Privacy and Disclosure Methods and Designs

Future Directions for Social and Behavioral Science Methodologies in the Next Decade

Ruobin Gong

Department of Statistics Rutgers University

Sept 25-26, 2024 · Washington, DC

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, Committee on National Statistics

Formal Privacy in Statistical Disclosure Control

Statistical Disclosure Control (SDC) aims to limit particular measures of disclosure risk, e.g.:

- <u>Reidentification</u>: isolation of uniques [Swe02]; model-based reidentification [FS98; SS08];
- <u>Reconstruction</u>: e.g. linkage attacks [DFT03; Win04].

Formal privacy in SDC saw major development over the last decade or so.

- Principles [BG21; SM+24] :
 - Provability;
 - Composition;
 - Post-processing invariance;
 - Transparency (algorithmic \checkmark ; statistical ? [Gon22]).
- Differential privacy: a class of cryptographic standards. Crudely speaking [BGM24a; BGM24b] :

For all potential data universes $\mathcal{D} \in \mathscr{D}$ and protection objects $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \mathcal{D}$, $d_{\Pr} [\mathsf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}(T \in \cdot), \mathsf{P}_{\mathbf{x}'}(T \in \cdot)] \leq \epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} d_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}').$

Formal Privacy in Statistical Disclosure Control

Statistical Disclosure Control (SDC) aims to limit particular measures of disclosure risk, e.g.:

- <u>Reidentification</u>: isolation of uniques [Swe02]; model-based reidentification [FS98; SS08];
- <u>Reconstruction</u>: e.g. linkage attacks [DFT03; Win04].

Formal privacy in SDC saw major development over the last decade or so.

- Principles [BG21; SM+24] :
 - Provability;
 - Composition;
 - Post-processing invariance;
 - Transparency (algorithmic √; statistical ? [Gon22]).
- Differential privacy: a class of cryptographic standards. Crudely speaking [BGM24a; BGM24b] :

For all potential data universes $\mathcal{D} \in \mathscr{D}$ and protection objects $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \mathcal{D}$, $d_{\Pr} \big[\mathsf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}(T \in \cdot), \mathsf{P}_{\mathbf{x}'}(T \in \cdot) \big] \leq \epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} d_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}').$

Formal Privacy in Statistical Disclosure Control

The evolution of the differential privacy definition: catering to a variety of application domains

- From 2006: ϵ -indistinguishability [Dwo+06b] ...
- . . .to today:
 - Variations in output metric: (ε, δ)-approximate DP [Dw0+06a] Rényi DP [Mir17] concentrated DP [BS16]
 f-divergence privacy [BD14; B013] *f*-DP (including Gaussian DP) [DR522];
 - Variations in input metric: (*R*, ε)-generic DP [KM11] edge vs node privacy [Hay+09; MM10] *d*-metric DP [Cha+13] Blowfish privacy [HMD14] element level DP [ADJ22] distributional privacy [ZLW09] event-level vs user-level DP [Dw0+10];
 - Variations in the protection domain: privacy under invariants [Ash+19; GM20; GGY22; Dha+23] conditioned or empirical DP [ASV13; CH16] personalized DP [ESS15; JYC15] individual DP [Sor+17; FZ22] bootstrap DP [OC19] stratified DP [Bun+22] per-record DP [See+23] per-instance DP [Wan18; RW21];
 - Variations in applicable data structures: DP for network data [Hay+09] for geospatial data [And+13] Pufferfish DP [KM14] noiseless privacy [Bha+11] privacy under partial knowledge [SR522] privacy amplification [BKN10; BBG20; Bun+22].

See generally [DP20].

Ruobin Gong (Rutgers)

Formally Private Disclosure Mechanism Design: State of Research

In terms of modes of data access [Kar16; Hot+22]:

- Direct access (e.g. RDCs)
- Query access
- Dissemination access

In terms of the disclosure approach [SS23]:

- Design-based
- Adjustment-based

We know more about how to carry out a particular statistical estimation task (and publish the result) under an explicit formal privacy definition.

. . .

- e.g. point estimation [Smi11], hypothesis testing [AS18; Can+19; Bar+19; BJ22], confidence intervals
 [Du+20; KV18; WKL19; Dre+22; FWS22], linear regression [She17; BS19; AV22] ...
- But see [Bar+24] ("DP methods are feasible for simple, univariate statistics but struggle to produce accurate regression estimates and confidence intervals")

We know less about how to design formally private mechanisms for the public dissemination of "raw data" that are well-suited for downstream use.

Ruobin Gong (Rutgers)

Formally Private Disclosure Mechanism Design: State of Research

In terms of modes of data access [Kar16; Hot+22]:

- Direct access (e.g. RDCs)
- Query access (more)
- Dissemination access (less)

In terms of the disclosure approach [SS23]:

- Design-based (more)
- Adjustment-based (less)

We know more about how to carry out a particular statistical estimation task (and publish the result) under an explicit formal privacy definition.

. . .

- e.g. point estimation [Smi11], hypothesis testing [AS18; Can+19; Bar+19; BJ22], confidence intervals [Du+20; KV18; WKL19; Dre+22; FWS22], linear regression [She17; BS19; AV22] ...
- But see [Bar+24] ("DP methods are feasible for simple, univariate statistics but struggle to produce accurate regression estimates and confidence intervals")

We know less about how to design formally private mechanisms for the public dissemination of "raw data" that are well-suited for downstream use.

Ruobin Gong (Rutgers)

Formally Private Disclosure Mechanism: Adoption in National Statistics

- Large-scale tabular datasets with consistency constraints
 - TopDown algorithm: 2020 U.S. Decennial Census P.L. and DHC files [Abo+22]
 - SafeTab: Detailed DHC files [Tum22]
- Differentially private synthetic data
 - NIST PSCR Differential Privacy Synthetic Data Challenge: see generally [BS21]
 - Israel's National Registry of Live Births [HC24]
- Synthetic data + differentially private verification or validation servers: toward tiered access
 - *Office of Personnel Management personnel records [Bar+18]
 - (under development) IRS Statistics of Income Division + Urban Institute [Bar+24]
- ? Large-scale longitudinal surveys, panel studies, complex surveys
 - (not yet) American Community Survey (ACS) [Uni22] ("Our current assessment is that the science does not yet exist to comprehensively implement a formally private solution for the ACS")
 - (not yet) Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) [SM+24] ("[T]he statistical tools have not yet advanced to the point that they could create a differentially private synthetic dataset for the size and complexity of SIPP")

Privacy and Disclosure Methods and Designs

Formally Private Disclosure Mechanism: Adoption in National Statistics

- Large-scale tabular datasets with consistency constraints
 - TopDown algorithm: 2020 U.S. Decennial Census P.L. and DHC files [Abo+22]
 - SafeTab: Detailed DHC files [Tum22]
- Differentially private synthetic data
 - NIST PSCR Differential Privacy Synthetic Data Challenge: see generally [BS21]
 - Israel's National Registry of Live Births [HC24]
- Synthetic data + differentially private verification or validation servers: toward tiered access
 - *Office of Personnel Management personnel records [Bar+18]
 - (under development) IRS Statistics of Income Division + Urban Institute [Bar+24]
- ? Large-scale longitudinal surveys, panel studies, complex surveys
 - (not yet) American Community Survey (ACS) [Uni22] ("Our current assessment is that the science does not yet exist to comprehensively implement a formally private solution for the ACS")
 - (not yet) Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) [SM+24] ("[T]he statistical tools have not yet advanced to the point that they could create a differentially private synthetic dataset for the size and complexity of SIPP")

Privacy and Disclosure Methods and Designs

Formally Private Disclosure Mechanism: Adoption in National Statistics

- Large-scale tabular datasets with consistency constraints
 - TopDown algorithm: 2020 U.S. Decennial Census P.L. and DHC files [Abo+22]
 - SafeTab: Detailed DHC files [Tum22]
- Differentially private synthetic data
 - NIST PSCR Differential Privacy Synthetic Data Challenge: see generally [BS21]
 - Israel's National Registry of Live Births [HC24]
- Synthetic data + differentially private verification or validation servers: toward tiered access
 - *Office of Personnel Management personnel records [Bar+18]
 - (under development) IRS Statistics of Income Division + Urban Institute [Bar+24]
- ? Large-scale longitudinal surveys, panel studies, complex surveys
 - (not yet) American Community Survey (ACS) [Uni22] ("Our current assessment is that the science does not yet exist to comprehensively implement a formally private solution for the ACS")
 - (not yet) Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) [SM+24] ("[T]he statistical tools have not yet advanced to the point that they could create a differentially private synthetic dataset for the size and complexity of SIPP")

- 1. Formally private SDL methods that are suited to the reality of official statistics
 - "Minimally invasive": compatibility with existing protocols and procedures [Das+22]
 - Tailored to the modes of data access and disclosure approaches
 - Inter-agency data sharing [Adv22], data blending [SM+24], downstream use [Ste+22], and misuse (!)
- 2. A data processing "pipeline" view of formal privacy
 - Accounting for sampling, measurement, editing, imputation, nonresponse and other model-based or model-assisted adjustments
 - e.g. "privacy for free" [WFS15]; amplification [BKN10; BBG20; Bun+22]
- 3. Privacy-usability tradeoff assessments that are . .
 - ...not under-inclusive: complexities of disclosure risk [Ken+21], complexities of usability criteria
 - ...not over-inclusive: resource constraints, practicality [Elt22]
- 4. Workable integration of rigid formal privacy standards with:
 - Sectoral (e.g. HIPAA, FERPA) and omnibus (GDPR-like) legal requirements
 - Articulated privacy and confidentiality directives (Five Safes [DRW16], contextual integrity [Nis04]]
 - Intuitive expectations from data contributors and data users

- 1. Formally private SDL methods that are suited to the reality of official statistics
 - "Minimally invasive": compatibility with existing protocols and procedures [Das+22]
 - Tailored to the modes of data access and disclosure approaches
 - Inter-agency data sharing [Adv22], data blending [SM+24], downstream use [Ste+22], and misuse (!)
- 2. A data processing "pipeline" view of formal privacy
 - Accounting for sampling, measurement, editing, imputation, nonresponse and other model-based or model-assisted adjustments
 - e.g. "privacy for free" [WFS15]; amplification [BKN10; BBG20; Bun+22]
- 3. Privacy-usability tradeoff assessments that are . .
 -not under-inclusive: complexities of disclosure risk [Ken+21], complexities of usability criteria
 - ...not over-inclusive: resource constraints, practicality [Elt22]
- 4. Workable integration of rigid formal privacy standards with:
 - Sectoral (e.g. HIPAA, FERPA) and omnibus (GDPR-like) legal requirements
 - Articulated privacy and confidentiality directives (Five Safes [DRW16], contextual integrity [Nis04]]
 - Intuitive expectations from data contributors and data users

- 1. Formally private SDL methods that are suited to the reality of official statistics
 - "Minimally invasive": compatibility with existing protocols and procedures [Das+22]
 - Tailored to the modes of data access and disclosure approaches
 - Inter-agency data sharing [Adv22], data blending [SM+24], downstream use [Ste+22], and misuse (!)
- 2. A data processing "pipeline" view of formal privacy
 - Accounting for sampling, measurement, editing, imputation, nonresponse and other model-based or model-assisted adjustments
 - e.g. "privacy for free" [WFS15]; amplification [BKN10; BBG20; Bun+22]
- 3. Privacy-usability tradeoff assessments that are ...
 - ...not under-inclusive: complexities of disclosure risk [Ken+21], complexities of usability criteria
 - ...not over-inclusive: resource constraints, practicality [Elt22]
- 4. Workable integration of rigid formal privacy standards with:
 - Sectoral (e.g. HIPAA, FERPA) and omnibus (GDPR-like) legal requirements
 - Articulated privacy and confidentiality directives (Five Safes [DRW16], contextual integrity [Nis04]]
 - Intuitive expectations from data contributors and data users

- 1. Formally private SDL methods that are suited to the reality of official statistics
 - "Minimally invasive": compatibility with existing protocols and procedures [Das+22]
 - Tailored to the modes of data access and disclosure approaches
 - Inter-agency data sharing [Adv22], data blending [SM+24], downstream use [Ste+22], and misuse (!)
- 2. A data processing "pipeline" view of formal privacy
 - Accounting for sampling, measurement, editing, imputation, nonresponse and other model-based or model-assisted adjustments
 - e.g. "privacy for free" [WFS15]; amplification [BKN10; BBG20; Bun+22]
- 3. Privacy-usability tradeoff assessments that are ...
 - ...not under-inclusive: complexities of disclosure risk [Ken+21], complexities of usability criteria
 - ...not over-inclusive: resource constraints, practicality [Elt22]
- 4. Workable integration of rigid formal privacy standards with:
 - Sectoral (e.g. HIPAA, FERPA) and omnibus (GDPR-like) legal requirements
 - Articulated privacy and confidentiality directives (Five Safes [DRW16], contextual integrity [Nis04])
 - Intuitive expectations from data contributors and data users

References I

[Abo+22] John Abowd et al. "The 2020 Census Disclosure Avoidance System TopDown Algorithm". In: Harvard Data Science Review Special Issue 2 (June 2022). DOI: 10.1162/99608f92.529e3cb9. [AD]22] Hilal Asi, John C. Duchi, and O. Javidbakht, "Element Level Differential Privacy: The Right Granularity of Privacy". In: AAAI Workshop on Privacy-Preserving Artificial Intelligence. Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence, 2022. [Adv22] Advisory Committee on Data for Evidence Building. Year 2 Report. Tech. rep. 2022. [And+13] Miguel E. Andrés, Nicolás E. Bordenabe, Konstantinos Chatzikokolakis, and Catuscia Palamidessi. "Geo-Indistinguishability: Differential Privacy for Location-Based Systems". In: Proceedings of the 2013 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer & Communications Security. CCS '13. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Nov. 2013, pp. 901–914. ISBN: 978-1-4503-2477-9. DOI: 10.1145/2508859.2516735. [AS18] Jordan Awan and Aleksandra Slavković. "Differentially private uniformly most powerful tests for binomial data". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 31 (2018), pp. 4208-4218.

References II

[Ash+19]	Robert Ashmead, Daniel Kifer, Philip Leclerc, Ashwin Machanavajjhala, and William Sexton. <i>Effective privacy after adjusting for invariants with applications to the 2020 Census</i> . Tech. rep. 2019.
[ASV13]	John M Abowd, Matthew J Schneider, and Lars Vilhuber. "Differential privacy applications to Bayesian and linear mixed model estimation". In: <i>Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality</i> 5.1 (2013).
[AV22]	Daniel Alabi and Salil Vadhan. "Hypothesis Testing for Differentially Private Linear Regression". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (2022), pp. 14196–14209.
[Bar+18]	Andrés F Barrientos, Alexander Bolton, Tom Balmat, Jerome P Reiter, John M de Figueiredo, Ashwin Machanavajjhala, Yan Chen, Charley Kneifel, and Mark DeLong. "Providing access to confidential research data through synthesis and verification: An application to data on employees of the US federal government". In: (2018).
[Bar+19]	Andrés F Barrientos, Jerome P Reiter, Ashwin Machanavajjhala, and Yan Chen. "Differentially private significance tests for regression coefficients". In: <i>Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics</i> 28.2

(2019), pp. 440–453.

References III

- [Bar+24] Andrés F Barrientos, Aaron R Williams, Joshua Snoke, and Claire McKay Bowen. "A Feasibility Study of Differentially Private Summary Statistics and Regression Analyses with Evaluations on Administrative and Survey Data". In: *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 119.545 (2024), pp. 52–65.
- [BBG20]
 Borja Balle, Gilles Barthe, and Marco Gaboardi. "Privacy Profiles and Amplification by Subsampling".

 In: Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality 10.1 (Jan. 2020). ISSN: 2575-8527. DOI: 10.29012/jpc.726.
- [BD14] Rina Foygel Barber and John C. Duchi. Privacy and Statistical Risk: Formalisms and Minimax Bounds. http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.4451. Dec. 2014. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1412.4451. arXiv: 1412.4451 [cs, math, stat].
- [BG21] Claire McKay Bowen and Simson Garfinkel. "Philosophy of differential privacy". In: Notices of the American Mathematical Society 68.10 (2021), pp. 1727–39.
- [BGM24a] James Bailie, Ruobin Gong, and Xiao-Li Meng. "A Refreshment Stirred, Not Shaken (I): Building Blocks of Differential Privacy". In: In preparation (2024+).
- [BGM24b]
 James Bailie, Ruobin Gong, and Xiao-Li Meng. "A Refreshment Stirred, Not Shaken (II): Can Swapping Be Differentially Private?" In: In preparation (2024+).

References IV

[Bha+11] Raghav Bhaskar, Abhishek Bhowmick, Vipul Goyal, Srivatsan Laxman, and Abhradeep Thakurta. "Noiseless Database Privacy". In: Advances in Cryptology – ASIACRYPT 2011. Ed. by Dong Hoon Lee and Xiaoyun Wang. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2011, pp. 215–232. ISBN: 978-3-642-25385-0. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-25385-0_12.

- [BJ22] Rina Foygel Barber and Lucas Janson. "Testing goodness-of-fit and conditional independence with approximate co-sufficient sampling". In: *The Annals of Statistics* 50.5 (2022), pp. 2514–2544.
- [BKN10] Amos Beimel, Shiva Prasad Kasiviswanathan, and Kobbi Nissim. "Bounds on the Sample Complexity for Private Learning and Private Data Release". In: Proceedings of the 7th Theory of Cryptography Conference, TCC 2010, Zurich, Switzerland. Ed. by Daniele Micciancio. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, Feb. 2010, pp. 437–454. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-11799-2_26.

References V

[BO13] Gilles Barthe and Federico Olmedo. "Beyond Differential Privacy: Composition Theorems and Relational Logic for f-Divergences between Probabilistic Programs". In: Automata, Languages, and Programming. Ed. by Fedor V. Fomin, Rūsiņš Freivalds, Marta Kwiatkowska, and David Peleg. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2013, pp. 49–60. ISBN: 978-3-642-39212-2. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-39212-2_8.

[BS16] Mark Bun and Thomas Steinke. "Concentrated Differential Privacy: Simplifications, Extensions, and Lower Bounds". In: *Theory of Cryptography*. Ed. by Martin Hirt and Adam Smith. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2016, pp. 635–658. ISBN: 978-3-662-53641-4. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-53641-4_24.

[BS19] Garrett Bernstein and Daniel R Sheldon. "Differentially private Bayesian linear regression". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32 (2019), pp. 525–535.

[BS21] Claire McKay Bowen and Joshua Snoke. "Comparative Study of Differentially Private Synthetic Data Algorithms from the NIST PSCR Differential Privacy Synthetic Data Challenge". In: *Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality* 11.1 (2021).

References VI

- [Bun+22]
 Mark Bun, Jörg Drechsler, Marco Gaboardi, Audra McMillan, and Jayshree Sarathy. "Controlling Privacy Loss in Sampling Schemes: An Analysis of Stratified and Cluster Sampling". In: Foundations of Responsible Computing (FORC 2022). June 2022, p. 24.
- [Can+19] Clément L Canonne, Gautam Kamath, Audra McMillan, Adam Smith, and Jonathan Ullman. "The structure of optimal private tests for simple hypotheses". In: Proceedings of the 51st Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing. 2019, pp. 310–321.
- [CH16] Anne-Sophie Charest and Yiwei Hou. "On the meaning and limits of empirical differential privacy". In: Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality 7.3 (2016), pp. 53–66.
- [Cha+13] Konstantinos Chatzikokolakis, Miguel E. Andrés, Nicolás Emilio Bordenabe, and Catuscia Palamidessi.
 "Broadening the Scope of Differential Privacy Using Metrics". In: *Privacy Enhancing Technologies*. Ed. by Emiliano De Cristofaro and Matthew Wright. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2013, pp. 82–102. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-39077-7_5.
- [Das+22] Soumojit Das, Jorg Drechsler, Keith Merrill, and Shawn Merrill. "Imputation under differential privacy". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.15063* (2022).

References VII

[DFT03]	Josep Domingo-Ferrer and Vicenc Torra. "Disclosure risk assessment in statistical microdata protection via advanced record linkage". In: <i>Statistics and Computing</i> 13 (2003), pp. 343–354.
[Dha+23]	Prathamesh Dharangutte, Jie Gao, Ruobin Gong, and Fang-Yi Yu. "Integer Subspace Differential Privacy". In: <i>Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-23)</i> . 2023.
[DP20]	Damien Desfontaines and Balázs Pejó. "SoK: Differential Privacies". In: <i>Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies</i> 2020.2 (2020), pp. 288–313.
[Dre+22]	Jörg Drechsler, Ira Globus-Harris, Audra Mcmillan, Jayshree Sarathy, and Adam Smith. "Nonparametric differentially private confidence intervals for the median". In: <i>Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology</i> 10.3 (2022), pp. 804–829.
[DRS22]	Jinshuo Dong, Aaron Roth, and Weijie J. Su. "Gaussian Differential Privacy". In: Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology) 84.1 (2022), pp. 3–37. ISSN: 1467-9868. DOI: 10.1111/rssb.12454.
[DRW16]	Tanvi Desai, Felix Ritchie, and Richard Welpton. <i>Five Safes: Designing Data Access for Research</i> . Working Paper 1601. Bristol: University of the West of England, 2016, p. 27.

References VIII

- [Du+20] Wenxin Du, Canyon Foot, Monica Moniot, Andrew Bray, and Adam Groce. "Differentially private confidence intervals". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.02285* (2020).
- [Dwo+06a] Cynthia Dwork, Krishnaram Kenthapadi, Frank McSherry, Ilya Mironov, and Moni Naor. "Our Data, Ourselves: Privacy Via Distributed Noise Generation". In: Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2006. Ed. by Serge Vaudenay. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2006, pp. 486–503. ISBN: 978-3-540-34547-3. DOI: 10.1007/11761679_29.
- [Dwo+06b] Cynthia Dwork, Frank McSherry, Kobbi Nissim, and Adam Smith. "Calibrating noise to sensitivity in private data analysis". In: *Theory of cryptography conference*. Springer. 2006, pp. 265–284.
- [Dwo+10] Cynthia Dwork, Moni Naor, Toniann Pitassi, and Guy N. Rothblum. "Differential Privacy under Continual Observation". In: Proceedings of the Forty-Second ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing. STOC '10. https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/1806689.1806787. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, June 2010, pp. 715–724. ISBN: 978-1-4503-0050-6. DOI: 10.1145/1806689.1806787.
- [Elt22] John L Eltinge. "Disclosure protection in the context of statistical agency operations: Data quality and related constraints". In: *Harvard Data Science Review. Published online June* 24 (2022).

References IX

- [ESS15] Hamid Ebadi, David Sands, and Gerardo Schneider. "Differential Privacy: Now It's Getting Personal". In: ACM SIGPLAN Notices 50.1 (Jan. 2015), pp. 69–81. ISSN: 0362-1340. DOI: 10.1145/2775051.2677005.
- [FS98]
 Stephen E Fienberg and Russell J Steele. "Disclosure limitation using perturbation and related methods for categorical data". In: Journal of Official Statistics 14.4 (1998), p. 485.
- [FWS22] Cecilia Ferrando, Shufan Wang, and Daniel Sheldon. "Parametric bootstrap for differentially private confidence intervals". In: International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics. PMLR. 2022, pp. 1598–1618.
- [FZ22] Vitaly Feldman and Tijana Zrnic. Individual Privacy Accounting via a Rényi Filter. http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.11193. Jan. 2022. arXiv: 2008.11193 [cs, stat].
- [GGY22] Jie Gao, Ruobin Gong, and Fang-Yi Yu. "Subspace Differential Privacy". In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. Vol. 36. 4. June 2022, pp. 3986–3995. DOI: 10.1609/aaai.v36i4.20315.

References X

[GM20]	Ruobin Gong and Xiao-Li Meng. "Congenial differential privacy under mandated disclosure". In: Proceedings of the 2020 ACM-IMS on Foundations of Data Science Conference. FODS '20. 2020, pp. 59–70.
[Gon22]	Ruobin Gong. "Transparent Privacy Is Principled Privacy". In: <i>Harvard Data Science Review</i> Special Issue 2 (June 2022). DOI: 10.1162/99608f92.b5d3faaa.
[Hay+09]	Michael Hay, Chao Li, Gerome Miklau, and David Jensen. "Accurate Estimation of the Degree Distribution of Private Networks". In: 2009 Ninth IEEE International Conference on Data Mining. Dec. 2009, pp. 169–178. DOI: 10.1109/ICDM.2009.11.
[HC24]	Shlomi Hod and Ran Canetti. Differentially Private Release of Israel's National Registry of Live Births. 2024. arXiv: 2405.00267 [cs.CR]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.00267.
[HMD14]	Xi He, Ashwin Machanavajjhala, and Bolin Ding. "Blowfish privacy: Tuning privacy-utility trade-offs using policies". In: <i>Proceedings of the 2014 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data</i> . 2014, pp. 1447–1458.

References XI

- [Hot+22] V Joseph Hotz, Christopher R Bollinger, Tatiana Komarova, Charles F Manski, Robert A Moffitt,
 Denis Nekipelov, Aaron Sojourner, and Bruce D Spencer. "Balancing data privacy and usability in the
 federal statistical system". In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 119.31 (2022), e2104906119.
- [JYC15] Zach Jorgensen, Ting Yu, and Graham Cormode. "Conservative or Liberal? Personalized Differential Privacy". In: 2015 IEEE 31st International Conference on Data Engineering. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7113353. Apr. 2015, pp. 1023–1034. DOI: 10.1109/ICDE.2015.7113353. (Visited on 09/30/2023).
- [Kar16] Alan F Karr. "Data sharing and access". In: Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application 3.1 (2016), pp. 113–132.
- [Ken+21] Christopher T Kenny, Shiro Kuriwaki, Cory McCartan, Evan TR Rosenman, Tyler Simko, and Kosuke Imai. "The use of differential privacy for census data and its impact on redistricting: The case of the 2020 US Census". In: Science advances 7.41 (2021), eabk3283.
- [KM11] Daniel Kifer and Ashwin Machanavajjhala. "No Free Lunch in Data Privacy". In: Proceedings of the 2011 International Conference on Management of Data - SIGMOD '11. Athens, Greece: ACM Press, 2011, pp. 193–204. ISBN: 978-1-4503-0661-4. DOI: 10.1145/1989323.1989345.

References XII

- [KM14] Daniel Kifer and Ashwin Machanavajjhala. "Pufferfish: A framework for mathematical privacy definitions". In: ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS) 39.1 (2014), pp. 1–36.
- [KV18] Vishesh Karwa and Salil Vadhan. "Finite Sample Differentially Private Confidence Intervals". In: 9th Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference (ITCS 2018). Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik. 2018.
- [Mir17] Ilya Mironov. "Rényi Differential Privacy". In: 2017 IEEE 30th Computer Security Foundations Symposium (CSF) (Aug. 2017), pp. 263–275. DOI: 10.1109/CSF.2017.11. eprint: 1702.07476. (Visited on 01/14/2020).
- [MM10] Frank McSherry and Ratul Mahajan. "Differentially-Private Network Trace Analysis". In: Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM 2010 Conference. SIGCOMM '10. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Aug. 2010, pp. 123–134. ISBN: 978-1-4503-0201-2. DOI: 10.1145/1851182.1851199.
- [Nis04] Helen Nissenbaum. "Privacy as contextual integrity". In: Wash. L. Rev. 79 (2004), p. 119.
- [OC19] Christine M O'Keefe and Anne-Sophie Charest. "Bootstrap differential privacy". In: Transactions on Data Privacy 12 (2019), pp. 1–28.

References XIII

- [RW21] Rachel Redberg and Yu-Xiang Wang. "Privately Publishable Per-Instance Privacy". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. Vol. 34. Curran Associates, Inc., 2021, pp. 17335–17346. (Visited on 03/29/2023).
- [See+23] Jeremy Seeman, William Sexton, David Pujol, and Ashwin Machanavajjhala. "Per-Record Differential Privacy: Modeling Dependence between Individual Privacy Loss and Confidential Records". In: (2023+).
- [She17] Or Sheffet. "Differentially private ordinary least squares". In: International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR. 2017, pp. 3105–3114.
- [SM+24] Engineering National Academies of Sciences, Medicine, et al. A Roadmap for Disclosure Avoidance in the Survey of Income and Program Participation. 2024.
- [Smi11] Adam Smith. "Privacy-preserving statistical estimation with optimal convergence rates". In: Proceedings of the forty-third annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing. 2011, pp. 813–822.

References XIV

[Sor+17]	Jordi Soria-Comas, Josep Domingo-Ferrer, David Sánchez, and David Megías. "Individual Differential
	Privacy: A Utility-Preserving Formulation of Differential Privacy Guarantees". In: IEEE Transactions on
	Information Forensics and Security 12.6 (June 2017), pp. 1418–1429. ISSN: 1556-6013, 1556-6021. DOI:
	10.1109/TIFS.2017.2663337. (Visited on 03/29/2023).
[SRS22]	Jeremy Seeman, Matthew Reimherr, and Aleksandra Slavkovic. <i>Formal Privacy for Partially Private Data</i> . http://arxiv.org/abs/2204.01102. May 2022. arXiv: 2204.01102 [cs, stat].
[SS08]	Chris Skinner and Natalie Shlomo. "Assessing identification risk in survey microdata using log-linear models". In: <i>Journal of the American Statistical Association</i> 103.483 (2008), pp. 989–1001.
[SS23]	Aleksandra Slavković and Jeremy Seeman. "Statistical data privacy: A song of privacy and utility". In: Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application 10.1 (2023), pp. 189–218.
[Ste+22]	Ryan Steed, Terrance Liu, Zhiwei Steven Wu, and Alessandro Acquisti. "Policy impacts of statistical uncertainty and privacy". In: <i>Science</i> 377.6609 (2022), pp. 928–931.
[Swe02]	Latanya Sweeney. "k-anonymity: A model for protecting privacy". In: International journal of uncertainty, fuzziness and knowledge-based systems 10.05 (2002), pp. 557–570.

References XV

[Tum22]	Tumult Labs. SafeTab: DP Algorithms for 2020 Census Detailed DHC Race & Ethnicity. Tech. rep. Mar. 2022.
[Uni22]	United States Census Bureau. <i>Disclosure Avoidance Protections for the American Community Survey.</i> https://www.census.gov/newsroom/blogs/random- samplings/2022/12/disclosure-avoidance-protections-acs.html.2022.
[Wan18]	Yu-Xiang Wang. Per-Instance Differential Privacy. http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.07708. Nov. 2018. arXiv: 1707.07708 [cs, stat].
[WFS15]	Yu-Xiang Wang, Stephen Fienberg, and Alex Smola. "Privacy for free: Posterior sampling and stochastic gradient monte carlo". In: <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i> . PMLR. 2015, pp. 2493–2502.
[Win04]	William E Winkler. "Re-identification methods for masked microdata". In: International Workshop on Privacy in Statistical Databases. Springer. 2004, pp. 216–230.
[WKL19]	Yue Wang, Daniel Kifer, and Jaewoo Lee. "Differentially Private Confidence Intervals for Empirical Risk Minimization". In: <i>Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality</i> 9.1 (2019).

References XVI

[ZLW09]

Shuheng Zhou, Katrina Ligett, and Larry Wasserman. "Differential Privacy with Compression". In: Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE International Conference on Symposium on Information Theory - Volume 4. ISIT'09. Coex, Seoul, Korea: IEEE Press, June 2009, pp. 2718–2722. ISBN: 978-1-4244-4312-3.