Polarimeter to Unify the Corona and Heliosphere



CSSP Fall 2021 Meeting 13-Oct-2021 Teleconference

PUNCH Associate Investigator Program

Craig DeForest PUNCH PI









Scientific Driver: Understanding how the corona gives rise to the heliosphere and solar wind

Approach: direct, continuous, 3D imaging of the entire outer corona and inner heliosphere

Measurement: polarized images of Thomsonscattered light

Mission structure:

- four synchronous smallsats
- 570km sun-synch LEO
- two year duration; launch ~Q4 2023

More information: https://punch.space.swri.edu





Three Scales of PUNCH Outreach





Youth and families:
PUNCH Outreach Program
brings scientific engagement
to underserved populations,
concentrating on the
American Southwest.
(scale: thousands to millions)

Undergraduates:
STudent Energetic Activity
Monitor (STEAM) instrument
program engages college
students via the Colorado
Space Grant Consortium.
(scale: ~100)



Early-career PhD scientists:
PUNCH Associate Investigator
program recognizes early-career
scientists relevant to PUNCH, and
encourages enhanced PUNCH
mission science.

(scale: ~10)



The PUNCH Associate Investigator program

Motivation:

- Successful missions tend to have a handful of early-career scientists who take the mission "above and beyond".
- Fostering that dynamic is difficult in the proposal stages, where established scientists are named as Co-Investigators.

Method:

- During early phases, identify potential "super-performers" helping the science team.
- Recognize super-performers and explicitly add them to the science team.

Benefits:

- Provide explicit recognition to early-career scientists, boosting PUNCH engagement.
- Open a potential "on-ramp" to funded positions closer to launch.
- Improve science team performance.
- Foster more age-diverse group dynamics earlier in the mission (Phase B/C/D).

Timing:

Program began late in Phase B; first Als announced late April 2021.



What is a PUNCH Associate Investigator?

- Early-career: within 10 years of PhD conferral
- PUNCH-relevant: performing research of relevance to the PUNCH mission
- Talented: identified by the PUNCH science leadership as exceptional

Full science team members:

- Invited to STMs and working group meetings
- Acknowledged on the PUNCH website and elsewhere
- Included in science and science-planning activities
- Mentored by existing PUNCH Co-l's
- Liaison with broader science community (two-way communication)

Unfunded:

- Associate Investigators are not specifically funded by PUNCH at this time.
- Associate Investigators may be funded in future (with NASA approval).



How are Associate Investigators chosen?

Process:

- Potential Associate Investigators are identified by existing Co-Investigators.
- Sponsoring Co-I/Mentor drafts a letter of recommendation to the Project Scientist.
- Project Scientist evaluates both the candidate and the CO-I, and recommends to PI.
- PI approves the candidate as an Associate Investigator.

Process design considerations:

- Recognize and reward talented "rising stars"
- Build age diversity on the PUNCH team
- Include "tuned" roadblocks:
 - Not everyone can be a PUNCH Assoc. Investigator (title needs to be a mark of distinction).
 - It should be straightforward (and non-onerous) to induct folks with clearly recognizable talent.



Metrics of success

- At initial scale (4 Als), the program is measured informally
 - Anecdotal feedback from Co-Is on productivity
 - 6-month feedback request for first batch of Als (planned)
- Longer term Al program metrics:
 - Semi-annual questionnaire feedback from Als and from mentors
 - PUNCH publication count with AI involvement
 - Transition rate to PUNCH-relevant funded positions



Lessons learned so far

- This young program seems to be working as intended (at least initially)
 - "PUNCH AI" has strong meaning; gives recognition & legitimacy
 - New viewpoints are showcased at science meetings & elsewhere (increasing team diversity)
 - CO-I AI mentorship cements existing relationships
- Do not name your program "AI".
 - "AI" has too strong a meaning in the machine-learning milieu (already the source of puns!)
 - We will consider (in consultation with the existing Als) changing the name of the program.
- Finding a way to fund Assoc. Investigator programs up front would be helpful.
 - Assoc. Investigator program is not part of the PUNCH baseline funding.
 - Could be a use for unused reserves (with NASA approval), a bit farther through Phase C/D.
 - Process provides funding later in the mission than I would like, and does not provide certainty to Als.
 - It would be imprudent to release reserves too early in development; especially with COVID-related uncertainty and supply chain issues now and on the horizon.
 - Future missions: maybe set aside a fraction of science budget for AI-like programs?