

# **NEXT-GENERATION GENOMIC SCREENING IN NEWBORNS: *Key Questions And Important Distinctions***

**Aaron Goldenberg, PhD  
Case Western Reserve University  
School Of Medicine**

NASEM: Next-Generation Screening – The Promise and Perils of DNA Sequencing of  
Newborns at Birth: A Workshop



# DISCLOSURES

I have nothing to disclose

Targeted

## Newborn Screening

## Sequencing Newborns

- Genomic sequencing integrated into Public Health NBS:
  - Adjunct Technology
  - Replacement Technology
- Need to distinguish between public health based NBS and other pathways to sequencing in newborns:
  - Clinical (NICU, Peds)
  - Direct through Providers
  - Direct to Consumers
- Implications for consent, return of results, follow up





# HOW DID WE GET HERE?

**2010:** NICHD/NHGRI symposium to develop a research agenda for the “application of new genomics concepts and technologies to newborn screening and child health”



**2013:** NIH funds four NSIGHT projects to explore “the implications, challenges and opportunities associated with the possible use of genomic sequence information in the newborn period.”



**2014:** “Over the course of the next few decades, the availability of cheap, efficient DNA sequencing technology will lead to a medical landscape in which each baby’s genome is sequenced, and that information is used to shape a lifetime of personalized strategies for disease prevention, detection and treatment.” (Francis Collins, Wall Street Journal)



**Today:** Multiple programs using genome sequencing to screen healthy and sick newborns for a wide range of conditions (Research, Clinical, Commercial)

PROJECT	LOCATION
BabySeq2	US
Baby Beyond	Australia
BeginNGS	US/Greece
EarlyCheck	US
Genomics England	UK
GUARDIAN	US
NBSeq	US
NC Nexus	US
Screen4Care	Europe

\* Table and timeline not exhaustive

# SCOPE OF SCREENING

## What newborns?

AJHG

ASHG75

Supports open access

Submit

Log in

ARTICLE | ONLINE NOW

Actionability of unanticipated monogenic disease risks in newborn genomic screening: Findings from the BabySeq Project

Robert C. Green <sup>16</sup> • Nidhi Shah <sup>16</sup> • Casie A. Genetti <sup>16</sup> • Timothy Yu • Bethany Zettler •  
Melissa K. Uveges • Ozge Ceyhan-Birsoy • Matthew S. Lebo • Stacey Pereira • Pankaj B. Agrawal •  
Richard B. Parad • Amy L. McGuire • Kurt D. Christensen • Talia S. Schwartz • Heidi L. Rehm • Ingrid A. Holm •  
Alan H. Beggs • The BabySeq Project Team • [Show less](#) • [Show footnotes](#)

Published: June 05, 2023 • DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2023.05.007>

“Healthy”

At-Risk Newborns

## What results should we return (and when)?

HEALTH

A deep dive into newborns’ DNA can reveal potential disease risks — but is the testing worth it?

<https://www.pbs.org/newshour/science/a-deep-dive-into-newborns-dna-may-reveal-potential-disease-risks-but-is-the-testing-worth-it>

- What are the benefits and harms of these approaches?

Everything

Over Time



# UTILITY CONSIDERATIONS

***When are findings useful?***

**Diagnostic Odyssey**

**Therapeutic Odyssey**

- How can we acknowledge the continuum that parents experience?

***What do we mean by actionability?***

**Clinical Utility**

**Personal Utility**

- How can acknowledge the spectrum of potential uses of genomic information?
  - Pharmaceutical/Surgical
  - Other Services: ex. Physical/Occupational Therapy
  - Educational/Behavioral Interventions

# UNCERTAINTY CONSIDERATIONS

## *What do findings tell us?*



- How is uncertainty impacted conducting WGS in “healthy” vs. symptomatic children?
- Are we creating too many “patients in waiting”?

## *What is the impact of uncertainty?*



- How to assess the harms/benefits of uncertainty?
  - What kinds of support do families need?



# EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS

AJOB EMPIRICAL BIOETHICS  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23294515.2023.2209747>




Taylor & Francis  
Taylor & Francis Group



Availability

## “I Have Fought for so Many Things”: Disadvantaged families’ Efforts to Obtain Community-Based Services for Their Child after Genomic Sequencing

Sara L. Ackerman<sup>a,b,c</sup> , Julia E.H. Brown<sup>a,c</sup>, Astrid Zamora<sup>a,d,\*</sup> and Simon Outram<sup>a,b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Program in Bioethics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA; <sup>b</sup>Department of Social & Behavioral Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA; <sup>c</sup>Institute for Health & Aging, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA; <sup>d</sup>Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Families whose child has unexplained intellectual or developmental differences often hope that a genetic diagnosis will lower barriers to community-based therapeutic and support services. However, there is little known about efforts to mobilize genetic information outside the clinic or how socioeconomic disadvantage shapes and constrains outcomes.

**Methods:** We conducted an ethnographic study with predominantly socioeconomically disadvantaged families enrolled in a multi-year genomics research study, including clinic observations and in-depth interviews in English and Spanish at multiple time points. Coding and thematic development were used to collaboratively interpret fieldnotes and transcripts.

**Results:** Thirty-two families participated. Themes included familial expectations that a genetic diagnosis could be translated into information, understanding, and assistance to improve the quality of a child’s day-to-day life. After sequencing, however, genetic information was not readily converted into improved access to services beyond the clinic, with families often struggling to use a genetic diagnosis to advocate for their child.

**Conclusion:** Families’ ability to use a genetic diagnosis as an effective advocacy tool beyond the clinic was limited by the knowledge and resources available to them, and by the eligibility criteria used by therapeutic service providers’ – which focused on clinical diagnosis and functional criteria more than etiologic information. All families undertaking genomic testing, particularly those who are disadvantaged, need additional support to understand the limits and potential benefits of genetic information beyond the clinic.

### KEYWORDS

Genomics; ELSI; ethnography; pediatric; developmental conditions; utility; therapeutic odyssey

Accessibility

Equity



# LEGAL/POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Evolving Genetic  
Privacy Concerns

Storage and Use of  
Samples and Data

Trust,  
Trustworthiness, and  
Accountability

Changes in  
Human Subjects  
Protections

**Widespread Newborn DNA  
Sequencing Will Worsen Risks to  
Genetic Privacy**

<https://www.aclu.org/news/privacy-technology/widespread-newborn-dna-sequencing-will-worsen-risks-to-genetic-privacy>

**Need for Ethical Stewardship**



# OTHER CONSIDERATIONS FOR TODAY

- **Promote** regulatory structures and health systems that support the equitable translation of research to practice
- **Build** robust educational/engagement strategies to hear from parents
- **Avoid** “giving in” to an inequitable health care system or assume that all families will “eventually” have access (*“trickle-down equity”*)
- **Establish** a culture where equity and ethics are foundational and fully integrated into research, translational, and care pathways
- **Challenge** our own assumptions....





# THANK YOU!

- Amy Gaviglio
- Marsha Michie
- Kyle Brothers
- Natasha Bonhomme
- Members of the NASEM Next-Gen Sequencing in Newborns Workshop Planning Group
- Roundtable on Genomics and Precision Health