

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



What is "Reprocessing" and "Recycling"?

- Two options exist for managing spent nuclear fuel
 - 1. Dispose of Spent Nuclear Fuel (SNF) after containment in a suitable waste form in a repository
 - The "Open" or "Once-through" fuel cycle
 - 2. Separate out the reusable components for (*potential*) recycling, and only dispose of the residual waste products
 - The "Closed" fuel cycle
- Recycling does <u>not</u> have to follow reprocessing





Rationale for Reprocessing and/or Recycling

- Technical needs
 - e.g., cannot indefinitely store certain SNF
- Waste management
 - Either reduced volume, or change in form
- Economics
 - Price of U, enrichment, fuel fabrication, reprocessing, storage, disposal
- Resource utilization / sustainability
- Strategic reserves

 \rightarrow Analogous to "domestic trash recycling"



Economical? And if so, how?

- No....yes.....maybe.....it depends on:
 - Approach to economics:
 - Direct disposal costs are usually deferred a long time into future and "discounts" costs away
 - Price of U ore and enrichment
 - Makes value of Pu and U recycle higher
 - Burnup achievable in LWRs
 - Higher burnup → more ore & more SWUs for U fuel, but once reprocessed, Pu is "free issue"
 - Cost of spent fuel storage and disposal
- In general, the cost per kg HM of conditioning irradiated fuel and direct disposal is comparable to that of reprocessing
 - Depends on assumptions and market prices





- We may not run out of uranium ore, but at a minimum there will be greater pressure on a finite resource, driving up prices
 - Increase in China to 200 GWe will alone consume ~50% of world's economically recoverable U
- Reprocessing and recycle in LWRs can save ~20% fuel resource, but still low utilization
 - Need advanced reactors to maximize resource utilization
 - The majority of advanced reactors (non-LWRs) being proposed have some form of recycle included



Other Factors to Consider

- Products
 - What "products" does one want and why?
 - Reactors (current or advanced) vs. waste forms for disposal
- Safeguards and non-proliferation issues
 - All fuel cycles regardless of reprocessing need safeguards and security
 - Not suggesting all countries should reprocess same with enrichment
 - Has been demonstrated successfully in other countries
- Strategic Asset
 - Reprocessed U and Pu can be seen as an asset against a backdrop of risks associated with breaks in the supply chain
 - Value based on comparison with U or with energy extracted?
- Many other "metrics" too...

State Department 'Diplomacy Lab' with UT Knoxville's Bredesen Center assessed drivers for reprocessing/recycle

	P	E	S	Т	E	L
	Political	Economic	Social	Technology	Environmental	Legal
•	 Nuclear policy Trade policy on reprocessing Trade with others Stability of non- proliferation regime (perception and policy) National vs. prefecture politics Energy security / reliance Military power US – Japan relations Relations with IAEA Existing nuclear trade (e.g. U ore, fuel) 	 Cost of capital for reprocessing and MOX fuel plants Cost of operations and maintenance of above Sale of reprocessing / MOX fuel Cost of electricity to consumers U ore cost projections Cost of U fuel Avoidance of imported coal, oil, gas Avoided U ore purchase & enrichment Job creation / losses Reduction in repository needed Trade Taxation Inflation Additional industrial spin- offs e.g. isotope production 	 Public health Public support (nuclear & reprocessing) Availability of skilled workforce Jobs Acceptability of proliferation risk Military power perspective Energy security Economic growth Technological advancements 	 Challenges to build, operate, and regulate reprocessing and MOX facilities Fuel cycle stages (additional or removed) Additional industry spin- offs Military power U/Pu co-extraction vs. PUREX Technology R&D for reprocessing, MOX, licensing etc Security enhancements Security to counter non- proliferation (Japan, IAEA) Safety 	 Repository size, performance etc Climate change / emissions Emergency response plan Discharges from reprocessing & MOX plants Waste production / avoidance (inc. spent fuel) Transportation of wastes (created or avoided) Public & worker health Avoidance of U mining Use of nuclear vs. alternative imports 	 Public health Regulations Land use Non-proliferation Licensing Contracts (import / export control) Safeguards commitments

Challenges Remain...

Recognition & Acceptance:

- There are potential economic, waste management, sustainability, and energy independence benefits to closing the fuel cycle
- Requires longer-term perspective by governments and investors
- Many of the technologies have been demonstrated, and can be part of a solution, but only if the conditions are right
- Does not remove the need for a repository

Regulation:

- There are regulatory challenges to moving to any new technology
- For closing the fuel cycle, this means fuel cycle and reactor facilities
- Includes international and domestic regulators; safety, safeguards, security
- Technical expertise and experience needs to maintained and developed

Public Opinion:

- Not just an issue for 'closing the fuel cycle'
- · Waste management, economics, and safety top issues for the public
 - · Closing the fuel cycle has the potential to address each of these
 - "Intergenerational equity" needs to be addressed







