May 18th, 2021

WSIPP's Approach to Benefit-Cost Analysis

Michael Hirsch Senior Research Associate

WASHINGTON STATE INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC POLICY

Washington State Institute for Public Policy

- Created by the Legislature in 1983
- Public service agency administered by TESC
- Projects assigned via legislative bills or Board of Directors
- Mission to produce practical research for the legislature

Governed by a Board of Directors

- 8 legislators
- 2 legislative staff directors
- 2 Governor's representatives
- 4 University representatives

Goals of WSIPP's benefit-cost analysis

Are there policies demonstrated to improve outcomes with a positive return on investment?

- **1. Evidence:** What works to improve outcomes; what does not?
- **2. Economics:** What do we predict the expected effects of the program will be in Washington?
- 3. Risk: What is the likelihood that a program or policy will at least "break even?"

Evidence: What does research tell us?

Meta-analysis of research on specific programs

- Standardized approach
- Consider all published evidence we can find
- Apply consistent standards of rigor (causal evidence)
- Combine evidence statistically
- Estimate how much change we would expect

Economics: What is the BCA?

WSIPP's benefit-cost analysis

- Standardized approach
- Use results from a meta-analysis (or outcome evaluation)
 How much change can we expect?
- Apply consistent valuation of outcomes, e.g.,
 Crime
 Labor market earnings
 Health care costs
- Consider risk of each investment How likely to "break even"?

WSIPP's BCA

- Per-participant basis: What are the costs and benefits for each person who *participates*?
- Societal perspective: Who benefits from this investment?
 - Participants (e.g., labor market earnings)
 - Taxpayers (e.g., avoided system costs)
 - ✓ Others (e.g., avoided victimizations)
- Lifetime horizon: How will benefits and costs accrue over the life cycle?

Projecting change over time

- 1. Measured by program evaluations
- 2. Meta-analysis of evidence of relationships between early changes results and later-in-life outcomes
- 3. WSIPP estimates of effect decay based on metaanalysis

Website: WSIPP.WA.GOV

- Information on over 500 programs across 11 areas
- Some such as Early Childhood Education are currently ongoing in Washington
- Some have never been implemented in Washington
- Information on programs without benefit-cost results or programs without rigorous evaluations on there as well

Where to find our benefit-cost results

Public Health & Prevention



For questions on benefit-cost results relating to Public Health & Prevention, contact Eva Westley.

Program name (click on the program name for more detail)	Date of last literature review	Total benefits	Taxpayer benefits	Non- taxpayer benefits ⇔	Costs	Benefits minus costs (net present value) 🚓		Chance benefits will exceed costs 🚓					
School-based													
Positive Action	Sep. 2018	\$34,215	\$8,388	\$25,827	(\$1,048)	\$33,167	\$32.65	94 %					
School-based programs to increase physical activity	Nov. 2015	\$16,942	\$3,746	\$13,196	(\$487)	\$16,456	\$34.81	67 %					
Mentoring: School-based by teachers or staff	May. 2018	\$19,839	\$4,563	\$15,276	(\$3,421)	\$16,418	\$5.80	70 %					
Caring School Community (formerly Child Development Project)	Apr. 2018	\$11,358	\$2,595	\$8,764	(\$1,085)	\$10,273	\$10.47	60 %					
Good Behavior Game	Mar. 2018	\$9,923	\$2,707	\$7,216	(\$158)	\$9,765	\$62.73	77 %					
Promoting Alternative Thinking Strategies (PATHS)	Jun. 2015	\$8,245	\$1,793	\$6,452	(\$373)	\$7,872	\$22.09	62 %					
Coping and Support Training (CAST)	Aug. 2017	\$7,530	\$2,378	\$5,152	(\$475)	\$7,055	\$15.86	81 %					
Sunshine Circle Model	Aug. 2018	\$5,293	\$1,706	\$3,587	(\$162)	\$5,131	\$32.61	91 %					
Seattle Social Development Project updated	Mar. 2019	\$8,743	\$2,505	\$6,239	(\$3,899)	\$4,845	\$2.24	56 %					
School-Wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (SWPBIS)	Jan. 2018	\$4,848	\$1,184	\$3,665	(\$642)	\$4,206	\$7.55	70 %					
Communities in Schools	Sep. 2018	\$3,649	\$885	\$2,764	(\$261)	\$3,388	\$14.01	56 %					
Project EX	Dec. 2014	\$2,887	\$653	\$2,234	(\$62)	\$2,825	\$46.40	88 %					

Where to find our benefit-cost results

Detailed Monetary Benefit Estimates Per Participant										
Affected outcome:	Resulting benefits:1	Benefits accrue to:								
		Taxpayers	Participants	Others ²	Indirect ³	Total				
Test scores	Labor market earnings associated with test scores	\$2,626	\$6,169	\$3,254	\$0	\$12,050				
Smoking before end of middle school	Health care associated with smoking	(\$1)	\$0	(\$1)	(\$1)	(\$3)				
	Mortality associated with smoking	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Cannabis use before end of middle school	Criminal justice system	\$5	\$0	\$11	\$2	\$18				
	Health care associated with cannabis abuse or dependence	\$1	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$3				
Alcohol use before end of middle school	Property loss associated with alcohol abuse or dependence	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	Mortality associated with alcohol	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Program cost	Adjustment for deadweight cost of program	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$550)	(\$550)				
Totals		\$2,631	\$6,169	\$3,265	(\$548)	\$11,517				

Benefits and limitations of benefit-cost analysis

✓ Benefits

- Provides additional information beyond "Is this program effective?"
- Appeals to policymakers on both sides of the aisle
- Offers basis for comparison within policy areas

✓ Limitations

- Time- and labor-intensive
- Findings are specific to inputs (e.g., Washington state)
- Only as good as the underlying research
- Not exhaustive (can't measure or monetize everything)
- Need feedback loop for reality check

Questions?

Website: www.wsipp.wa.gov

Contact: Michael.Hirsch@wsipp.wa.gov