

Enterprise Sustainment Management System (E-SMS) Configuration Support Panel (CSP) Working Groups Update

James Livingston (Army)

Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Infrastructure Modernization and Resilience (ODASD(IM&R))



Agenda

- The Why and When
- Strategic Calendar
- Working Group Updates
- Q&A?



• The Why



SUSTAINMENT \$\$ VS. DEFERRED MAINTENANCE



• The Why

An officer gives the command, "Secure the building!"

- The Army would surround the building with defensive fortifications, tanks, and concertina wire, ensuring no one gets in or out.
- The Marines would assault the building, eliminate any resistance inside, and set up a temporary headquarters.
- The Navy would turn out the lights, lock the doors, and likely post a fire watch.

• The Air Force would take out a three-year lease on the building, with an option to buy.



Data Quality Elements

- ☐ The following all lead to Data Quality (Standardization)
 ☐ Joint Domain Catalog (cost)
 - Improve the fidelity of:
 - Sub-Component Type (Pseudo Level V) description
 - Replace Unit Cost
 - Design Life
 - ☐ Joint Domain Inventory and Assessment Guide/Playbook
 - Currently under review
 - ☐ Joint Domain Training (For all Assessors)
 - Tied to Career Field Certification
 - Provides Professional Development Hours (PDH), Continuing Education Unit (CEU) or Continuing Education Credit (CEC) for professionals
 - Three levels of training for each Domain
 - Asynchronous online training (Self Paced)
 - Synchronous online training (Instructor Lead)
 - Synchronous Face-to Face training (Instructor Lead) (Mobile Data Collection -SMS BRED / ESMS Field App)



Strategic Calendar

Sustainment Management System Configuration Support Panel Strategic Calendar (updated 10/15/2024) Ke							Key:	Governance		Policy Memorandum		Issuance					
			POC	SEP	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	Oct
		SLIC		18-Sep		19-Nov											
ce	DASD-Level Steering Group		DASD(IM&R)														
딞	SMS CSP		Faldowski	26-Sep	24-Oct	21-Nov	19-Dec										
Govern	W orking Groups	SMS Assessor Qualifications	TBD														
Э		Data Quality	TBD														
		MDI	TBD														
		Cost Books	TBD														
λ	SMS Implementation		Faldowski	FC (9/16)	FC (10/4)												
Policy	Criteria																
Ь	Assessment																
οoι	Asset Management		Faldowski														
Issuance		FSRM	Faldowski														
SSI																	



Strategic Calendar

Poc SEP Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug	Sep Sep	Oct
SLIC 18-Sep 19-Nov 6-Dec	Sep	Oct
Facility Asset Mgmt Sr Exec Steering Grp DASD(IM&R) DASD(IM&R)		
Feature Feature Feature Faldowski See See		
Assess Qual & Trng Steve Jones / Junior Cho S-Nov 21-Jan 18-Mar 1-Apr 6-May 2-Jun 1-Jul 19-Jul 19-		
Data Quality Metrics Michael Clawson / Steven K 7-Nov 16-Jan 18-Mar 15-Apr 20-May 24-Jun 17-Jul 18-Mar 18-M		
SMS DoDM (formerly Assessment Guide)		
CI Metrics SMS-TCX / Carrie Heishman 18-Mar 3-Apr 1-May 5-Jun 3-Jul Paver TSC/ Shaun Moya 2-Apr 7-May 4-Jun 2-Jul		
CI Metrics SMS-TCX / Carrie Heishman 18-Mar 3-Apr 1-May 5-Jun 3-Jul Paver TSC/ Shaun Moya 2-Apr 7-May 4-Jun 2-Jul		
CI Metrics SMS-TCX / Carrie Heishman 18-Mar 3-Apr 1-May 5-Jun 3-Jul Paver TSC/ Shaun Moya 2-Apr 7-May 4-Jun 2-Jul		
S Railer TSC/ Ethan Russell 17-Apr 15-May 18-Jun 17-Jul 17-Apr 15-May 18-Jun 17-Jul		
E-SMS Field APP SMS-TCX / Carrie Heishman 17-Apr 15-May TBD TBD		
Utilities TBD TBD TBD		
DoD ESMS WG Synch 1/15-Nov 13-Dec 24-Jan 7/21 Feb 7/21 Mar 4/18 Apr 2/16/30 May 13/27 June 11/25 July		
SMS Summit, San Antonio TX		
SMS Summit, San Antonio TX JETC SAME, Louisville KY ESMS Summit CERL, Wash DC 13-15 May 9-Jul		
ESMS Summit CERL, Wash DC 9-Jul		
ESMS Policy Memo Faldowski FC (9/16) FC (10/4) 2FC (1/29) 2FC (2/12)		
ESMS Policy Memo Faldowski FC (9/16) FC (10/4) 2FC (1/29) 2FC (2/12)		
Assessment		
8 Asset Management Faldowski Faldowski		
Asset Management Faldowski FSRM Faldowski SMS DDM (formerly		
SMS DoDM (formerly		
FY25 Sustainment Unit Costs 1-Mar		
98 dd		
Catalogue 1-3un		
POM		



Working Groups

- Working Groups and Leads:
 - SMS Assessment Qualifications: Co-Leads are Steve Jones (Navy) and Junior Cho
 (DLA)
 - o Data Quality Metrics: Lead is Michael Clawson (Air Force)
 - SMS DoDM: Lead is Jim Livingston (Army)
 - Cost Books: Lead is Richard Matkins (Army)
 - o CI Metrics: Dr. Grussing (CERL)
- Working Group Lead/Co-Leads
 - Establish meeting invites from working group leads calendar
 - o Provide updated slides 3 business days ahead of CSP
 - o ESMS CSP Workspace proposes to capture working drafts and timelines
- ESMS CSP Workspace:
 - Decision forthcoming on SharePoint Online or Intelink
 - Sharepoint Online: https://dod365.sharepoint-mil.us/sites/OSDAS-EIE-IMR/SitePages/Sustainment-Management-System-Configuration-Support-Panel-(SMS-CSP).aspx?csf=1&web=1&e=OyjYpj
 - Intelink: https://intelshare.intelink.gov/sites/atlcoi/ESMS-CSP/SitePages/Home.aspx



E-SMS Assessment Qualifications & Training Working Group Update

Co-Leads: Brook Brandon-Weems, Jon Crittenden, Steven Jones (Navy)/Junior Cho (DLA)
Energy, Installations and Environment

- Purpose: Establish framework for assessment/assessor qualifications
- Objective: Define qualifications required by assessors by Domain and have them part of the Joint Inventory and Assessment Guide
- Scope: Define qualifications or authorizations required to perform E-SMS Assessments by Domain. Starting first with BUILDER Domain followed by others.
- Funding Requirements: Recommend Centrally Funded (OSD) (Lead Agency)
- Deliverables: Assessor Qualifications and Authorization (waiver) guidelines and specifications and venue/platform/style-of-delivery for training environment
- Current Status: Seeking consensus on the minimum qualifications for staff to take the Assessor Training and venue/platform/style-of-delivery for training environment
- Decision Point(s): Assessor Qualifications; Training Requirements
- Way Forward:
 - LOE 1. E-SMS roles and permissions for role-based training requirements approved on 30 May 25 by ESMS Working Group. (Lead: Junior Cho, DLA and Eric Mixon ERDC)
 - o LOE 2. **Discussions with AFIT and Army KTR (Areli)** to review BUILDER training way-forward held **3 Jun 25**. Anticipate an 'AFIT Develops, DAU Hosts' Training COA. (Lead: Brook Brandon-Weems, Navy). DAU talks on deck. White paper in progress.
 - o LOE 3. OSD Career Field Certification. (LOE Lead, open). Relevant notes in white paper draft.
- Next Meeting: 01 July 2025



Data Quality <u>Metrics</u> Working Group Update

Lead: Michael Clawson (Air Force)

- Purpose: Develop metrics and data standards for BUILDER SMS Data that provide required level of confidence to support decision making
- Objective: Key Performance Indicators (KPI) for BUILDER Data with minimum standards (addressing quality and completeness)
- Scope: Initial scope limited to BUILDER data
- Funding Requirements: TBD
- Deliverables: KPIs, standards, BUILDER reports, & Data Quality Tools
- Current Status: CERL developing reports for proposed Completeness and Quality Metrics for all services and agencies. July WG meeting will review results and establish ranges for each metric. We believe completeness metrics are adequate, but parameters for data quality are lacking.
- Decision Point(s): Need leadership vector on intended SMS data-based decisions to determine quality aspects for "advanced metrics".
- Way Forward
 - Review Draft Assessment Manual to identify mandatory aspects in criteria that might drive parameters for data quality metrics
- Next Meeting: 17 July (1200-1300 CDT)



SMS DoDM Working Group Update

Lead: Jim Livingston (Army)

- Purpose: Develop Standardized Approach to Inventory and Assessments for ESMS
- Objective: Produce appropriate guiding document for use by MILDEPS and Agencies
- Scope: Start with Buildings domain develop overarching document for ESMS implementation
- Funding Requirements: NA
- Deliverables: Review of existing guides, draft document for OSD approval
- Current Status: Drafting DoDM Volume 1
- Decision Point(s): Establish appropriate format (Policy Memo, **DoDM**, etc.)
- Way Forward
 - Developing White Paper for FAMSESG with background, approach and COAs
- Next Meeting: TBD.



Catalog (Cost Books) Working Group Update

Lead: Richard Matkins (Army), Installations and Environment

- Purpose: Establish a Joint Catalog (Cost Books) for E-SMS Domains to develop unit cost factors across the ESMS enterprise that reflect government costs for E-SMS work items that generate deferred maintenance cost and FCI.
- Objective: Define a Joint Catalog (Cost Books) Framework for E-SMS Domains
- Scope: Develop a Catalog (Cost Books) Framework for Current and Future E-SMS Domains
- Funding Requirements: Centrally funded as a separate line in the E-SMS O&M Budget
- Deliverables: Contract requirements that deliver Domain Relevant and Accurate Catalog (Cost Books) for Current and Emerging Domains
- Current Status: Meeting will be monthly staring in April 2025, LOE WG established
- Decision Point(s):
 - 1. LOE 1 Framework 11 April 2025 The Catalog Framework Performance Work Statement (PWS), Procurement Action Request (PAR) packet were submitted for contracting action. Pending Award.
 - 2. LOE 2 Modifiers Framework Date TBD
 - 3. LOE 3 Contract Requirements 11 April 2025
 - 4. LOE 4 GOU Framework Date TBD
 - 5. LOE 5 ASTM E 1557 Uniformat II Date TBD
 - 6. LOE 6 CMC Design Life Framework Date TBD
- Next Meeting: 16 July 2025



CI Metrics Working Group Update Lead: Dr. Michael Grussing(CERL)

- Purpose: Standardize reporting and use of SMS-generated metrics
- Objective: Establish guidance and associated documentation to standardize facility metrics
- Scope:
 - o Initial: focus on codifying ranges for BCI and standardizing the standards and policies across the Services and Agencies.
 - Next: Review criteria for SMS standards and policies
- Funding Requirements: none/TBD
- Deliverables: Formalized documentation on metric calculations including inputs from standards, policies, etc; discrete ranges for the CI scale with descriptive labeling.
- Current Status: Established ranges exist for Red, Amber, & Green BCI, but needs to be formalized and descriptive labels added.
- Decision Point(s):
 - O Documentation with BCI ranges along with associated summarized and detailed descriptions sent out after last meeting for review and comments by June 25.
- Way Forward
 - Next meeting to review standards and policies, review configuration across services and agencies
 - o Review other metrics being reported, such as those to support data quality
- Next Meeting: Thursday, Jul 3rd



Proposed BCI Rating Definitions - draft Energy, Installations and Environment

Rating	BCI Range	Description
Good	100 – 86	Minimal degradation is present which significantly reduces the likelihood of a disruption in the building's service. Well-maintained systems are less prone to unexpected failures, minimizing downtime for repairs and ensuring consistent operation of essential functions like HVAC, lighting, power, and plumbing. The impact on mission operations is minimal to none. With reliable building services and a comfortable environment, occupants can focus on their primary tasks without being hindered by building-related issues. This supports consistent and efficient mission operations.
Fair	85 – 70	Moderate degradation is present overall for the facility. The likelihood of disruption in building service is moderate. Aging systems and unaddressed minor deficiencies are more prone to occasional malfunctions or reduced performance, potentially leading to temporary inconveniences or minor shutdowns for localized repairs. The impact on mission operations is noticeable. Reduced reliability and occasional system hiccups can hinder productivity and efficiency. Resources may need to be allocated more frequently to address maintenance issues, potentially diverting focus from core operations.
Poor	69 – 56	Significant overall degradation is present in the facility. A building in poor condition significantly increases the likelihood of a disruption in the building's service. Essential systems are unreliable and prone to failure, leading to frequent outages of power, HVAC, plumbing, and other critical functions. This unreliability directly hinders the building's intended use. The impact on mission operations is substantial and detrimental. The building's unreliability and poor condition directly impede mission effectiveness. Frequent system failures and a substandard environment disrupt workflows, require significant resources for reactive maintenance, and can negatively impact morale and safety, potentially hindering or halting operations.
Failing	55 and below	Overall degradation to the facility is Severe , with a high likelihood of disruption in the building's service. Essential functions are either completely non-operational or operate sporadically and unreliably. The building can no longer be depended upon to provide basic shelter or support intended activities. The impact on mission operations is catastrophic. The building is unusable for its intended purpose, and resources are likely being diverted to address immediate safety concerns and explore alternative solutions. The building represents a significant liability and impediment to ongoing operations.



PAVER Working Group Update

Lead: Shaun Moya(Army)

- Purpose: Develop a data roadmap to move this from legacy PAVER to E-SMS Pavements Domain.
- Objective: Capture the required data points and gaps, integrating Tri-Service Pavement community input and recommendations for asset management. Providing contractor support to complete roadmap analysis.
- Scope: The objective of this work is to study the PAVER system data, meet with community stake holders for this dataset, and develop a roadmap and timeline for data integration with E-SMS.
- Funding Requirements: Current: N/A. Future: TBD.
- Deliverables: A path forward and gap identification by means of Roadmap for migration of PAVER data to the E-SMS pavements domain.
- Current Status: Ongoing Working Groups with CTR and Community of Practice Representatives. Most recent meeting discussed Storyboard development/ User Stories. Discussed roles and responsibilities from PAVER to ESMS.
- Decision Point(s): Final Report (Roadmap) October.
- Way Forward
 - o TSC and PAVER CoP will continue to provide support to the contractor as the provide an analysis of PAVER data points and gaps.
- Previous Meeting: 11 JUN 2025 Next Meeting: 2 JUL 2025



RAILER Working Group Update

Lead: Ethan Russell (Army)

- Purpose: Develop a data roadmap to move legacy (RAILER) to the E-SMS Rail Domain.
- Objective: Provide current inspection and maintenance practices with noted gaps and recommendations to improve real property, RAILER, ISR-I (Function), data collection, and unique service requirement for inclusion in E-SMS with development of a recommendation for transition into E-SMS.
- Scope: The objective of this work is to study the RAILER system data, meet with community stake holders for this dataset, and develop a roadmap and timeline for data integration with E-SMS.
- Funding Requirements: Current: N/A. Future: TBD
- Deliverables: Roadmap outlining a way forward, with identified gaps, for migration of RAILER into E-SMS.
- Current Status: Ongoing Working Groups with CTR and Community of Practice Representatives.
- Decision Point(s): Contractor final report findings.
- Way Forward
 - USACE-TSC and community of practice will continue to provide support to the contractor as they provide analysis of data points and gaps.
- Next Meeting: 17 JUL 2025



Questions