The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD

Where Are We Now with Performance-Based Pavement Warranties?

October 19, 2020

@NASEMTRB #TRBwebinar

PDH Certification Information:

•2.0 Professional Development Hour (PDH) – see follow-up email for instructions
•You must attend the entire webinar to be eligible to receive PDH credits
•Questions? Contact Reggie
Gillum at <u>RGillum@nas.edu</u>

#TRBwebinar

The Transportation Research Board has met the standards and requirements of the Registered **Continuing Education Providers** Program. Credit earned on completion of this program will be reported to RCEP. A certificate of completion will be issued to participants that have registered and attended the entire session. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by RCEP.



REGISTERED CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAM

Learning Objective

Discuss highway agency use of performance-based pavement warranties



Transportation Research Board Webinar October 19, 2020

Where Are We Now with Performance-Based Pavement Warranties?

NCHRP Project 20-05, Topic 50-09 NCHRP Synthesis 553

Linda Pierce NCE Spokane, Washington



Document agency practices associated with performance-based pavement warranties

Asphalt & concrete pavement preservation, rehabilitation, & new/reconstruction

Learning Objective

Describe highway agency use of performance-based pavement warranties

Synthesis Panel

Jo Allen Gause Senior Program Manager NCHRP

Linda Pierce Principal Investigator

> Jose Medina & Sarah Stolte Staff Engineer

Project Panel

- Anita Bush, NVDOT
- Xingwei Chen, LADOTD
- Gerald Huber, Heritage Research Group
- Thomas Kane, NYSDOT
- Munir Nazzal, Univ. of Cincinnati
- Cynthia Smith, MSDOT
- Charles Wienrank, ILDOT
- Katherine Petros, FHWA
- Nelson Gibson, TRB

Outline

- Scope
- Highlight
 - Survey results
 - Case studies
- Concluding remarks
- Future research
- Questions



Scope

- Document agency practices:
 - Performance criteria & thresholds
 - Project selection criteria
 - Warranty periods
 - Warranty enforcement
 - Extent of use
 - Frequency of invoking warranties
 - Experience (performance improvement, cost, etc.)

Synthesis Process



Project Delivery

Design-Bid-Build

- Traditional
- Agency develops plans & specs
- Competitive bid (low bid)
- Contractor conducts work

Design-Build

- Agency selects design-builder
- Designbuilder prepares plans & specs & conducts work

Private-Public Partnership

- Contract between public & private sector
- Takes on risk for design, construction, finance, long-term operation, & traffic revenue

Warranty Types

Materials & Workmanship

- Contractor responsible for correcting defects within contractor's control
- No responsibility for pavement design or resulting distress
- Some responsibility for material selection & workmanship

Performance

- Contractor fully responsible for product
- Short-term: mix design to thickness design
- Long-term: pavement performance

Warranty Period

- Duration of time from acceptance of work to end of the evaluation period
 - Pavement preservation:
 - Asphalt pavements:
 - Concrete pavements:
 - Long-term (P3):

- 1 to 3 years
- 3 to 5 years
- 5 to 10 years
- 20+ years

Performance Evaluation

- Manual & automated pavement condition surveys
 - Conducted by agency (or designee)
 - Prior to, every 6 to 12 months, & at end of warranty period
- Sample (300-500 ft) or 100% survey

Agency Survey Results

44 U.S. & Puerto Rico highway agencies & 6 Canadian provincial & territorial agencies

Years of Experience

- \leq 5 years
 - 3 agencies
- 6 to 10 years
 3 agencies
- \geq 10 years
 - 12 agencies



Warranty Objectives



Project Selection



Warranty Challenges



Performance Criteria



Contractor's Responsibility



Number of responding agencies: 13

Case Examples

Alberta Florida Michigan Pennsylvania West Virginia

Alberta Transportation

- Design-build-finance-operate
 - 30-year performance warranty
 - Penalties for delays in opening to traffic
 - No tolls
 - Compensate contractor monthly
- New asphalt or concrete pavements
 - Type & thickness determined by contractor

Requirements

- Contractor responsible for all aspects
- Pavement design life: 50 years
 Future rehab: mill & overlay
- Pavement condition:
 - Conducted by contractor (prior to opening, at least once every 3 years)
 - IRI, rut, skid, surface defects
 - Maintain during operating period

Turn-Back

- Cross-slope & superelevation
- Pavement width
- IRI, rut, skid, surface defects
- Long-life pavements:
 - No strengthening or structural overlay for 10 years
- All others:
 - Require < 2-inch asphalt overlay (or equivalent) for 10 years
- 10-year ESALs determined by mutually agreed independent consultant

Florida DOT



Warranty Process



Pavement Evaluation



Performance Criteria–Asphalt

Distress Type	Threshold Value	Remedial Work
Bleeding	≥ 10 ft² (0.9 m²)	Remove & replace full depth, full lane width, & length plus 50 ft (15 m) on each end
Cracking	≥ 30 ft (9 m) long & ≥ 0.125 in. (3 mm) wide	Remove & replace full depth & width of all layers
Potholes & slippage	As observed by	Remove & replace full depth, full lane width,
areas	Engineer	& length plus 50 ft (15 m) on each end
Raveling, friction	Any length	Remove & replace full depth, full lane width,
course delamination		& length plus 50 ft (15 m) on each end
Ride Number	< 3.5	Remove & replace friction course layer full length & width; less extent may be proposed if DOT approved
Rutting	> 0.25 in. (6 mm)	Remove & replace full depth & lane width of all layers; or contractor proposed, & DOT approved
Settlement/depression	≥ 0.5 in. (13 mm) s roads, frontage roads ≥ 55	Propose the correction method, approved by DOT mph (89 kph)

Performance Criteria–Concrete

Distress Type	Threshold Value	Remedial Work
Cracking	4 per In-mi > 0.125 in. wide OR any crack > 0.1875 in. (3 per In-km > 3 mm wide OR any crack > 5 mm)	Full depth replacement minimum 6 ft (1.8 m) long & full slab width
Ride Number	< 3.5	Grind all deficient & partial lots
Shattered Slab	Divide slab into 3+ segments	Full slab replacement
Spalling in wheel path	4 per In-mi > 1 in. wide & > 6 in. long OR any single area > 3 in. wide (2 per In-km > 25 mm wide & > 152 mm long OR any single area > 76 mm wide)	Full depth replacement minimum 6 ft (1.8 m) long & full slab width

Statewide Dispute Review Board

- 3 members
 - Agency, contractor, & mutually agreed
- Decisions are binding with no appeal
- Remedial work not required when:
 - Agency thickness design is deficient
 - ESALs > 25% design ESALs
 - Underlying layer failure not part of contract
 - Deficiency due to third party
 - Raveling OGFC due to turning movements

Michigan DOT



Materials & Workmanship



Decision Tree



Warranty Process



Pavement Evaluation

- Agency conducts cursory (windshield) survey
 - Warranty work identified
 - Contractor disagrees & initiates conflict resolution
 - Agency conducts detailed inspection

Performance Criteria

Treatment Type	Inspection Period Begins	Cursory Evaluation	Detailed Evaluation
HMA crack treatment	20 months	Minimum 1 segment per mile	Total length from cursory inspection
Single & double chip seals	20 months	N/A	Distress in worst segment every 2 mi (3.2 km)
Paver placed surface seal	32 months	Approximate quantities for the worst segment(s) by distress type	Distress in worst segments (exceed threshold limits) for each distress type
Microsurfacing	20 months	Approximate quantities for the worst segment(s) by distress type	Distress in worst segments (exceed threshold limits) for each distress type
Ultra-thin HMA overlay	20 months	Approximate quantities for the worst segment(s) by distress type	Distress in worst segments (exceed threshold limits) for each distress type
HMA overlay or cold mill & HMA overlay	32 months	Total distress in any given segment	Distress in worst segments (exceed threshold limits) for each distress type

Performance Criteria (continued)

Treatment Type	Inspection Period Begins	Cursory Evaluation	Detailed Evaluation
HMA crush & shape base or HMA over unbound or stabilized base	30 months	Estimated quantity of worst segments of each distress type	N/A
HMA over rubblized concrete, multi- lift HMA, or HMA new construction	54 months	Estimated quantity of worst segments of each distress type	Measured quantity of each distress in each segment. Tally segments exceeding threshold limit

JPCP new construction	30 months	Quantity of worst segments of each distress type	N/A
	54 months	Quantity of worst segments of each distress type	Segments ≥ 1 distresses exceeding threshold limit

Corrective Action

- 5 members
 - 2 agency, 2 contractor, & 1 mutually agreed
- Contractor not held responsible for:
 Agency thickness design is deficient
- If contractor M&W & agency thickness design, cost for correcting shared (% responsibility)

Pennsylvania DOT



- Mainline pavements
 - Good base & drainage conditions
 - Eligible for ride quality specifications
 - 20-year design life

Warranty Process



Pavement Evaluation

- Agency (or designated appointee) conducts each year of warranty period
 - Automated or manual pavement condition surveys (Publication 336)
 - Flushing & potholes (manual)
 - Year 5: > 30% two or more low severity distress types
 - Microsurfacing or
 - Other agency approved treatment

Performance Criteria

Distress Type	Threshold Value	Remedial Work
Cracking (transverse &	All low to medium severity	Seal crack 0.25 to 1.0 in. (6 to 25 mm) & fill cracks > 1 in. (25 mm) with 4.75 mm bituminous wearing course
miscellaneous)	All high severity	Remove & replace full lane width & depth necessary & length not less than 10 ft (3 m) beyond distressed area
Fatigue cracking	All medium or greater severity	Remove & replace full lane width & depth necessary & length not less than 10 ft (3 m) beyond distressed area
Flushing	All	Remove & replace layer full depth & full or half lane width & a minimum of 24 in. (0.6 m) beyond distressed area
Longitudinal, shoulder, & lane joints	All medium severity	Seal crack 0.25 to 1.0 in. (6 to 25 mm) & fill cracks > 1 in. (25 mm) with 4.75 mm bituminous wearing course
	All high severity	Remove & replace 12 in. (305 mm) either side of joint & a minimum of 24 in. (610 mm) beyond distressed area
Potholes (+ slippage areas)	All	Remove & replace full lane width to depth necessary & length not less than 10 ft (3 m) beyond distressed area
Raveling / Weathering	All medium or greater severity	Remove & replace full lane width to depth necessary & length not less than 10 ft (3 m) beyond distressed area
Rutting (verified by straightedge)	> 0.375 in. (9.5 mm)	Remove & replace full lane width to depth necessary & length not less than 10 ft (3 m) beyond distressed area

Conflict Resolution

- 5 members
 - 2 agency, 2 contractor, & mutually agreed
- Contractor not responsible for:
 - Base failure beyond contractor control
 - Rutting & base repair if ESALs exceed 100% of 20-year design
 - Agency caused distress (e.g., coring)
 - Forces of nature
 - Distress caused by traffic accidents

West Virginia DOT



Warranty Process



Pavement Evaluation

- Agency-conducts annual manual pavement evaluation
- Determines corrective action
- Assess & applies bonus or penalty, when applicable



Performance Criteria–Asphalt

Distress Type	Limit
Alligator cracking	23 LF (7 LM)
Block cracking	76 LF (23 LM)
Lane edge cracking / deterioration	100 LF (31 LM)
Longitudinal cracking	76 LF (23 LM)
Longitudinal joint deterioration	50 LF (15 LM)
Patching	Repair if other distress is present
Potholes / surface delamination	0
Raveling / weathering	40 LF (12 LM)
Rutting	≥ 0.5 in. (13 mm)

Performance Criteria–Concrete

Distress Type	Limit
Blowups	0
D cracking(b)	0
Lane edge spalling	76 LF (23 LM)
Longitudinal cracking	76 LF (23 LM)
Longitudinal joint spalling	76 LF (23 LM)
Patching	Repair distressed partial depth repairs
Popouts	76 LF (23 LM)
Scaling(c)	39 LF (12 LM)
Transverse joint spalling	5 count

Corrective Action

- Required on all medium & high severity distress & skid number < 35
 - Address all distress along with the adjacent lane
- Areas of segregation, raveling, or bleeding are monitored, if continues, maintenance required
- All corrective action proposed by contractor during the mandatory meeting

Bonus / Penalty

	PSR Criteria		IRI Criteria, in/mi (m/km)		Populo	Penalty for no
Year	Bonus	Corrective	Bonus	Corrective	Bohus (%)	Corrective
		Action		Action		Action (%)
1	98	< 96	< 65 (1.03)	> 81 (1.28)	0.11	0.22
2	97	< 95	< 65 (1.03)	> 81 (1.28)	0.11	0.22
3	96	< 94	< 65 (1.03)	> 81 (1.28)	0.28	0.56
4	90	< 88	< 65 (1.03)	> 81 (1.28)	0.28	0.56
5	90	< 88	< 65 (1.03)	> 81 (1.28)	0.44	0.88
6	90	< 88	< 65 (1.03)	> 81 (1.28)	0.44	0.88
7	85	< 80	< 65 (1.03)	> 81 (1.28)	0.89	1.78
8	85	< 80	< 65 (1.03)	> 81 (1.28)	0.89	1.78
9	85	< 80	< 65 (1.03)	> 81 (1.28)	1.56	3.12

- Not cumulative or carried over year to year
- Applied separately for PSR & IRI (based on % of bid item)
- Applied only to mainline

Concluding Remarks

- Materials & workmanship
 - 1-2 years
 - Repair distress
 within contractors'
 control

- Performance
 - Preservation: 1-3 years
 - Asphalt: 3-5 years
 - Concrete: 5-10 years
 - P3: 20+ years
 - Contractor to maintain to specific performance indicators

Concluding Remarks (continued)



Concluding Remarks (continued)

- Project selection primarily based on construction type
- Pavement management data used to determine performance indicators & threshold limits
- Agency-conducted condition assessment
- Functional and structural distress criteria

Concluding Remarks (continued)

Agency	Project Type	Pavement Type	Warranty Type	Warranty Period
Alberta Transportation	Case-by-case	Asphalt & concrete	Performance	30 years
Florida DOT	New & reconstruction	Concrete	Performance	5 years
	Preservation & rehabilitation	Asphalt structural & friction course	Performance	3 years
Michigan DOT	Preventive maintenance	Multiple	Performance	2 to 3 years
	Rehabilitation	Multiple	Materials & workmanship	5 years
Pennsylvania DOT	New & reconstruction	Asphalt	Performance	5 years
West Virginia DOH	New & reconstruction	Asphalt & concrete	Performance	9 years

Suggestions for Future Research

- U.S. Domestic Scan
- Application of Pavement Warranties Post Original Construction
- Framework for Defining Performance Thresholds & Warranty Periods
- Cost & Performance Impacts of Pavement Warranties
- Evaluation of Pavement Warranties

Q&A

Linda Pierce (505) 603-7993 Ipierce@ncenet.com

Today's Panelists #TRBWebinar



Moderator: Charles Wienrank



The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE



Linda Pierce



Get Involved with TRB

Receive emails about upcoming TRB webinars https://bit.ly/TRBemails #TRBwebinar

Find upcoming conferences http://www.trb.org/Calendar







Get Involved with TRB #TRBwebinar

@NASEMTRB @NASEMTRB

Getting involved is free!

Transportation Research Board

> Be a Friend of a Committee <u>bit.ly/TRBcommittees</u> – Networking opportunities May provide a path to Standing Committee membership

May provide a path to Standing Committee membership

Join a Standing Committee bit.ly/TRBstandingcommittee

Work with CRP https://bit.ly/TRB-crp

Update your information www.mytrb.org



#TRBAM is going virtual!

- 100th TRB Annual Meeting is fully virtual in January 2021
- Continue to promote with hashtag #TRBAM
- Registration is open!
- Check our <u>website</u> for more information





TRB turns 100 on November 11, 2020



Help TRB:

- · Promote the value of transportation research;
- · Recognize, honor, and celebrate the TRB community; and
- · Highlight 100 years of accomplishments.

Learn more at

www.TRB.org/Centennial #TRB100

MOVING IDEAS: ADVANCING SOCIETY—100 YEARS OF TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH

