Go for Green 2.0: A Performance Nutrition Initiative

Beth Moylan, MPH, RD, CSSD Director of Performance Nutrition Consortium for Health and Military Performance Uniformed Services University



A Defense Center of Excellence

CHAMP Consortium for Health and Military Performance

Disclosure Information

The information presented in this activity represents the opinions of the author and not those of the Department of Defense or the Uniformed Services University

Beth Moylan has no financial interests or relationships to disclose.





Go for Green® 2.0

- A military initiative designed to improve the performance, readiness, and health of military service members through nutrition.
- Nudges diners toward better food and beverage selections, without removing personal choice.
- Uniquely blends labeling, food placement and behavior change science.









G4G 2.0 Program Requirements



Uniformed Services University

Consortium for Health and Military Performance

Tailored for the active-duty Military



Uniformed Services University Consorti

Consortium for Health and Military Performance

Go for Green[®] 2.0 – Quick History

Original (G4G 1.0)

G4G 1.5

G4G 2.0

- Started with Soldier Fueling Initiative
- Adopted by Army, Air Force, Navy
- This version was in Healthy Base Initiative
- Fueled to Fight[®] was adopted by Marine Corps
- Tested in 2015 as part of the Army Performance Triad (P3) pilot at selected US Army Dining Facilities (DFAC)
- Implemented in 2015 at Navy afloat and ashore

WELL . PERFORM

Uniformed Services University

- G4G 2.0 Program
 Requirements staffed/ published August 2017
- Implementation plans in progress







Performance Triad (P3) Pilot

Implemented Go for Green[®] (version 1.5) in DFACs at the following locations:

- Fort Campbell
- Fort Riley
- Fort Bragg
- Joint Base Lewis McCord (JBLM)
- Fort Benning

Source: Army Public Health Center, Performance Triad Pilot Technical Report

Snapshot of Successes

- Increased availability of healthy food options
- Increased promotion of healthy food options
- Increased presentation of nutrition information





Case Study: DFAC at JBLM

DFAC markedly **improved the food** labeling during G4G/P3 Pilot.

What percent of food items were labeled in the DFAC? (Single Day Snapshot)



Data Source: Army Public Health Center, G4G **Observational Environmental Assessments** 9

were Green, Amber, and Red? (Single Day Snapshot; n=172) 30% 29% 41% Before P3 21% 39% 40% After P3 A Defense Center of Excellence Uniformed Services University

Consortium for Health and Military Performance

What proportion of the DFAC items

Case Study: DFAC at JBLM

Cannon and Castle showed an **increase in food placement strategies** to promote Green-coded food choices among consumers.



Uniformed Services University

Consortium for Health and Military Performance

Data Source: Army Public Health Center, G4G Observational Environmental Assessments 10

Case Study: JBLM Focus Groups



 56% specifically mentioned how Go for Green[®] rearranged the DFAC layout to make healthier options more prominent (e.g., put fast food behind salad bar).



 61% indicated changes still needed in DFAC: more healthy choices, hours of operation amenable to a Soldier's schedule

Data Source: Army Public Health Center, Performance Triad Focus Groups





Voice of the Soldier

"... the guys that eat in the barracks or like dining facilities ... they don't think about what they're actually eating, and how it affects them."



"[Healthy food] should be provided for them [Soldiers] in the DFAC because they're not getting additional money to go out and buy better food at restaurants. So, the DFAC really needs ... more nutritional selections available to the Soldiers."

"You're lookin' at an **institutional change** ... to make this [P3] effective."

Data Source: Army Public Health Center, Performance Triad Pilot Qualitative Data





Go for Green[®] 2.0

Original (G4G 1.0)

G4G 1.5

G4G 2.0

- Started with Soldier Fueling Initiative
- Adopted by Army, Air Force, Navy
- This version was in Healthy Base Initiative
- Fueled to Fight[®] was adopted by Marine Corps
- Tested in 2015 as part of the Army Performance Triad (P3) pilot at selected US Army Dining Facilities (DFAC)
- Implemented in 2015 at Navy afloat and ashore
- <section-header>



- G4G 2.0 Program Requirements staffed/ published August 2017
- Implementation plans in progress





A Defense Center of Excellence

Consortium for Health and Military Performance

Keys to Success

Standardization

- Defined Program Requirements
- Menu Goals and Guideline Cards
- Toolkits, Checklists, Worksheets
- Templates for Marketing
- Training at all levels
- Policy established
- Continuous improvement mindset:
 - Ongoing case studies
 - Ongoing research studies



Literature suggests that implementing G4G 1.5 strategies to fidelity will more effectively help dining facilities influence military nutrition environments.

> Data Source: APHC Performance Triad Pilot Technical Report





Challenges: Enable Future Success

- Culture shift around nutrition
- Demand drives change & sales
 - Top-down from leadership
 - Bottom-up requests of Military Service Members (MSM)
- Multi-levels policies are needed
 - Operational duties at DFAC level
 - Inspections
- Technology infrastructure around menus and supplies







Future of Go for Green® 2.0

- Armed Forces Recipe Service
- Research Study: Effectiveness of G4G 2.0
 - Collaboration of USU/CHAMP, USARIEM and AFRS
 - Soldier dietary choices and, attitudes and knowledge around nutrition
- Enterprise-wide implementation of G4G
 - Army roll-out of G4G 2.0; Pilot testing in G4G/P3
 - Air Force pursuing pilot tests of G4G 2.0 and plan for replicability
 - Navy implemented G4G 1.5
 - Marine Corps implemented Fueled to Fight
- Success in reaching G4G 2.0 at program fidelity
 - Technical/operational support
 - Marketing and nutrition messaging





Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Jennifer Billington, Armed Forces Recipe Service, Combat Feeding Directorate who has co-led the revision of G4G 2.0 and all of our team at CHAMP, for their hard work.

We acknowledge the contributions of well over 50 dietitians and other food service professionals who were actively engaged in revision of G4G 2.0.

Support for G4G 2.0 is not limited to the nutrition community; the progress of G4G 2.0 would not be possible without the support of champions at all levels of military service and leadership.





For Further Information

Director of Performance Nutrition, CHAMP G4G 2.0 Working Group, Lead Elizabeth.Moylan.ctr@usuhs.edu

G4G 2.0 Website hosted by HPRC https://www.hprc-online.org/page/go-for-green

CHAMP Website https://www.usuhs.edu/champ



A Defense Center of Excellence

CHAMP Consortium for Health and Military Performance





A Defense Center of Excellence

CHAMP Consortium for Health and Military Performance