

Defining the Expertise Needed for the 21st Century Neuroscience Workforce: A Workshop

October 28 - 29, 2014

Institute of Medicine 500 Fifth Street NW, Room 100 Washington, DC

Background:

From its very beginnings, neuroscience has been fundamentally interdisciplinary. As a result of rapid technological advance and the advent of large collaborative projects, however, neuroscience is expanding well beyond traditional sub-disciplines and intellectual boundaries to include expertise from many other fields, such as engineering, computer science, and applied mathematics. Revolutionary tools are quickly becoming incorporated into the work of many labs. However, the importance and rapid proliferation of mission-critical technologies raises important questions as to how to train the next generation of neuroscientists, not only to use particular tools, but to be prepared for a changing technological landscape. In addition, the advent of new types of data and the growing important of large data sets raises additional questions about how to train the next generation in approaches to data sharing and proper analysis. These concerns dovetail with the need to teach improved scientific practices ranging from experimental design (powering of studies, appropriate blinding) to greater sophistication in statistics. As important, is the increasing need for investigators who are able to bridge the translational gap between basic and clinical neuroscience. Given the changing landscape resulting from technological advance and the growing importance of interdisciplinary and collaborative science, the goal of this workshop is to explore future diverse workforce needs and consider the changing needs of training programs.

Meeting objectives:

- Explore future workforce needs in light of new and emerging tools, technologies, and techniques
 - Consider what new sub-disciplines and/or collaborations with other fields might be needed moving forward
 - Describe opportunities and challenges for cross-training of neuroscience research programs with other areas (e.g., engineering, computer science, mathematics, physical sciences) and across research environments (e.g., academia, industry)
- Identify current components of graduate training programs that could be leveraged and new components that could be developed that might lead to:
 - o Greater interdisciplinary and collaborative approaches
 - Enhanced data handling and analysis capabilities
 - o Increased scientific accuracy and reproducibility
 - o Improved understanding of translational research
 - o Enhanced awareness of ethical research practices

- Examine roles of training program funders (e.g., government, fellowships), administrators, mentors and mentees in developing and executing revised training programs to meet the needs outlined above.
- Consider mechanisms for updating researcher competencies at multiple levels (e.g., post-doctoral, independent investigators) to meet the needs outlined above.

DAY ONE: Lunch on your own

12:30 p.m. Opening Remarks

HUDA AKIL, *Co-chair* Professor of Neurosciences Department of Psychiatry University of Michigan

STEVIN ZORN, *Co-chair* Executive Vice President Neuroscience Research Lundbeck Research USA

12:35 p.m. Challenges for the Next Generation of Scientists

STORY LANDIS

Director

National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke

12:55 p.m. The Changing Neuroscience Research Landscape: Opportunities and Challenges

EVE MARDER

Professor of Biology Brandeis University

1:15 p.m. Imagining the Future Neuroscience Workforce

CAROL MASON

Professor

Department of Pathology and Cell Biology

Columbia University

1:35 p.m. Discussion with Speakers and Participants

• What key workforce characteristics would best position the field to address emerging opportunities and challenges in neuroscience research?

SESSION I: BASIC SCIENTIFIC PRINCIPLES AND FUNDAMENTAL KNOWLEDGE

<u>Session Objectives:</u> Identify current gaps in expertise necessary to advance fundamental knowledge and basic neuroscience research. Explore the impact of integrating additional disciplines into the basic neuroscience research enterprise. Examine innovative programs addressing these gaps. Consider potential strategies for creating and/or updating training of both current and future researchers.

Session Moderator: KATJA BROSE

Editor Neuron

1:55 p.m. Defining the Gap in Neuroscience Expertise around Basic Scientific Principles and Fundamental Knowledge

JOAN FERRINI-MUNDY Assistant Director

Directorate for Education & Human Resources

National Science Foundation

2:15 p.m. Addressing the gaps through cross-training and collaboration

• How could disciplines outside the neurosciences help address this gap? Which disciplines would provide the greatest value-add?

TERRY SEJNOWSKI

Professor

Computational Neurobiology Laboratory

Salk Institute for Biological Studies

2:35 p.m. Program Example

- What gaps in knowledge has the program focused on? How were these gaps determined?
- What challenges and opportunities have emerged during development and execution of the program?

DARCY KELLEY

Professor

Biological Sciences

Columbia University

2:55 p.m. Discussion with Speakers, Panelists and Participants

- How could programs be designed to enhance the abilities of current and future researcher to meet the challenges and develop an inter- and multi-disciplinary research enterprise?
 - o What are priority components of such programs?

• How could enhanced awareness of ethical research practices be incorporated into current programs?

3:20 p.m. BREAK

SESSION II: DATA HANDLING AND ANALYSIS

<u>Session Objectives:</u> Identify current gaps in expertise necessary to advance the ability to handle and analyze data. Explore the impact of integrating additional disciplines into the basic neuroscience research enterprise. Examine innovative programs addressing these gaps. Consider potential strategies for creating and/or updating training of both current and future researchers.

Session Moderator: RICHARD MOHS

Vice President

Neuroscience Clinical Development

Eli Lilly and Company

3:30 p.m. Defining the Gap in Neuroscience Expertise around Data Handling and Analysis Knowledge

MARYANN MARTONE

Co-Director

National Center for microscopy and Imaging Research

University of California, San Diego

3:50 p.m. Addressing the gaps through cross-training and collaboration

• How could disciplines outside the neurosciences help address this gap? Which disciplines would provide the greatest value-add?

BRIAN LITT

Director

Penn Center for Neuroengineering and Therapeutics

University of Pennsylvania

4:10 p.m. Program Example

- What gaps in knowledge has the program focused on? How were these gaps determined?
- What challenges and opportunities have emerged during development and execution of the program?

MICHAEL SPRINGER

Assistant Professor of Systems Biology

Department of Systems Biology

Harvard Medical School

4:30 p.m. Discussion with Speakers, Panelists and Participants

How could enhanced teaching of statistical methods bolster research?

- How could programs be designed to enhance the abilities of current and future researcher to meet the challenges and develop an inter- and multi-disciplinary research enterprise?
 - o What are priority components of such programs?

SESSION III: TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCE

<u>Session Objectives:</u> Identify current gaps in neuroscience expertise around translational science. Explore the impact of greater understanding and knowledge in furthering innovative therapeutic development. Examine current programs focused on improving translational neuroscience research. Consider potential strategies for creating and/or updating training of both current and future researchers.

Session Moderator: ATUL PANDE

President

Verity BioConsulting

4:55 p.m. Defining the Gap in Expertise around Translational Science Knowledge

FRANK YOCCA
Vice President
Neuroscience iMed

AstraZeneca Neuroscience

5:15 p.m. Addressing the gaps through cross-training and collaboration

• How could disciplines outside the neurosciences help address this gap? Which disciplines would provide the greatest value-add?

HOWARD FEDEROFF Executive Dean School of Medicine Georgetown University

5:35 p.m. Program Example

• What challenges and opportunities have emerged during development and execution of the program?

ANTHONY RICCI Edward C. and Amy H. Sewall Professor Stanford School of Medicine

5:55 p.m. Discussion with Speakers, Panelists and Participants

- What fields outside the sciences (e.g., regulatory) might also be included in programs designed around developing translational neuroscientists?
- How could programs be designed to enhance the abilities of current and future researcher to meet the challenges and develop an inter- and multi-disciplinary research enterprise?

o What are priority components of such programs?

6:15 p.m. Day One Wrap-Up & ADJOURN

HUDA AKIL, *Co-chair* STEVIN ZORN, *Co-chair*



Defining the Expertise Needed for the 21st Century Neuroscience Workforce: A Workshop

October 29, 2014

Institute of Medicine 500 Fifth Street NW, Room 100 Washington, DC

BREAKFAST ON YOUR OWN

8:30 a.m. Day Two Opening

HUDA AKIL, Co-chair STEVIN ZORN, Co-chair

SESSION IV: EXPERIMENTAL RIGOR AND QUANTITATIVE SKILLS

<u>Session Objectives:</u> Identify current gaps in neuroscience expertise to improve experimental rigor and quantitative skills. Explore the impact of greater expertise in this area on the neuroscience research enterprise. Examine innovative programs addressing these gaps. Consider potential strategies for creating and/or updating training both current and future researchers.

Session Moderator: RICHARD BORN

Professor

Department of Neurobiology Harvard Medical School

8:40 a.m. Defining the Gap in Expertise around Experimental Rigor and Quantitative Skills

 Are there challenges in these areas specifically related to neuroscience research?

EMERY BROWN

Professor of Computational Neuroscience Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences Massachusetts Institute of Technology

8:55 a.m. Addressing the gaps through cross-training and collaboration

- How could disciplines outside the neurosciences help address this gap?
- Which disciplines would provide the greatest value-add?

MARK COHEN

Professor

Department of Bioengineering

University of California, Los Angeles

9:15 a.m. Program Example

• What challenges and opportunities have emerged during development and execution of the program?

JAMES BARRETT
Professor and Chair
Department of Pharmacology and Physiology
College of Medicine, Drexel University

9:35 a.m. Discussion with Speakers and Participants

- Which quantitative tools might provide the most benefit?
- How could greater skills in these areas improve the reproducibility of scientific results?
- How could programs be designed to enhance the abilities of current and future researcher to meet the challenges and develop an inter- and multi-disciplinary research enterprise?
 - What are priority components of such programs?

10:00 a.m. BREAK

SESSION V: EMERGING TOOLS, TECHNOLOGIES, AND TECHNIQUES

<u>Session Objectives:</u> Explore challenges and opportunities for integrating emerging tools, technologies, and techniques into current neuroscience research practice. Examine innovative programs training neuroscience researchers to utilize and incorporate new and emerging tools, technologies, and techniques into current research programs. Consider potential strategies for developing a neuroscience research enterprise that seamlessly disseminates and incorporates new and innovative tools, technologies, and techniques.

Session Moderator: JOHN MORRISON

Professor

Department of Neuroscience

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

10:15 a.m. Challenges and Opportunities in Neuroscience Research for Real-Time Integration of Emerging Tools, Technologies, and Techniques

DOUGLAS WEBER Program Manager

Biological Technologies Office

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

10:35 a.m. Mechanisms for Integrating Emerging Tools, Technologies, and Techniques

• How are emerging and new tools, technologies, and techniques being integrating in real-time into research programs?

MARIE-FRANCOISE CHESSELET Charles H. Markham Professor of Neurology Reed Neurological Research Center University of California, Los Angeles

10:55 a.m. Discussion with Speakers, Panelists and Participants

- What skills might provide the greatest benefit to researchers when preparing to integrate new tools, technologies, and techniques into research programs?
- Is there an opportunity related to the BRAIN initiative?
- How could programs be designed for both current and future researchers to meet the challenges and develop an inter- and multi-disciplinary research enterprise?

SESSION VI: DEVELOPING A DIVERSE NEUROSCIENCE RESEARCH ENTERPRISE THROUGH COLLABORATION

<u>Session Objectives:</u> Explore challenges and opportunities associated with developing a diverse neuroscience research enterprise with greater incorporation of collaborative science approaches. Consider the role of cross-disciplinary training of future scientists in increasing collaborative and innovative science.

Session Moderator: WALTER KOROSHETZ

Deputy Director

National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke

11:30 a.m. Challenges and Opportunities in Neuroscience Research for Collaborative and Diverse Science

DENNIS CHOI

Director

Neurosciences Institute Stony Brook University

11:50 a.m. What role can the Big Data Projects (BRAIN, HBP, Allen Institute) play in developing new opportunities to enhance cross-disciplinary training, and boost the likelihood and ease of future novel collaborations in neuroscience?

JANE ROSKAMS
Executive Director, Strategy and Alliances
Allen Institute for Brain Science

12:10 p.m. LUNCH

- 1:10 p.m. Increasing Innovative Neuroscience Research through Collaboration
 - How has the collaboration(s) advanced innovative science?
 - What challenges and opportunities have emerged during development of the collaboration?

DAVID LOPES CARDOZO Associate Dean for Graduate Studies Director Division of Medical Sciences Harvard Medical School

- 1:30 p.m. Discussion with Speakers, Panelists and Participants
 - What are potential mechanisms for training?
 - o Can collaboration be taught?
 - What impact would concerns around career development have on encouraging collaborative research?
 - How could programs be designed to enhance the abilities of current and future researcher to meet the challenges and develop an inter- and multi-disciplinary research enterprise?
- 1:55 p.m. BREAK

SESSION VII: DEVELOPING AND EXECUTING REVISED TRAINING PROGRAMS

<u>Session Objectives:</u> Examine the roles of neuroscience training program funders, administrators, mentors, and mentees in developing and executing revised training programs focused on diverse expertise in the areas identified in previous sessions. Consider specific challenges and opportunities related to the potential training program components outlined.

Session Moderator: NANCY DESMOND

Associate Director

Division of Neuroscience and Basic Behavioral Science

National Institute of Mental Health

2:10 p.m. Developing and Executing New Neuroscience Training Programs

OSWALD STEWARD

Director, Reeve-Irvine Research Center Senior Associate Dean for Research

University of California Irvine School of Medicine

- 2:30 p.m. Perspectives and Panel Discussion: Integrating New Training Components
 - Given the potential new expertise identified, describe current and potential mechanisms for integration. Consider potential challenges for integration.
 - Consider how training programs could be different for future researchers vs. current investigators (e.g., postdoctoral fellows, principle investigators)

- Explore new and/or alternative training mechanisms that might facilitate training (e.g., online courses)
- Discuss additional skills that might be critical for researchers to possess (e.g., critical thinking, management, administrative, communication)

Training Program Funder

TOM INSEL
Director
National Institute of Mental Health

Department Administrator

RICHARD TSIEN
Druckenmiller Professor of Neuroscience
Director Neuroscience Institute
Chair Department of Physiology and Neuroscience
NYU Langone Medical Center

Mentor

INDIRA RAMAN
Professor
Department of Neurobiology and Physiology
Northwestern University

3:15 p.m. Mentor and Mentee Response Panel

• What potential challenges and opportunities for integration of new topics into current and future training programs?

DIANE LIPSCOMBE
Professor of Neuroscience
Center for Neurobiology of Cells and Circuits
Brown University

KATHERINE PRATER Graduate Student University of Michigan

SOFIA JURGENSEN
Postdoctoral researcher
Laboratory of Pablo E. Castillo
Dominick P. Purpura Department of Neuroscience
Albert Einstein College of Medicine

MARGUERITE MATTHEWS
Postdoctoral Fellow
Department of Behavioral Neuroscience
Oregon Health and Science University

4:00 p.m. Discussion with Speakers, Panelists and Participants

SESSION VIII: NEXT STEPS FOR NEUROSCIENCE RESARCH

<u>Session Objectives:</u> Explore priority areas for updating the knowledge and expertise of current and future scientists in an effort to address future neuroscience workforce needs. Identify tangible next steps for developing and integrating new concepts and expertise into current and future training programs. Discuss the role of funders, administrators, mentors, and mentees in this process.

4:50 p.m. Panel Discussion

Session Moderators

5:30 p.m. Final comments

HUDA AKIL, Co-chair STEVIN ZORN, Co-chair

5:45 p.m. ADJOURN