

Community Engagement in Place-Based Policing

Charlotte Gill, PhD

Center for Evidence-Based Crime Policy
Department of Criminology, Law and Society
George Mason University
NASEM Predictive Policing Workshop, June 24, 2024

Overview

What is community engagement and why is it important?

Challenges of community engagement

Strategies for authentic collaboration

Key takeaways



What is community engagement?

We tend to think of community engagement as a set of outcomes...

But what if we reframed it as a prerequisite to effective, ethical, democratic policing?

Importance of community

The foundation of all social institutions

Police 'for and of the people' – must balance maintenance of public safety with trust and confidence

"Co-production of public safety" as a cornerstone of democratic policing

> Think of <u>all</u> policing initiatives as tactics to accomplish the goal of establishing community trust and legitimacy

Some of the most effective prevention programs involve collaborative, multistakeholder efforts and community problemsolving.

Benefits of engagement

Police do not have **capacity or knowledge** to address all the underlying causes of crime at place

Coordinating multiple partners within a specific geographic area leads to **effective**, **localized solutions**

Community participation ensures diverse voices are represented and mitigates alienating effects of law enforcement



Challenges in community engagement

Challenges of engagement

Authenticity and representation

Leadership and expertise

Data and outcomes

Authentic representation

Many 'community-oriented' policing programs **don't involve real collaboration**, or there is resistance

Real participation takes **time, effort, and attention** – especially in places where relationships with police are historically strained

Engaging multiple communities at a place takes effort – how to balance competing interests?

Leadership and expertise

Police are used to being the 'experts' on crime prevention but may not have all the expertise

Need to exercise caution when deciding who speaks for the community

Consider how other stakeholders and institutions have historically **served or harmed** the community

Data and outcomes

What is the **data generation process?** Does it reflect community concerns or perpetuate harms?

Outcomes for specific programs can be "fragile" – how do we measure the **success and sustainability** of the partnership itself?

How are measures of success impacted by increased community trust and collaboration?



Strategies for authentic collaboration

See Gill et al. (2024) for a literature review

Strategies for collaboration

Cultivating engagement

Building capacity

Avoiding assumptions

Cultivating engagement

Active participation with real empowerment and ownership

Strategic mobilization to turn participation into action

Culturally appropriate **outreach and recruitment** to ensure diverse stakeholders are at the table

Building capacity

Enhancing communication and conflict resolution skills

Developing internal processes to build a culture of trust, equity, and shared vision

Developing expectations for leadership, roles and responsibilities, and participation

Developing a clear implementation and evaluation plan

Avoiding assumptions

Even in communities that face many problems, there is capacity for collective action

Communities that experience high crime rates may desire law enforcement support

There is considerable **power, reach, and historical knowledge** within grassroots community organizations



Considerations for predictive policing

Engagement strategies

Engage diverse community stakeholders early in the process (prior to purchase/deployment) AND throughout

Incorporate community voice into decisions about where to deploy technology; what data will be collected and how it will be used; balancing privacy and civil rights with public safety

> Guiding principle: Do the data and locations reflect the issues that are most important to the community?

Key takeaways

Interventions must align with community needs

Pay attention to relationship and capacity building, not just solving the problem

Community voices should be centered throughout program development, implementation, and outcome measurement



Charlotte Gill, PhD

Center for Evidence-Based Crime Policy Department of Criminology, Law and Society, George Mason University Photo by Makayla Miles

cgill9@gmu.edu cls.gmu.edu cebcp.org

Additional resources

Foster-Fishman, P. G., Berkowitz, S. L., Lounsbury, D. W., Jacobson, S., & Allen, N. A. (2001). Building collaborative capacity in community coalitions: A review and integrative framework. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 29(2), 241–261. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010378613583

Gill, C. (2023). Rethinking the role of the community in proactive policing. In D. Weisburd, T. Jonathan-Zamir, G. Perry, & B. Hasisi (Eds.), *The future of evidence-based policing* (pp. 126–144). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108885737.009

Gill, C., Weisburd, D., Nazaire, D., Prince, H., & Gross Shader, C. (2024). Building "A Beautiful Safe Place for Youth" through problem-oriented community organizing: A quasi-experimental evaluation. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 23(2), 287–325. https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9133.12657

Headley, A. M., & Kalesnikaite, V. (2024). Exploring the limits of collaboration and the fragility of its outcomes: The case of community policing. *Public Administration Review*. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13836

Lum, C., & Nagin, D. S. (2017). Reinventing American policing. *Crime and Justice*, 46(1), 339–393. https://doi.org/10.1086/688462

Scheider, M. C., Chapman, R., & Schapiro, A. (2009). Towards the unification of policing innovations under community policing. *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management*, 32(4), 694–718. https://doi.org/10.1108/13639510911000777

Weisburd, D., Wilson, D. B., Gill, C., Kuen, K., & Zastrow, T. (2024). Are we underestimating the crime prevention outcomes of community policing? The importance of crime reporting sensitivity bias. *Journal of Law and Empirical Analysis*, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2755323X241233469