

Quantifying the Uncertainty of Complex Models: Three Vignettes

Lucas Janson

Harvard University Department of Statistics



AI Day for Federal Statistics
May 2, 2024

AI and machine learning:

- **great** at maximizing prediction accuracy
- **bad** at interpretability and uncertainty quantification (UQ)

AI and machine learning:

- **great** at maximizing prediction accuracy
- **bad** at interpretability and uncertainty quantification (UQ)

Three settings where UQ for complex models is **critical (and how)**

AI and machine learning:

- **great** at maximizing prediction accuracy
- **bad** at interpretability and uncertainty quantification (UQ)

Three settings where UQ for complex models is **critical (and how)**

- Variable selection

AI and machine learning:

- **great** at maximizing prediction accuracy
- **bad** at interpretability and uncertainty quantification (UQ)

Three settings where UQ for complex models is **critical (and how)**

- Variable selection
- Computational modeling

AI and machine learning:

- **great** at maximizing prediction accuracy
- **bad** at interpretability and uncertainty quantification (UQ)

Three settings where UQ for complex models is **critical (and how)**

- Variable selection
- Computational modeling
- Predictive decision-making

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, but with **many more** features than observations

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, but with **many more** features than observations

E.g., people's genetic features and disease state

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, but with **many more** features than observations

E.g., people's genetic features and disease state

The goal: Find important features (genetic features related to disease)

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, but with **many more** features than observations

E.g., people's genetic features and disease state

The goal: Find important features (genetic features related to disease)

The model: Linear model with shrinkage and sparsity

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, but with **many more** features than observations

E.g., people's genetic features and disease state

The goal: Find important features (genetic features related to disease)

The model: Linear model with shrinkage and sparsity

Why we need UQ: How big does a coefficient need to be to be confident it represents a real relationship?

Genomics: what can we do?

E. Candès, Y. Fan, L. Janson, and J. Lv. Panning for Gold: **Model-X Knockoffs** for High- dimensional Controlled Variable Selection. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B, 80(3):551–577, 2018.

Genomics: what can we do?

E. Candès, Y. Fan, L. Janson, and J. Lv. Panning for Gold: **Model-X Knockoffs** for High- dimensional Controlled Variable Selection. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B, 80(3):551–577, 2018.

- Can't do UQ of fitted linear model coefficients directly:
 - shrinkage induces bias
 - linear model only an approximation

Genomics: what can we do?

E. Candès, Y. Fan, L. Janson, and J. Lv. Panning for Gold: **Model-X Knockoffs** for High- dimensional Controlled Variable Selection. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B, 80(3):551–577, 2018.

- **Can't do UQ** of fitted linear model coefficients directly:
 - shrinkage induces **bias**
 - linear model only an **approximation**
- **Key idea**: Create a **knockoff** for each feature:
 - statistically **mimics** the real feature
 - but has **no real relationship** with outcome

Genomics: what can we do?

E. Candès, Y. Fan, L. Janson, and J. Lv. Panning for Gold: **Model-X Knockoffs** for High- dimensional Controlled Variable Selection. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B, 80(3):551–577, 2018.

- **Can't do UQ** of fitted linear model coefficients directly:
 - shrinkage induces **bias**
 - linear model only an **approximation**
- **Key idea:** Create a **knockoff** for each feature:
 - statistically **mimics** the real feature
 - but has **no real relationship** with outcome
- Compare fitted coefficient on real feature with fitted coefficient on knockoff (or many such)

Genomics: what can we do?

E. Candès, Y. Fan, L. Janson, and J. Lv. Panning for Gold: **Model-X Knockoffs** for High- dimensional Controlled Variable Selection. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B, 80(3):551–577, 2018.

- **Can't do UQ** of fitted linear model coefficients directly:
 - shrinkage induces **bias**
 - linear model only an **approximation**
- **Key idea:** Create a **knockoff** for each feature:
 - statistically **mimics** the real feature
 - but has **no real relationship** with outcome
- Compare fitted coefficient on real feature with fitted coefficient on knockoff (or many such)
- If real coefficient bigger than most/all knockoff coefficients, conclude relationship is real **with confidence**

The data: Data from a complex/**expensive** computational model

The data: Data from a complex/**expensive** computational model
E.g., climate model inputs and outputs

Computational modeling

The data: Data from a complex/**expensive** computational model

E.g., climate model inputs and outputs

The goal: Estimate model output's sensitivity to an input

Computational modeling

The data: Data from a complex/**expensive** computational model

E.g., climate model inputs and outputs

The goal: Estimate model output's sensitivity to an input

E.g., impact of a country's CO₂ emissions on future climate

Computational modeling

The data: Data from a complex/**expensive** computational model

E.g., climate model inputs and outputs

The goal: Estimate model output's sensitivity to an input

E.g., impact of a country's CO2 emissions on future climate

The model: A *surrogate* model (deep learning, or less complex physics)

- **approximates** the full model
- but **much faster** to run

Computational modeling

The data: Data from a complex/**expensive** computational model

E.g., climate model inputs and outputs

The goal: Estimate model output's sensitivity to an input

E.g., impact of a country's CO2 emissions on future climate

The model: A *surrogate* model (deep learning, or less complex physics)

- **approximates** the full model
- but **much faster** to run

Why we need UQ: **Can't** directly estimate full model's sensitivity well:
can for surrogate, but *how different are they?*

Computational modeling: what can we do?

M. Aufiero and L. Janson. Surrogate-Based Global Sensitivity Analysis with Statistical Guarantees via **Floodgate**. 2022. [<https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.05885>]

Computational modeling: what can we do?

M. Aufiero and L. Janson. Surrogate-Based Global Sensitivity Analysis with Statistical Guarantees via **Floodgate**. 2022. [<https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.05885>]

- Full model **too expensive** to accurately estimate its sensitivity

Computational modeling: what can we do?

M. Aufiero and L. Janson. Surrogate-Based Global Sensitivity Analysis with Statistical Guarantees via **Floodgate**. 2022. [<https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.05885>]

- Full model **too expensive** to accurately estimate its sensitivity
- **Key idea**: Design a special proxy sensitivity quantity:
 - depends on full and surrogate models
 - if surrogate **close** to full model, proxy sensitivity **close** to full sensitivity
 - guaranteed to be **at most** full sensitivity

Computational modeling: what can we do?

M. Aufiero and L. Janson. Surrogate-Based Global Sensitivity Analysis with Statistical Guarantees via **Floodgate**. 2022. [<https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.05885>]

- Full model **too expensive** to accurately estimate its sensitivity
- **Key idea**: Design a special proxy sensitivity quantity:
 - depends on full and surrogate models
 - if surrogate **close** to full model, proxy sensitivity **close** to full sensitivity
 - guaranteed to be **at most** full sensitivity
- Generate a lot of data from the surrogate model to estimate proxy sensitivity:
 - proxy sensitivity estimate much more accurate
 - comes with UQ for proxy sensitivity
 - lower confidence bound (LCB) for proxy sensitivity immediately a LCB for full sensitivity

Computational modeling: what can we do?

M. Aufiero and L. Janson. Surrogate-Based Global Sensitivity Analysis with Statistical Guarantees via **Floodgate**. 2022. [<https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.05885>]

- Full model **too expensive** to accurately estimate its sensitivity
- **Key idea**: Design a special proxy sensitivity quantity:
 - depends on full and surrogate models
 - if surrogate **close** to full model, proxy sensitivity **close** to full sensitivity
 - guaranteed to be **at most** full sensitivity
- Generate a lot of data from the surrogate model to estimate proxy sensitivity:
 - proxy sensitivity estimate much more accurate
 - comes with UQ for proxy sensitivity
 - lower confidence bound (LCB) for proxy sensitivity immediately a LCB for full sensitivity
 - same idea for upper-bound → **confidence interval for full sensitivity**
 - **valid regardless of surrogate, very narrow if surrogate accurate**

Predictive uncertainty

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, with **many** observations

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, with **many** observations

E.g., patient electronic health records and survival data

Predictive uncertainty

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, with **many** observations

E.g., patient electronic health records and survival data

The goal: Provide prediction **interval** for outcome

Predictive uncertainty

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, with **many** observations

E.g., patient electronic health records and survival data

The goal: Provide prediction **interval** for outcome

E.g., **range** of survival times for patient

Predictive uncertainty

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, with **many** observations

E.g., patient electronic health records and survival data

The goal: Provide prediction **interval** for outcome

E.g., **range** of survival times for patient

The model: Complex (black box) predictive model (machine learning/AI)

Predictive uncertainty

The data: Observations of features and an outcome variable, with **many** observations

E.g., patient electronic health records and survival data

The goal: Provide prediction **interval** for outcome

E.g., **range** of survival times for patient

The model: Complex (black box) predictive model (machine learning/AI)

Why we need UQ: Important decisions made based on predictions:

- **point** predictions insufficient
- e.g., don't want to give patient **false sense of certainty**

Predictive uncertainty: what can we do?

A. Angelopoulos, S. Bates. **Conformal prediction**: A gentle introduction. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 16(4), 494-591, 2023.

Predictive uncertainty: what can we do?

A. Angelopoulos, S. Bates. **Conformal prediction**: A gentle introduction. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 16(4), 494-591, 2023.

- Complexity of predictive model prevents direct UQ

Predictive uncertainty: what can we do?

A. Angelopoulos, S. Bates. **Conformal prediction**: A gentle introduction. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 16(4), 494-591, 2023.

- Complexity of predictive model prevents direct UQ
- **Key idea**: use **interchangeability** of observations:
 - holding out a validation set, train on subset of observations
 - compute residuals on validation set
 - residuals on validation set **distributed same as** residual on a new data point

Predictive uncertainty: what can we do?

A. Angelopoulos, S. Bates. **Conformal prediction**: A gentle introduction. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 16(4), 494-591, 2023.

- Complexity of predictive model prevents direct UQ
- **Key idea**: use **interchangeability** of observations:
 - holding out a validation set, train on subset of observations
 - compute residuals on validation set
 - residuals on validation set **distributed same as** residual on a new data point
- Prediction $\pm$ high quantile of (absolute) validation residuals forms prediction interval:
 - **exact coverage regardless** of machine learning model
 - better predictions mean **narrower** intervals

Conclusion

Modern nonparametric statistical inference methods:

- rigorously **quantify uncertainty** for **arbitrarily** complex models
- require **no knowledge** of model—just need to be able to compute it

Conclusion

Modern nonparametric statistical inference methods:

- rigorously **quantify uncertainty** for **arbitrarily** complex models
- require **no knowledge** of model—just need to be able to compute it

Would love to engage with federal statistical applications!

`ljanson@fas.harvard.edu`

`http://lucasjanson.fas.harvard.edu`