prescription for health
  - Health behavior change resources are enthusiastically received by all
  - -Patients prefer personal contact methods
  - Practice extenders require extensive training and careful case management and support



# **Prescription For Health: cont'd**

- Lessons from prescription for health
  - Integrating tools requires practice change, use of a practice change model and specialized expertise
  - Even simple interventions require change and a change model

Ann of Fam Med 3 Suppl 2:512-19, 2005 Jul-Aug



# **Electronic Health Records Do Not Invariably Improve Care Quality**

- Analysis of 50 practices in a practice improvement study
  - 37 practices not using an EMR were more likely to meet diabetes outcomes than 13 practices utilizing an EMR

Crosson JC, et al. Annals of Fam Med 5(3):209-15. 2007



# **The New Model of EMR Implementation**

- Enterprise wide
  - -Participation required
- Central management using business principles and extensive outsourcing
- Practice redesign must precede implementation



# The New Model of EMR Implementation: Cost

- Cost of purchase and implementation of a 460-strong faculty practice plan-wide: \$21,000,000
- BUT associated with rapid return on investment
  - Approximately \$10,000 per physician
- Still unproven as a method to improve preventive care



# A New Model To Enhance Prevention and Chronic Disease Management

# - The Patient Centered Medical Home



# The Physician Practice Connection: Patient-Centered Medical Home

Joint Principles of PPC-PCMH:

- -Personal physician
- Physician directed medical practice
- -Whole person orientation
- -Care is coordinated or integrated
- -Quality and safety are hallmarks
- Enhanced access
- Payment recognizes value

www.NCQA.org



# **PPC-PCMH Content and Scoring**

# Standards:

- 1. Access and communication
- 2. Patient tracking and registry functions
- 3. Care management
- 4. Patient self-management support
- 5. Electronic prescribing
- 6. Test tracking
- 7. Referral tracking
- 8. Performance reporting and improvement
- 9. Advanced electronic communications



# **Multiple Initiatives To Fund Medical Homes**

# **General Model**

- Enhanced up-front payment for infrastructure
- Higher reimbursement for episodes of care (in some cases)
- -Reimbursement linked to quality



# Medical Home Reimbursement Model and CRC Screening

- Who is paying more?
  - -Employers
  - -Insurance companies
- Expectation of cost-saving
- BUT: Focus is greater on chronic disease management RATHER than cancer screening



# Conclusions

- Primary care systems are complex
- Costs of CRC screening are infrequently considered <u>explicitly</u>
- Complexity of CRC screening contributes to cost
  PCC's don't recognize a financial incentive to
  - PCC's don't recognize a financial incentive to screen
- Investment in and support of human resources and leaders is more important than investment in technology
- New medical home models hold promise, but will demand changes in payment

