Consciousness, Pain & "Enhanced Awareness" in Nonhuman Animals: Key Concepts, Evidence & Ethical Implications

David DeGrazia, Ph.D.

Elton Professor of Philosophy, George Washington University

Senior Research Fellow, Department of Bioethics, NIH

Preliminaries

- I speak for myself, not for NIH or any other part of the federal government
- No conflicts to declare

Plan

- Clarify some key concepts
- Consider relevant types of evidence
- Explore ethical implications

Key Concepts

Part 1

Consciousness

- Consciousness what's common to all subjective experience
- In this broad sense, can be present even during sleep (dreaming)
- A precondition for sentience

Sentience

- Sentience = capacity for consciousness + affect
- Sentience = capacity for (un)pleasant experiences
- Basis for quality of life
- Plausible basis for moral status

Pain

- Pain unpleasant sensory experience associated w/tissue damage
- Distinct from nociception
- Sufficient for sentience
- Not strictly necessary for sentience
- But probably all sentient animals can experience pain

"Enhanced or human awareness"?

- Asked to explore when animals might have ...
- Suggest dropping "human"
- Might think of "enhanced" awareness as self-awareness
- Many scientists & philosophers have assumed self-awareness is
 - A single phenomenon
 - Exclusively human
- Wrong on both counts



Self-awareness

- Different conceptions of self-awareness involve awareness of a self as a self and existing over time
- Four types
 - Bodily-agential self-awareness
 - Social self-awareness
 - Introspective awareness
 - Biographical self-awareness (narrative identity)



Evidence

Part 2

RE evidence for consciousness & sentience

• Will assume

- all conscious animals are sentient
- all sentient animals can feel pain
- So will focus on evidence for ability to feel pain
- Evidence for nociception will be important but not sufficient
- Note: my suggestions are only suggestions

Types of evidence for ability to feel pain

- 1. nociception or similar responsiveness to noxious stimuli
- 2. CNS with (suitable) brain
- 3. Protective behavior toward injured body parts
- 4. Learned avoidance
- 5. Opioid receptors, endogenous opioids, and/or responsiveness to anthesthetics, analgesics, opioids
- 6. Trade-offs between noxious stimulus avoidance & other healthpromoting behaviors

What kinds of animals?

- Note: PHS policy covers vertebrates
- EU Directive covers vertebrates + cephalopods
- Largely consonant with available evidence although ...
 - Evidence much stronger for bony fishes than jawless & cartilaginous fishes
 - Intermediate evidence for crustaceans
- Good working assumption: (at least) what EU covers



What about self-awareness?

- Relevant evidence is mostly behavioral
- Can't review here but in other work I've done so & argued:
 - Bodily-agential self-awareness: many animals
 - Social self-awareness: many mammals
 - Introspective awareness: a few species
 - A few—perhaps greats apes and some cetaceans?—*might* have biographical self-awareness

Ethical implications for chimeras & animal research subjects generally

Part 3



Starting point

- Sentient animals have a welfare & moral status
- Intentionally harming them is permissible only for very compelling reasons

A framework for animal research ethics

Principles of Social Benefit

Principles of Animal Welfare

- No Alternative Method
- Expected Net Benefit
- Sufficient Value to Justify Harm

- No Unnecessary Harm
- Basic Needs
- Upper Limits to Harm

Principles of Animal Research Ethics



Tom L. Beauchamp & David DeGrazia

Implications for research animals in general

- Overall, an expectation of decent lives
- Social Benefit: Pursue the research only if
 - Information sought is so important that it justifies all associated costs & harms imposed on animal subjects
 - No other viable way of obtaining the information
- Animal Welfare: If so, then
 - Be responsive to subjects' basic needs & avoid unnecessary harm
 - Do not impose major suffering for an extended period of time

Further implications for prospective chimeric research subjects

- Animals with robust social self-awareness should be exempt from social isolation
- Animals who might have narrative identities—say, great apes, cetaceans, or chimeric animals who are comparable in selfawareness—should be exempt from invasive, nontherapeutic research



Thank you for your kind attention!