Using Data to Guide Personalized & Evidence-Based Care of Obesity Using a Clinical Decision Support System: Focus on Type 2 Diabetes

> Patrick J. O'Connor MD MA MPH NAM: Roundtable on Obesity Solutions June 22, 2021



Disclosures

Patrick J. O'Connor MD MA MPH is a full-time employee of HealthPartners Institute in Bloomington, MN.

He declares that he has not received payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the presented work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, session preparation, statistical analysis, etc.) other than <u>research grant</u> <u>funding</u> from the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH), AHRQ, and PCORI.



Chronic Disease Management in the U.S. Healthcare System

- Outpatient Chronic Disease Care is suboptimal and improving very slowly (E. Selvin et al, NEJM, June 2021)
- Many gaps in care = Many Opportunities for Improvement
- Data Systems and mHealth infrastructure have been rapidly evolving
- Paucity of Effective Improvement Strategies
- Clinical Decision Support (CDS) Systems often improve care
- Patient-Directed CDS can be used at and beyond clinical encounters
- CDS, Simulated Learning, Gamification, and Incentives are other promising QI approaches to chronic disease management



Effective (and Underused) Management of Overweight and Obesity

Strategy	BMI 25+	BMI 27+	BMI 35+
Lifestyle			
FDA-Approved Meds			
Metabolic Bariatric Surgery			



Impact of Metabolic Bariatric Surgery on Coronary Artery Disease in T2 Diabetes



Fisher D, Arterburn D, et al. JAMA. 2018



HealthPartners[®] Institute

5

Impact to Metabolic Bariatric Surgery on Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes



Arterburn et al. Association between bariatric surgery and long-term survival. JAMA 2015;313(1):62-70



However, Benefit and Risks of MBS in Type 2 Diabetes Vary Widely:

- Age
- Sex
- Baseline BMI
- Baseline A1c (glucose control)
- Insulin Use (Duration of Diabetes)
- Comorbidities
- Magnitude of Variation:
 - 6 years QALY gained: (40 yr. female, new T2DM, no insulin, BMI 40)
 - 6 months QALY lost: (68 yr. male, long DM, CHD, insulin, high A1c, BMI 55)



"Weight-Loss Wizard"Goals (NIDDK)

Team: HealthPartners (O'Connor, Sperl-Hillen, Hooker, Vesely, McKinney, Crain), Geisinger (Still, Wood), Cleveland Clinic (Aminian), Kaiser Permanente Washington (Arterburn)

- EMR-linked Web-based CDS system: real-time, scalable, up-to-date algorithms based on evolving clinical guidelines and FDA actions
- Identify evidence-based weight loss options for each patient
- Focus on adults with type 2 diabetes and BMI >=25 Kg/m2
- Communicate benefits and risks of each appropriate weight loss option to both patient and primary care clinician → Shared Decision Making
- Evaluate the impact of the CDS intervention on:
 - <u>Weight</u> trajectories
 - Medication starts and metabolic bariatric surgical referrals
 - <u>Shared decision making (conversation about weight) & Intent to lose weight</u>



What Do Patients Want to Know?

- How much weight will I lose? For how long?
- Will my diabetes go away? For how long?
- Can I stop any of my medicines?
- What are the risks of the surgery or medication?
- Will my insurance cover the costs of surgery or meds?

Other considerations:

- Impact on longevity? Heart attacks? Strokes?
- Impact on eye, foot, or kidney complications of diabetes?
- Need to remove excess skin?
- Quality of Life? Short-Term & Long-Term



How to Present Key Information to Patients and Clinicians: Concise & Understandable

- Primary Care Clinicians are in a hurry
- Clinicians <u>unaware of patient-specific benefits and risks of MBS or meds</u>
- Clinicians do not view MBS/ Meds as treatment for T2 Diabetes
- Tailor to patient's numeracy and health literacy
- Consider personal & cultural meaning of eating, weight, and treatments
- Many patients resist very much the idea of surgery or additional meds
- Overweight/obesity is often an emotionally loaded issue for both patients and clinicians



÷ Priority		
Priority		
3		
Your A1C: 8.8		



Later iteration of CV Wizard patient interface



nPartners[®] Institute



6 F	ELEVANT INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS
------------	---

I	abs		GLYCEMIC CONTROL
Random Plasma Glucose	139	8/1/18	 A glucose reading >=100 mg/dL was identified (fasting status unknown). Consider screening for prediabele A1c or FPG and/or add prediabetes to the problem list if indicated. LIPID
Serum Creatinine	1.33	11/14/18	Patient unlikely to benefit from statin use based on the ACC/AHA lipid guidelines.
eGFR(ml/min)	56	11/14/18	TOBACCO
LDL (mg/dl)	47	8/1/18	Smoking is not identified.
HDL (mg/dl)	30	8/1/18	
TRIG (mg/dl)	434	8/1/18	
TC (mg/dl)	122	8/1/18	
ALT (mg/dl)	28	6/1/15	
Smoking Status/Review Date	NEVER	2/11/19	
Smokeless Tobacco	NEVER	2/11/19	

HealthPartners[®] Institute

13

Recommendations

- Clinical Decision Support directed to patients and clinicians in primary care and other settings has potential to promote uptake of effective weight management strategies.
- Clinical Decision Support may need to be combined with other care improvement strategies (active outreach, case management, simulated learning, gamification, incentives) to maximize impact on quality of care.
- Evidence informed estimation of the benefits and risks of weight management strategies at the individual level can inform shared decision making around weight management strategies.
- For adults with type 2 diabetes and obesity, framing weight management options as treatments for diabetes (versus obesity) may motivate more serious consideration of such options by some clinicians and patients.



Challenges

- Many clinicians and patients underestimate the effectiveness and safety of FDA-approve medications and metabolic bariatric surgery for weight management, especially for patients with type 2 diabetes.
- Communicating evidence-informed personalized estimates of benefits and risks of weight management options is challenging.
- Tailoring such communications based on numeracy, health literacy, cultural considerations, and clinical status is an unmet challenge.
- Care is needed to assure that informatics-driven quality improvement strategies, such as clinical decision support, improves health equity



Publications

- <u>Sperl-Hillen JM</u>, <u>Crain AL</u>, <u>Margolis KL</u>, <u>Ekstrom HL</u>, <u>Appana D</u>, <u>Amundson G</u>, <u>Sharma R</u>, <u>Desai JR</u>, <u>O'Connor PJ</u>. Clinical Decision Support Directed to Primary Care Patients and Providers Reduces Cardiovascular Risk: A Randomized Trial. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2018 Sep;25(9):1137-46.
- <u>O'Connor PJ</u>, <u>Sperl-Hillen JM</u>, <u>Rush WA</u>, Johnson PE, <u>Amundson GH</u>, <u>Asche SE</u>, <u>Ekstrom HL</u>, Gilmer TP. Impact of Electronic Health Record Clinical Decision Support on Diabetes Care: A Randomized Trial. **Ann Fam Med**; 2011; 9(1) 12-21. PMCID: PMC3022040.
- Cost Effectiveness
 - Gilmer TG, <u>O'Connor PJ</u>, <u>Sperl-Hillen JM</u>, <u>Rush WA</u>, Johnson PE, <u>Amundson GH</u>, <u>Asche SE</u>, <u>Ekstrom HL</u>. Cost Effectiveness of an Electronic Medical Record Based Clinical Decision Support System. *Health Serv Res*. 2012 Dec;47(6):2137-58. PMCID: PMC3459233.
- CDS Design and Implementation
 - <u>Kharbanda EO</u>, <u>Nordin JD</u>, Sinaiko AR, <u>Ekstrom HL</u>, Stultz JM, <u>Sherwood NE</u>, <u>Fontaine PL</u>, <u>Asche SE</u>, <u>Dehmer SP</u>, <u>Amundson GH</u>, <u>Appana DX</u>, <u>Bergdall AR</u>, <u>Hayes MG</u>, <u>O'Connor PJ</u>. TeenBP: Development and Piloting of an EHR-Linked Clinical Decision Support System to Improve Recognition of Hypertension in Adolescents</u>. *EGEMS (Wash DC)*. 2015 Jul 9;3(2):1142. PMCID: PMC4537153
 - <u>Desai JR</u>, <u>Sperl-Hillen JM</u>, <u>O'Connor PJ</u>. Patient preferences in diabetes care: overcoming barriers using new strategies. *J Comp Eff Res*. 2013 Jul;2(4):351-4
 - <u>Sperl-Hillen JM</u>, <u>Averbeck B</u>, <u>Palattao K</u>, <u>Amundson G</u>, <u>Ekstrom HL</u>, <u>Rush WA</u>, <u>O'Connor PJ</u>. Outpatient EHR-Based Diabetes Clinical Decision Support that Works: Lessons Learned from Implementing Diabetes Wizard. *Diabetes Spectr.* 2010:23(3):149
 - <u>O'Connor PJ</u>. Opportunities to increase the effectiveness of EHR-Based Diabetes Clinical Decision Support. *Appl Clin Inform*. 2011 Aug 31; 2(3):350-4. PMCID: PMC3631926
 - <u>O'Connor PJ</u>, <u>Desai JR</u>, <u>Butler JC</u>, <u>Kharbanda EO</u>, <u>Sperl-Hillen JM</u>. Current status and future prospects for electronic point-of-care clinical decision support in diabetes care. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2013 Apr;13(2):172-6. PMCID: PMC3595375



Thank you!

Patrick O'Connor patrick.j.oconnor@healthpartners.com



CV Wizard Impact on Clinician Communication with Patients

Clinician Survey Results	User	Non-user	P-value
Use calculated CV risk while seeing patients	73%	28%	0.006
Feel well prepared to discuss CV risk reduction priorities with patients	98%	78%	0.03
Able to provide accurate advice on aspirin for primary prevention	75%	48%	0.02
Often discuss CV risk reduction with patients	60%	30%	0.06



Clinician Satisfaction with CV Wizard

Wizard User Comments (N=47)	% Agree/Strongly Agree
Improved CV risk factor control	98%
Saved time when talking to patients about CV risk reduction	93%
Efficiently elicited patient treatment preferences	90%
Useful for shared decision-making	95%
Influenced treatment recommendations	89%
Helped initiate CV risk discussions	94%
My patients liked the Wizard	85%



MATRIX MODEL:

Identifying Evidence-Based Care Options for Each Patient at a Given Point in Time

Patient	Evidence-Based Care (N= 100)*					Max Benefit to each Patient***			
	Shots	CA SCR	SMK	Phys Active	A1c, BP, LDL	100**			
Α		Х		Х			91	4	15
В	x		X		x		8	57	81
С		X	Х	X	Х		94	2	43
D				Х			76	30	5

*Data can be drawn both from the EMR and from patient report **Each column header represents a set of web-based clinical algorithms ***These are prioritized by another se to prioritization algorithms

Conceptual Model of an Outpatient Learning Healthcare System

© HealthPartners





CDS Development Support

ΤΟΡΙϹ	Principal Investigator, dates	Funding Source
Diabetes	O'Connor/Sperl-Hillen 2004-2009	NIDDK \$3.7 million
Cardiovascular Risk	O'Connor/Sperl-Hillen 2010-2015	NHLBI \$2.6 million
Serious Mental Illness	Rossom/Sperl-Hillen 2014-2020	NIMH \$2 million
Prediabetes	Desai/O'Connor 2015-2021	NHLBI \$3.7 million
Cancer Prevention	Elliott/Sperl-Hillen 2015-2020	NCI \$3 million
BP Management in Adolescents x 2	Kharbanda/O'Connor 2012-2023	NCI \$4.9 million
Pediatric Acute Abdominal Pain in Emergency Care	Kharbanda/O'Connor 2014-2020	NICH \$3.6 million
CVD care in the Safety Net	O'Connor/Sperl-Hillen 2016-2021	NHLBI \$3.7 million
Opioid Use Disorder	Rossom/Sperl-Hillen 2018-2024	NIDA \$4.5 million
Medication Adherence	Sperl-Hillen/O'Connor 2018-2023	NHLBI \$3.6 million
Chronic Kidney Disease; Cognitive Impairment; Weight Loss	Sperl-Hillen/O'Connor/Hanson 2018-2026	PCORI; NIDDK; \$9.6 million
Total Federal Funding		\$42.9 million

