Trends in Public Confidence in Science & Opportunities for Progress

Arthur LupiaUniversity of MichiganDavid B AllisonIndiana UniversityKathleen Hall JamiesonUniversity of PennsylvaniaJennifer HeimbergNational AcademiesMagdalena SkipperNatureSusan M WolfUniversity of Minnesota

Acknowledgement

The National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's Strategic Council for Excellence, Integrity, and Trust

Marcia Mc Nutt, David B. Allison, and France A Cordova, co-chairs

Trends in Public Confidence

• The paper draws from publicly accessible surveys that meets AAPOR transparency standards.

Public Confidence in Science is Relatively High

- Public confidence in science is high relative to confidence in most other civic institutions that the surveys measure regularly.
- Only the military earns similar levels of public confidence.

Majorities of Americans say they have at least a fair amount of confidence in scientists, but ratings have fallen since early in the coronavirus outbreak





Pew Research Center (2023)

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Science's Confidence Decline is Not Unusual

• Public confidence in science has fallen in recent years.

• Public confidence in all measured groups has fallen.

Underlying Factors

Annenberg Science Knowledge Survey (ASK)

- Annenberg Public Policy Center, University of Pennsylvania
- Kathleen Hall Jamieson, Director
- A proprietary online national probability panel drawn from a larger online SSRS national probability panel of U.S. adults aged 18 and older.
- The individuals in this survey have been empaneled by APPC since March 30, 2021, and unexposed to surveys from non-APPC groups during that time.
- Data collection: February 22 February 28, 2023
 - 1,638 respondents in English (1,608) and Spanish (30).
 - 1,589 responded online, and 49 responded by telephone.
 - Data are weighted to represent the U.S. adult population.

Main Findings from the "ASK" Survey

- An overwhelming majority of the American public agrees on fundamental things that scientists should be doing.
- They disagree about whether scientists are actually doing them.

• Q: What can we do to improve public confidence?

Annenberg Science Knowledge Survey (2023)

- Percentage of Americans who "agree" or "strongly agree" from the with the statement...
 - Scientists in general are competent
 - Scientists in general are trustworthy
 - Scientists in general are honest
 - Scientists in general are ethical

81% 70% 68% 65%

ASK (2023)

"Scientists in general.."

- Share my values
- Feel superior to others
- Are likeable

42% 36% 42%



• "Scientists..."

- Are able to overcome their human and political biases 42%
- Do whatever it takes to get grants and publish, even if it means cutting corners 41%

Lupia et al 2024. PNAS.

When you are deciding whether to believe a scientific finding, how important or unimportant is it to you that the scientists who authored the study	% Very Important	% Somewhat Important	Net Important	% Neither Important nor Unimportant	% Somewhat Unimportant	% Very Unimportant	Net Unimportant
Disclose their funders							
Are open to changing their minds based on new evidence							

Lupia et al 2024. PNAS.

When you are deciding whether to believe a scientific finding, how important or unimportant is it to you that the scientists who authored the study	% Very Important	% Somewhat Important	Net Important	% Neither Important nor Unimportant	% Somewhat Unimportant	% Very Unimportant	Net Unimportant
Disclose their funders	58	26	84	9	3	4	7
Are open to changing their minds based on new evidence	66	25	92	4	1	2	4

Lupia et al (2024) "Trends in US Public Confidence in Science & Opportunities for Progress." *PNAS*.

- An overwhelming majority of the American public agrees on fundamental things that scientists should be doing.
- They disagree about whether scientists are actually doing them.

• Q: What can we do to improve public confidence?

Lupia et al (2024) "Trends in US Public Confidence in Science & Opportunities for Progress." *PNAS*.

• Q: What can we do to improve public confidence?





Science as service

Greater Transparency Means Clarity about

HOW WE CHOSE WHAT TO OBSERVE.

HOW WE CHOSE TO RECORD WHAT WE OBSERVED. HOW WE CHOSE TO CATEGORIZE WHAT WE RECORDED.

HOW WE CHOSE TO ANALYZE WHAT WE CATEGORIZED. HOW WE CHOSE TO INTERPRET WHAT WE ANALYZED.

HOW WE COULD BE WRONG.

Thank you!

Arthur LupiaUniversity of MichiganDavid B AllisonIndiana UniversityKathleen Hall JamiesonUniversity of PennsylvaniaJennifer HeimbergNational AcademiesMagdalena SkipperNatureSusan M WolfUniversity of Minnesota